Intrinsic connectivity networks (ICNs) identified through task-free fMRI (tf-fMRI) offer the opportunity to investigate human brain circuits involved in language processes without requiring participants to perform challenging cognitive tasks. In this study, we assessed the ability of tf-fMRI to isolate reproducible networks critical for specific language functions and often damaged in primary progressive aphasia (PPA). First, we performed whole-brain seed-based correlation analyses on tf-fMRI data to identify ICNs anchored in regions known for articulatory, phonological, and semantic processes in healthy male and female controls (HCs). We then evaluated the reproducibility of these ICNs in an independent cohort of HCs, and recapitulated their functional relevance with a post hoc meta-analysis on task-based fMRI. Last, we investigated whether atrophy in these ICNs could inform the differential diagnosis of nonfluent/agrammatic, semantic, and logopenic PPA variants. The identified ICNs included a dorsal articulatory-phonological network involving inferior frontal and supramarginal regions; a ventral semantic network involving anterior middle temporal and angular gyri; a speech perception network involving superior temporal and sensorimotor regions; and a network between posterior inferior temporal and intraparietal regions likely linking visual, phonological, and attentional processes for written language. These ICNs were highly reproducible across independent groups and revealed areas consistent with those emerging from task-based meta-analysis. By comparing ICNs’ spatial distribution in HCs with patients’ atrophy patterns, we identified ICNs associated with each PPA variant. Our findings demonstrate the potential use of tf-fMRI to investigate the functional status of language networks in patients for whom activation studies can be methodologically challenging.
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Significance Statement
We showed that a single, short, task-free fMRI acquisition is able to identify four reproducible and relatively segregated intrinsic left-dominant networks associated with articulatory, phonological, semantic, and multimodal orthography-to-phonology processes, in HCs. We also showed that these intrinsic networks relate to syndrome-specific atrophy patterns in primary progressive aphasia. Collectively, our results support the application of task-free fMRI in future research to study functionality of language circuits in patients for whom task-based activation studies might be methodologically challenging.

Introduction
Language requires the simultaneous interplay of several networks involved in the multiple processes necessary to understand and produce language, including articulatory, phonological, and semantic functions (Mesulam, 1990; Binder et al., 1997; Fedorenko and Thompson-Schill, 2014). Decades of neuroimaging research have identified regions involved in different language processes (Friederici and Gierhan, 2013), with crucial contributions from task-based functional magnetic resonance imaging studies (fMRI;
Price, 2012). Studies involving patients with neurological disorders have also provided essential evidence by associating structural abnormalities with specific acquired language deficits (Schwartz et al., 2012; Gorno-Tempini and Miller, 2013; Butler et al., 2014; Dronkers et al., 2017). However, combining fMRI and neuropsychological approaches has posed several significant challenges. For instance, the interpretation of fMRI activations in the context of impaired cognitive performance is unclear (Price et al., 2006a). Furthermore, individuals with aphasia are often too impaired to perform the language tasks of interest in the scanner. The introduction of task-free fMRI (tf-fMRI; Raichle, 2006) might provide a crucial alternative to investigate functional connectivity of language networks in individuals with aphasia. This technique can identify multiple functional networks defined by their intrinsic connectivity in a single MRI acquisition session and does not require participants to perform any cognitive task. To date, a number of studies have successfully used tf-fMRI to delineate reproducible networks associated with specific brain functions such as visual, sensorimotor, and executive processes, while fewer have investigated language processes (Damoiseaux et al., 2006; Fox and Raichle, 2007; Shehzad et al., 2009; Smith et al., 2009; Van Dijk et al., 2010). Whole-brain seed-based correlation analyses on tf-fMRI data serve as a robust approach to identify language intrinsic connectivity networks (ICNs), particularly as there are strong a priori hypotheses about the location of the seed regions (Bonilha et al., 2006; Mechelli et al., 2007; MacSweeney et al., 2009; Simmons et al., 2010; Buchsbaum et al., 2011). tf-fMRI studies of language processing have mainly investigated functional connectivity of the speech production and comprehension networks (Turken and Dronkers, 2011; Tomasi and Volkow, 2012; Hurley et al., 2015), but have not simultaneously characterized, within the same study, ICNs associated with other cognitive processes essential for language.

