Late molecular recurrences in patients with chronic myeloid leukemia experiencing treatment-free remission
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Key Points

• Late molecular recurrences after 2 years in patients with CML experiencing TFR represent 14% of all molecular recurrences.
• Patients with fluctuating minimal residual disease measurements during TFR are at high risk of late molecular recurrences.

Treatment-free remission (TFR) is an opportunity for patients with chronic myeloid leukemia (CML). Reported cumulative incidence curves of molecular recurrence (MRec) harbor a 2-phase shape with mainly early events, but also some late events (late MRec [LMRec]). Having discontinued our first patient in 2004, we have access to a prolonged follow-up, enabling us to characterize these late events. Over 15 years, 128 patients from our institution were registered in the Stop Imatinib (STIM; A Study for Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors Discontinuation [A-STIM]) trial. MRec was defined by the loss of major molecular response (BCR-ABL1IS ≥0.1%). At the first TFR attempt, patients had been taking a tyrosine kinase inhibitor for a median of 7.1 years and in BCR-ABL1IS ≤0.01% (MR4) for a median of 4 years. The median follow-up of patients in TFR was 6.5 years. The TFR rate was estimated to be 45.6% after 7 years. For 9/65 (14%) patients experiencing MRec, recurrence occurred after 2 years in TFR (median, 3.6 years). The residual rate of MRec after 2 years was estimated to be 18%. The probability of remaining in TFR was 65.4% for patients having experienced fluctuations of their minimal residual disease (MRD) (at least 2 consecutive measurements BCR-ABL1IS >0.0032% or loss of MR4), whereas it was 100% for those with stable MRD (P = .003). After 2 years in TFR, we observed an 18% residual rate of LMRec. These late events represent 14% of all MRec and occur in patients with fluctuating MRD measurements. A long-term molecular follow-up therefore remains mandatory for CML patients in TFR. The A-STIM study was registered at www.clinicaltrials.gov as #NCT02897245.

Introduction

Treatment-free remission (TFR) is becoming a realistic opportunity for patients with chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) treated with tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) and achieving a prolonged and continued deep molecular remission (DMR). Since the pioneer experience of the pilot Stop Imatinib (STIM)1 study, and following the report of the multicentric prospective STIM study,2,3 more and more patients have been offered to discontinue TKIs.4-8 Despite the absence of consensus regarding the entry criteria for a TFR attempt, recent studies have adopted the loss of major molecular response (MMR, BCR-ABL1IS ≤0.1%) as the criteria defining molecular recurrence (MRec) and triggering treatment resumption.8,9 In spite of different patient populations and heterogeneous criteria for MRec definition, all cumulative incidence curves of MRec harbor a similar 2-phase shape: (1) a majority of relapses within the first 12 to
18 months and (2) a “plateau” with few late events. However, the absence of long-term follow-up in most studies may limit capture of late events.

At our institution, the first discontinuation of imatinib in a STOP study was in June 2004. We took advantage of this prolonged follow-up to better characterize late MRec (late MRec [LMRec]) events by analyzing our cohort of patients registered in the A Study for Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors Discontinuation (A-STIM) study (#NCT02897245).

Patients and methods

The A-STIM registry is an observational study of CML patients who discontinued TKIs intentionally after achieving a DMR (BCR-ABL1 ≤0.01%). The registry was approved by the ethics committee “CPP ILE DE FRANCE XI” in October 2012 and registered as #NCT02897245 at www.clinicaltrials.gov. All patients gave their informed consent before participating in the study. All patients registered retrospectively or prospectively were aged 18 years or more, had a chronic phase CML, and had achieved a DMR when offered to intentionally discontinue TKI treatment. Patients could have attempted TFR more than once. Exclusion criteria included unintentional treatment discontinuation for whatever cause (adverse event, disease progression, pregnancy) and participation in a prospective STOP clinical trial. Patients with a previous history of resistance and those with mutations in the BCR-ABL1 tyrosine kinase domain were also excluded. Per study protocol, all patients had a homogeneous follow-up after TKI discontinuation: BCR-ABL1 transcripts were monitored monthly during the first 12 months, every 2 to 3 months during the second year, and every 3 to 6 months thereafter. BCR-ABL1 transcripts were quantified according to the laboratory recommendations of the European Treatment and Outcome Study for CML 2012 and 2015. From 2003 to 2012, the Standardized Europe against Cancer reverse transcription (RT) polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and quantitative RT-PCR protocols were used for quantification of BCR-ABL1 and ABL1 transcripts. All analytical series included BCR-ABL1 and ABL1 DNA standard dilutions titrating 10^5 to 10 copies (purchased from Ipsogen, Marseille, France). RNA samples were analyzed in duplicates for both targets. Analytical performance matched the following expectations: slopes for BCR-ABL1 and ABL1 standard curves ranging from −3.1 to −3.9 and positive amplification of the 10 copies dilution of BCR-ABL1 standard. The methods used to ensure the quality of individual RNA varied over time. From 2003 to 2005, a ΔCt of ≤3.3 between a given RNA sample and a reference value of 25.3 was retained to qualify RNA samples. This reference value of 25.3 corresponded to the median value obtained in our laboratory for nonleukemic samples and was considered to represent 18 000 ABL1 copies. After 2005, these criteria were replaced by the amount of ABL1 transcripts present in a given RNA sample. The minimal amount requested was fixed at 10 000 up to 2010 and was thereafter increased to 32 000 copies. Hence, we reasonably consider that our ability to score DMR has been at least compatible with the current MR4.0 status defined by Cross et al in 2003.

The primary outcome measure was TFR without MRec. MRec was defined as the loss of MMR (ie, BCR-ABL1 >0.1%) on 1 occasion and led to the resumption of TKI treatment. Patient characteristics were compared by nonparametric tests, either the Fisher’s exact test for qualitative variables or the Kruskal-Wallis test for quantitative variables. The censored end points were estimated by the nonparametric Kaplan-Meier method in the absence of competing event (death).

Results

Patients characteristics

From June 2004 to December 2018, 128 consecutive patients were recorded in the A-STIM registry at our institution. During the same time period, 512 prevalent CML patients were followed-up for at least 3 years, resulting in an estimated 25% proportion of patients experiencing a first TFR attempt (TFR1). Median age at diagnosis was 48.2 years (range, 18.9-80.2), sex ratio (male/female) was 0.49, and Sokal score was low, intermediate, and high for 58%, 26%, and 16% of patients, respectively. Median treatment duration on TKI before TFR1 was 7.1 years. Thirty-eight patients (29.7%) were previously treated with interferon, 76 (61%) received imatinib (IM) alone, and 52 (39%) were on second-generation TKIs (2G-TKIs) at the time of discontinuation (14 as first-line therapy and 38 after a switch for suboptimal response or intolerance). All patients had achieved MR4 at the time of TKI discontinuation, and 84% of them had a stable MR4 (all consecutive BCR-ABL1 assessments ≤0.01% during the MR4 period). The median duration of MR4 was 4 years (range, 1.4-12.9). In 109 cases (85%), molecular response was deeper (BCR-ABL1 <0.0032%, MR4.5), and 74 of them (67.8%) had a stable MR4.5. The median duration of MR4.5 was 2.9 years (range, 0.5-12.8) (Table 1).