The present study aims to identify reliable ICNs anchored to brain regions crucial for language processes and to assess their relationship to atrophy patterns characteristic of the clinical variants of primary progressive aphasia (PPA). We first assessed whether whole-brain seed-based connectivity analysis on tf-fMRI could identify reproducible and spatially consistent language ICNs in healthy controls (HCs). To this end, we analyzed data from two independent cohorts of HCs. We hypothesized the existence of segregated, primarily left hemispheric, ICNs that would be reproducible across independent datasets. We then assessed whether the correlation between these ICNs and the atrophy patterns in PPA could identify the ICN/ICNs most representative of each clinical variant. To do so, we evaluated the similarity of each patient’s atrophy pattern relative to the four tf-fMRI ICNs. To further examine the functional relevance of the ICNs anchored to our a priori seeds, we performed a post hoc analysis based on the overlap between ICNs and neurosynth-derived task-based metaanalytic maps. PPA is an ideal syndrome to demonstrate the application of the identified ICNs to disease, since each of the three variants demonstrates selective impairment in language processes supported by the HC-derived ICNs. We hypothesize that language ICNs would be reliable and reproducible, that they would overlap with atrophy maps in PPA variants, and that they would correspond to functional networks derived from task-based activation maps.

Materials and Methods

Participants
Sixty-four healthy volunteers were recruited at the Memory and Aging Center (MAC) at the University of California, San Francisco (UCSF). All controls denied a history of neurological and psychiatric disorders, and achieved normal scores on the Mini-Mental State Examination.

We recruited 161 patients with a probable diagnosis of primary progressive aphasia according to clinical consensus criteria (Gorno-Tempini et al., 2011). Among the patients, 51 were diagnosed with non-fluent variant PPA (nvPPA), 44 with logopenic PPA (lvPPA), and 66 with semantic PPA, (svPPA). All patients received comprehensive multidisciplinary evaluations, including neurological history and examination, neuropsychological testing, and neuroimaging, as previously described (Gorno-Tempini et al., 2004). Patients had a Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) score ≤ 1. We provide demographic, clinical, and neuropsychological characteristics for patients and HCs in Table 1, which shows the expected clinical profile of patients with the three PPA variants. According to the literature, nvPPA patients are characterized by motor speech impairment consistent with apraxia of speech, often with dysarthria, and/or agrammatism; the left inferior frontal gyralis is considered as the syndrome-specific epicenter, and associated with atrophy in the insula, premotor regions, SMA, and striatum (Grossman et al., 1996). SvPPA patients are clinically characterized by poor performance on confrontation naming, single word comprehension, and surface dyslexia; the left anterior temporal lobe is considered as the syndrome-specific epicenter, with atrophy progressing along temporal regions (Hodges et al., 1992). LvPPA patients are clini-
cally characterized by word finding difficulties, along with sentence repetition and phonological short-term memory deficits; cortical atrophy is typically located in posterior superior and middle temporal gyri as well as the inferior parietal lobule (Henry and Gorno-Tempini, 2010).

Participants provided written informed consent, which was approved by the Internal Review Board at the University of California, San Francisco. The following sections refer to the description of tf-fMRI data in the two independent groups of HCs used to address the first and third aims of the study, and one section describes the procedure developed at UCSF to quantify single subject atrophy patterns in patients (Aim 2).

MRI protocol
The neuroimaging protocol for all 64 controls included a high-resolution MRI protocol: a high-resolution scan for intersubject registration, as well as an echoplanar imaging (EPI) scan to study task-free functional connectivity. Participants were instructed to remain still and keep their eyes closed without falling asleep during the acquisition of task-free (tf-fMRI) data. Participants were scanned with a Siemens 3-Tesla Trio scanner using a body transmit coil and an 8-channel receive head coil. A T1-weighted 3D magnetization prepared rapid acquisition gradient echo (MPRAGE) was acquired with 160 sagittal slices, TE/TR/TI = 2.98/2300/900 ms, flip angle = 9°, isotropic voxel with size of 1 mm, field-of-view = 256 × 256 mm, matrix = 256 × 256. For tf-fMRI, we acquired 240 T2*-weighted EPI volumes in interleaved order consisting in 36 AC/PC-aligned axial slices with the following parameters: TR/TE = 2000/27 ms, flip angle = 80°, slice thickness = 3 mm with 0.6 mm gap, field-of-view = 230 × 230 mm, matrix = 92 × 92 in plane resolution. The total acquisition time of the tf-fMRI was 8 min and 6 s, including the initial three “dummy scans”.

Preprocessing of tf-fMRI
The analysis of functional data was performed using an in-house pipeline that makes use of tools available in FSL v5 ([http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/](http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/)), AFNI ([http://afni.nimh.nih.gov/afni/](http://afni.nimh.nih.gov/afni/)), and Numpy (Python v2.7.3). The first five volumes of the acquisition were discarded to allow T1 equilibrium to be established. Functional images first were corrected for slice timing and checked for excessive motion after the realignment of the volumes to the mean functional image. None of the participants exceeded a maximum of 2 mm for relative head motion, a maximum of 2° for relative rotation, and a maximum of 10% of the total frames with motion spikes, calculated as relative motion >1 mm. The mean functional image then was coregistered with the 3D MPRAGE using a rigid body transformation. The structural image was then normalized to the MNI space, and the transformation matrix subsequently applied to the tf-fMRI data that were finally spatially smoothed with a Gaussian kernel of 6 mm FWHM. CSF and white matter tissue probability maps, calculated with the FSL FEAT tool, were then used to compute the mean time-series used as regressors. Functional data were then bandpass filtered (0.008 Hz < f < 0.15 Hz) and the nuisance variables were regressed out from the data, which included the six motion parameters, CSF and white matter time series as well as the first derivative and quadratic terms, as suggested in (Satterthwaite et al., 2013). Spectral filtering and nuisance regression were combined into a single step using AFNI tools (Hallquist et al., 2013).

Functional definition of language networks: seed ROI definition
The seed ROIs used to identify language-related functional connectivity networks were defined as 8 × 8 × 8 mm³ boxes, derived by combining evidence from neuroimaging and cognitive studies in PPA, neuropsychological studies on other patient populations, and task-based fMRI studies in HCs (Fig. 1A). An extensive description of the rationale behind the choice of three of the four seeds (left opercular IFCG, anterior MTG, and posterior ITG) used in the current study is presented in (Battistella et al., 2019). Here we present a brief summary as well as the motivation for selection of the fourth seed. The first seed was used to address the presence of a language network in the left posterior, opercular part of the IFCG (opIFG) at the activation peak (MNI coordinates: x = −50, y = 8, z = 23) identified in a previous study by contrasting a phonemic fluency task against semantic and syntactic fluency tasks (Heim et al., 2008). As described by Battistella et al. (2019), many functional peaks from studies of verbal fluency and articulation previously identified in the fMRI literature fall in our 8 mm box. This region is atrophic in the svPPA and frequently lesional in cases of post-stroke Broca’s aphasia (Hillis et al., 2004). The second seed (MNI coordinates: x = −51, y = −42, z = 21) was defined in a portion of the planum temporale/parietal operculum and is a subportion of area Tpt (Galaburda and Sanides, 1980). The coordinates of this seed have been chosen from a phonological working memory task (conjunction of encoding and delay contrasts) in a group of 105 healthy participants (Buchbaum et al., 2011). The language model developed by Hickok and Poeppel (2004) defines this region as superior parietal temporal (Spt) and represents a key region of the dorsal stream involved in speech perception (Milner and Goodale, 1995; Humphries et al., 2005). It was initially identified in an anatomically unconstrained analysis that specified regions showing both auditory (speech responsive) and motor (responsive during covert speech production) response properties (Hickok and Poeppel, 2004; Buchbaum et al., 2011). Morphometric studies in PPA associate atrophy in this region at the temporoparietal junction with the lvPPA (Gorno-Tempini et al., 2004).