First TFR attempt

Median follow-up in TFR1 was 6.5 years, with the longest duration of ongoing TFR1 being 14.9 years. TFR1 rates were 56.5% (95% confidence interval [CI], 47.4-64.6) at 1 year, 53% (95% CI, 43.9-61.3) at 3 years, 51.1% (95% CI, 42.5-59.5) at 5 years, and 45.6% (95% CI, 36.1-54.6) after 7 years (Figure 1A). Length of TKI treatment and duration of MR4 were associated with a higher TFR1 rate at 7 years in multivariate analysis. Sokal risk score and the specific TKI used (IM vs 2G-TKIs) did not influence TFR1 rate. A nonsignificant trend was observed in favor of patients previously exposed to interferon. Interestingly, the 14 patients treated first line with 2G-TKIs experienced a remarkable 68.2% TFR1 rate by 5 years, but this benefit was not statistically significant.

LMRec after TFR1

In accordance to the shape of our TFR1 curve showing a first plateau after 18 months in TFR1 (Figure 1A), we decided to define LMRec as MRec occurring after 2 years in TFR. Nine patients of 65 with an MRec (13.8%) experienced an LMRec at 2.3, 2.5, 3, 3.5, 3.6, 5.4, 5.5, 5.7, and 6.4 years (median time to LMRec, 3.6 years). We compared baseline characteristics (CML duration, Sokal score, gender, TKI duration, DMR duration, interferon exposure) in patients experiencing late (>2 years in TFR1) vs early (=2 years in TFR1) LMRec, and did not identify any significant difference between the 2 groups. To better characterize LMRec, we performed a landmark analysis after 2 years in TFR1 (median follow-up, 6.5 years). The probability of remaining in TFR1 on the long run for these 65 patients was 81.9% (95% CI, 67.6-90.3) (Figure 2A).

We previously described TFR patients with fluctuating values of minimal residual disease (MRD) below the threshold of MMR. In accordance with our previous definition (at least 2 consecutive
measurements >0.0032% and/or loss of MR4 on at least 1 occasion), these patients were categorized as cases with fluctuating molecular remission (FlucMR). All other patients were categorized as non-FlucMR. Using this definition, 55% of patients had FlucMR, whereas 45% did not. We then analyzed LMRec and found that the 9 late relapsing patients had unstable molecular remissions. The increase in MRD values was slow in patients experiencing LMRec as opposed to those experiencing early MRec (Figure 3). The resulting probabilities to remain in TFR1 on the long run for patients with FlucMR vs non-FlucMR patients were 100% and 65.4% (95% CI, 42.3-81), respectively (P = .003) (Figure 2B).

Second TFR attempt and LMRec after the second TFR attempt

Of the 65 patients who restarted a TKI, 32 (49.2%) underwent a second TFR attempt (TFR2). The baseline characteristics of these patients were similar to those of the 33 patients who did not reattempt TFR (Table 2). The majority of patients attempting TFR2 had not changed TKI following failure of their first TFR attempt: 13/22 (59%) were still on IM, and 7/10 (70%) were on the same 2G-TKI. A smaller number of patients had changed TKI: 9 switched from IM to 2G-TKIs and 3 switched from 2G-TKIs to IM. Overall, 16 patients (50%) were on IM and 16 patients (50%) were on 2G-TKIs at the time of the second stop. The median duration of TKI treatment between TFR1 and TFR2 was 3.4 years (range, 1.4-6.8), and the total duration of TKI exposure before TFR2 was 9.4 years (range, 5-15.6). The median follow-up in TFR2 was 4.9 years, the longest ongoing TFR2 being 9 years. TFR2 rates were 46.8% (95% CI, 29.1-62.7) at 1 year, 35.8% (95% CI, 52.5-19.4) at 3 years, and 31.3% (95 CI, 15.6-48.4) at 5 years (Figure 1B). Total MR4 duration and longer TFR1 were both associated with an improved likelihood of successful TFR2 (supplemental Figure 1). There was a nonsignificant trend for a longer TFR2 in patients having switched from IM to 2G-TKIs (n = 9) after failure of TFR1 compared with those having resumed IM (n = 13). Median TFR2 duration was 0.8 years for IM-IM patients and was not reached for IM-2G-TKIs patients (supplemental Figure 2). Using the same criteria for LMRec (MRec after 2 years in TFR), the LMRec rate after the second stop was 14.3% (3/21 patients with MRec had an LMRec at 2.2; 2.3 and 3.1 years).