A third seed, potentially relevant for semantic processing, was defined in the left anterior middle temporal gyrus (aMTG) at the activation peak (MNI coordinates: x = −60, y = −6, z = −18) identified in a previous study by contrasting a semantic association task on pairs of famous faces against a perceptual matching task related to pairs of unknown faces (Gesierich et al., 2012). As described by Battistella et al. (2019), many functional peaks from studies of semantic processing previously identified in the fMRI literature fall in our 8 mm box. This region is also damaged in the svPPA.

The fourth seed was defined in the left posterior ITG (pITG) at the peak (MNI coordinates: x = −54, y = −52, z = −10) of a cluster that showed greater activation in controls than in svPPA patients when contrasting a semantic judgment task against a visual judgment task (Mummery et al., 1999). This seed is considered a multimodal language region where visual and nonvisual components of reading converge (Büchel et al., 1998). A task-based fMRI study directly associates activity in this region with performance on an auditory spelling task (Booth et al., 2003). This area is considered an important gateway hub involved in linking visual asso-
cation areas with phonological and linguistic processes in superior temporal and inferior parietal areas (Price, 2000; Bolger et al., 2008; Kuperberg et al., 2008; Price, 2012). The pITG is often atrophied in svPPA, which is characterized by phonological deficits and phonological dyslexia. As described by Battistella et al. (2019), this area and the associated functional network have been associated to orthography-to-phonology conversion based on the significant correlation of the pseudoword reading score with the pITG-to-inferior parietal cortex functional connectivity in svPPA.

Seed-based functional connectivity analysis

Single-subject correlation maps were generated by calculating the correlation coefficient between the average BOLD signal time course from the seed ROIs and the time course from all other voxels in the brain in each subject’s native space. The selected seed regions of interest were normalized from the MNI standard space back to the subjects’ native space using the previously estimated normalization parameters. We carefully checked the quality of the spatial normalization and location of the warped seeds in the native space to ensure the correct application of the transformations and consistent anatomical localization across participants. For each subject, the average time series from the seed was extracted and used to compute the temporal correlation against all the other voxels in the brain to create an $r$-Pearson correlation map of each voxel’s connectivity strength to the seed region of interest. Correlation maps were converted to z-scores by Fisher’s r-to-z transformation and back-transformed to the MNI space to enable parametric statistical comparisons.

Aim 1: characterization and reproducibility of the language ICNs in HCs

Experimental design and statistical analysis: identification of the language networks in two groups of HCs

We divided the study sample of 64 healthy volunteers into two groups of 32 participants to have an independent dataset to study the reproducibility of the tf-fMRI ICNs. The first group (hereafter called HCl) included 13 men and 19 females (mean age ± SD: 68.8 ± 5.3 years, 4 left-handed). The second group (HC2) was age- and gender-matched with HCl and included 11 men and 21 females (69.8 ± 5.3 years, 5 left-handed).

Identification of language networks was performed on the correlation maps converted to z-scores using a one-sample t test for each group with gender and age as covariates of no interest. Statistical thresholds on the resulting group-level connectivity maps were applied at $p < 5 \times 10^{-5}$ after peak-level familywise error (FWE) correction for multiple comparisons over the whole brain and $k > 180$ for cluster extent (greater than the minimum number of voxels expected per cluster).