Discussion

Our prolonged follow-up of patients in TFR enabled us to demonstrate that 14% of the MRec occur after 2 years in TFR. The rate of these late events (LMRec) was the same after a first or a second stop attempt. We previously validated the loss of MMR as a trigger to restart TKIs after a TFR attempt, a criterion also used in the European Stop Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor (EURO-SKI) study. This allowed us to follow patients in TFR with detectable long-term fluctuations of MRD below the MMR threshold. These FlucMR would have been missed in STOP studies using more stringent criteria to define MRec (ie, loss of MR5, MR4.5, or MR4). We found most
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low-level MRD positivity events to occur within the first 2 years of TFR, which probably explains why LMRec were not recorded in previous studies. Based on our definition of LMRec (molecular relapse after 2 years in TFR), no event was reported in the long-term follow-up of the STIM study (median TFR follow-up of 6.4 years). In contrast, based on the published TFR curve of the EURO-SKI study, in which molecular relapse was defined as loss of MMR, and despite a much shorter follow-up in TFR, we estimated roughly 10 LMRec events between months 24 and 36.

We were not able to identify any marker associated with LMRec, possibly because of the limited number of patients. We did however note that LMRec occurred in patients with FlucMR. TFR attempt eventually failed in approximately one-third of patients with FlucMR. Conversely, no late event was recorded in patients with a stable molecular remission. Practical consequences may be derived from these findings: molecular monitoring every 6 to 12 months is appropriate for stable molecular responders, whereas closer long-term assessments (every 3 months) are recommended for patients with FlucMR.

Figure 2. Landmark analysis at 2 years. (A) Treatment-free remission probabilities for the 66 patients in TFR1 for >2 years. (B) Treatment-free remission probabilities for the patients in TFR1 for >2 years and with a stable MR4.5 (BCR-ABL1 ≤ 0.0032%) (bold line) and treatment-free remission probabilities for the patients in TFR1 for >2 years and with an unstable molecular remission (dashed line).

Figure 3. Molecular recurrence for the 9 patients experiencing a late MRec. Patient are classified based on the length of TFR1. Patients 1 and 6 started TFR 1 and 4 years before 2010, respectively. Sensitivity was fixed at 10 000 ABL1 copies to define PCR negativity in these early days (left x-segment and left y-axis). A sensitivity of 32 000 ABL1 copies to define PCR negativity was applied from 2010 (right x-segment and right y-axis). A sensitivity of 32 000 ABL1 copies was available for patients 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, and 9. All patients experienced a slow kinetic of molecular recurrence. MR4, molecular response 4 log (BCR-ABL1IS # 0.01%); MR4.5, molecular response 4.5 log (BCR-ABL1IS # 0.0032%). Vertical dotted line: TKI resumption. Black circles indicate undetectable BCR-ABL1 transcripts.
Our study has the limitation of being a monocentric study and did not provide answers to some of the burning questions in the field, such as the role of exposure to interferon, the added value of 2G-TKIs on successful TFR rate, and the benefit to restart with a 2G-TKI following TFR1 failure. Given our limited number of LMRec events, we were not able to show a benefit for patients receiving 2G-TKI, although we did observe a trend for a better TFR1 rate in patients treated first line with dasatinib or nilotinib. Previous studies have reported results supporting the notion that 2G-TKIs (mainly in second line) provide the same TFR curves as imatinib, and lead to similar or sometimes superior TFR outcomes.14-18 In the Nilotinib Treatment-free Remission Study in CML Patients (ENESTfreedom) study, 190 patients entered the TFR phase. The median duration of nilotinib treatment before stopping was 43.5 months. At 12 months after stopping nilotinib, 51.6% of patients remained in MMR or better.17 The recently published Dasatinib Discontinuation (DADI) study tested dasatinib first-line therapy in newly diagnosed CML patients. For the 58 patients who entered the maintenance phase and maintained a DMR for at least 1 year, the reported 6-month TFR rate was 55.2%.18 Large national or international studies are needed to reach the statistical power to provide reliable answers to these questions. There is no doubt that the long-term follow-up analysis of the EURO-SKI study will provide robust and mature data in the field.

We also report anecdotal (because of low numbers) LMRec in patients undergoing a TFR2 attempt, with a rate similar to the TFR1 setting. This suggests a similar mechanism underlying LMRec in the 2 situations. Our TFR2 rate of 31% at 5 years, and is in line with previous reports.19

The biology underlying LMRec is largely unknown. Our patients experiencing LMRec exhibited a very slow rise of the MRD values, suggesting that the cell of origin may be different compared with relapses occurring within the first 24 months. CML quiescent cells are insensitive to IM and to TKIs in general.20,21 We previously reported that patients in DMR still have detectable CML progenitors and/or CML long-term culture-initiating cells (LTC-IC).22 Using DNA PCR testing, Ross et al4 demonstrated that patients in TFR with undetectable BCR-ABL messenger RNA transcript still have a positive fusion DNA signal in their bone marrow. The low rate of LMRec may be partially explained by the time-dependent falling levels of residual leukemic cells in TFR patients.23 Some patients in TFR with FlucMR exhibited MRD curves reminiscent of those reported in CML patients after allogenic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation, suggesting an effector-target effect.24 Two studies reported that NK cells levels may predict a successful TFR attempt, but neither identified the immune(s) effector(s) involved.25,26 In a recent study,27 BCR-ABL1 positivity was assessed in 20 patients in TFR for 1 year after cell sorting into granulocytes, monocytes, B cells, T cells, and NK cells. The MRD signal was identified predominantly in the lymphoid compartment and was not found in granulocytes. The authors concluded that MRD in the blood of TFR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient characteristics</th>
<th>Patients accepting a TFR2, n = 32</th>
<th>Patients not accepting a TFR2, n = 33</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demography</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, median (range), y, NS</td>
<td>47.6 (18.9-77.5)</td>
<td>51.3 (33.5-80.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex ratio (M/F)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sokal score %, low/intermediate/high, NS</td>
<td>44/28/28</td>
<td>55/30/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CML duration before TFR1, median, y, NS</td>
<td>10.25</td>
<td>8.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapy before TFR1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TKI duration, median (range), y</td>
<td>6 (2.2-12.5)</td>
<td>7.9 (2.2-16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapy before TFR2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TKI duration post-TFR1, median (range), y</td>
<td>3.4 (2.2-12.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total TKI duration (pre- and post-TFR1), median (range), y</td>
<td>9.5 (5-15.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TKI post-TFR1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imatinib, n (%)</td>
<td>16 (50)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G TKI, n (%)</td>
<td>16 (50)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imatinib duration from end TFR1, median, y</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G TKI duration from end TFR1, median, y</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response before TFR2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR4, %</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR4 duration, median (range), y</td>
<td>2.3 (0.8-5.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable MR4, %</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR4.5, %</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR4.5 duration, median (range), y</td>
<td>2.3 (0.6-5.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable MR4.5, %</td>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS, nonsignificant; TFR2, second attempt of treatment-free remission.
patients need not imply the persistence of multipotent CML cells. This observation may explain why patients with FlucMR in the long term did not experience LMRec. However, our study demonstrates that at least one-third of these patients will experience LMRec, strongly suggesting the persistence of functional CML multipotent cells.

To conclude, we report the first TFR study focusing on patients with LMRec (>2 years). We established that LMRec represents approximately 14% of all MRec. LMRec are predominantly observed in TFR patients with FlucMR, justifying a close long-term MRD monitoring of these patients.
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