We then tested the reproducibility of the ICNs between the two groups of HCs by means of the Dice coefficient (DC) based on the spatial overlap of the cortical labels of the Harvard-Oxford atlas (https://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/Atlases).

Characterization of the networks in terms of spatial overlap and lateralization

We performed a conjunction analysis of the two groups to study the relative spatial distribution of the four networks and their reciprocal overlap. Statistical threshold and covariates of no interest to extract those maps were the same as the ones used to identify the networks separately in each group.

Hemispheric dominance of the extracted networks was tested using the laterality index (LI), as implemented in the Avel1 toolbox (Matsuo et al., 2012). An LI coefficient of 1 represents a complete left lateralization of the networks, whereas −1 represents a full right lateralization. Subsequent analyses were performed on the ICNs derived from the conjunction analysis.

Aim 2: associate the ICNs identified in controls with the atrophy patterns of PPA patients

Define the atrophy pattern of patients through the calculation of w-maps

The w-map is a voxel-based measure that reveals whole-brain atrophy patterns of an individual patient compared with a large number of HCs. W-maps rely on the calculation of the w-score from preprocessed T1-weighted images.

Preprocessing of T1-weighted images

Structural T1-weighted images were preprocessed using SPM12 (https://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/spm12/). Preprocessing involved bias-correction, segmentation into tissue probability maps, and spatial normalization using a single generative model with the standard SPM12 parameters. To optimize intersubject registration, each of the patients’ gray matter probability maps were warped to a template derived from 300 confirmed neurologically healthy older adults [ages 44–86, mean (M) ± SD: 67.2 ± 7.3; 113 males, 186 females] scanned with one of three magnet strengths (1.5T, 3T, 4T), using affine and nonlinear transformations using the diffeomorphic anatomical registration through exponentiated lie algebra (DARTEL) toolbox (Ashburner, 2007). Spatially normalized, segmented, and modulated gray matter images were smoothed using an 8 mm FWHM isotropic Gaussian kernel.

Calculation of the w-maps

Smoothed modulated and normalized gray matter maps (smwc1) of 334 confirmed neurologically healthy HCs from the UCSF MAC Hilblom Cohort (age range 44–99 years, M ± SD: 68.7 ± 9.1; 220 male/302 female) were regressed against age, sex, total intracranial volume, and magnet strength. The $β$ values of this regression were then used to calculate the predicted smwc1 values for each patient. The actual patient’s smwc1 values were then subtracted from the predicted values and divided by the SD of the residuals in the control group to get the individual patient’s w-map. Obtained w-scores (e.g., applied in neurodegeneration by La Joie et al., 2012; Ossenkoppele et al., 2015) are interpreted like z-scores, with mean $± 0/SD = 1$. Negative w-scores represent below-average volume. W-maps were thresholded at scores $≤ -2.50$ to create the atrophy maps of patients. These analyses were performed using the in-house BrainInsight system, developed at UCSF by Katherine P. Rankin, Cosmo Mielke, and Paul Sukhanov, and powered by the VLSM script written by Stephen M. Wilson, with funding from the Rainwater Charitable Foundation and the UCSF Chancellor’s Fund for Precision Medicine.

Identification of the ICN most representative of the atrophy distribution of each PPA variant

We calculated the percentage of overlap of the atrophy map of each patient with each of the 4 ICNs, resulting in a 161 × 4 matrix. We then examined similarity relationships of the correlation of each subject’s atrophy map with the 4 ICNs (resulting in a 161 × 161 similarity matrix).

To this end, we used custom Python scripts relying on Numpy (http://www.numpy.org/) and Scipy (http://www.scipy.org/scipylib). Finally, for each patient, we adopted a “winner takes all” approach to identify the ICN with the highest overlap with the corresponding w-map. Based on that we determined which ICN aligned the most with the atrophy distribution of each PPA variant.

Aim 3: meta-analysis on task-based fMRI studies

The Neurosynth toolbox (Yarkoni et al., 2011) was used to perform a post hoc meta-analysis on previous task-based language-related fMRI studies. This post hoc analysis was performed to visually characterize the overall similarity between the ICNs anchored to our a priori ROIs and functional maps obtained from previous language activation studies. Neurosynth is an open-source resource that performs automated meta-analyses. The full text of all articles in the database is parsed, and each article is “tagged” with a set of terms that occur at a high frequency in that article. A meta-analysis is then performed by automatically comparing the coordinates reported for studies with and without the term of interest (key words). In the present study, we performed the meta-analysis using the following keywords: speech production (removing speech perception), speech perception (removing speech production), semantic memory, and orthography, which were consistent with the hypothesized role of each intrinsic ROI/tf-fMRI networks. Because of the post hoc nature of this analysis and the intrinsic limitation of Neurosynth related to the impossibility to control for specific experimental factors in each of the studies included in the meta-analysis, the resulting maps were only visually inspected and no formal quantitative overlap analysis was conducted.
Table 2. Significant clusters of the four intrinsic language networks in HCs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coordinates</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>T score</th>
<th>Cluster size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left opIFG-seeded network</td>
<td>-50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>6068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left SMG</td>
<td>-55</td>
<td>-34</td>
<td>-34</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left superior IPL (BA 40)</td>
<td>-56</td>
<td>-36</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left A30/F53triangular IFG</td>
<td>-44</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right opIFG</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>1369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMA</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left SpT-seeded network</td>
<td>-50</td>
<td>-42</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>5485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left middle temporal lobe</td>
<td>-50</td>
<td>-54</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>left rolandic operculum</td>
<td>-54</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left postcentral gyrus</td>
<td>-42</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle cingulate cortex</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Heschl gyrus</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right rolandic operculum</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right superior temporal</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>-42</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right precuneus</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-46</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left aMTG-seeded network</td>
<td>-60</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left angular gyrus</td>
<td>-46</td>
<td>-62</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial prefrontal cortex</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior cingulate cortex</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-49</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right anterior MTG</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left pITG-seeded network</td>
<td>-54</td>
<td>-52</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>1675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left middle temporal gyrus</td>
<td>-62</td>
<td>-42</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Intraparietal sulcus (BA 40)</td>
<td>-48</td>
<td>-44</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>2606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left superior parietal lobule</td>
<td>-28</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left middle occipital</td>
<td>-28</td>
<td>-72</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left triangular IFG</td>
<td>-48</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left orbital IFG</td>
<td>-46</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Crus I</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-76</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right posterior ITG</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-52</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right superior parietal</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>-64</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>498</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Belong to the same cluster.

Results

Aim 1: characterization and reproducibility of the language ICNs in HCs

Identification of the language networks in the two groups of HCs

The language networks identified in the two independent groups of HCs showed a similar spatial distribution, with voxels from HC1 highly overlapping with those in HC2 (Fig. 1). The DCs showed that the aMTG- and pITG-seeded ICNs were the most overlapping networks across the two groups of HCs with a DC of 0.83. The ICN-seeded network derived from the opIFG showed a DC = 0.75, whereas the SpT-seeded a DC = 0.74.

The network resulting from the left opIFG seed included areas in the bilateral opercular and triangular part of the IFG, left middle frontal gyrus, bilateral SMG and IPS, left putamen, left pre SMA, and left ITG. The network from the left SpT seed included areas in the bilateral planum temporale, left precentral and SMG, as well as in the left postcentral gyrus, STG, anterior cingulate cortex, and right fusiform gyrus. The network from the left aMTG seed included instead areas in the bilateral MTG, temporal pole, ITG, precuneus, hippocampus, and parahippocampal gyrus. Additional significant regions were located in the left angular gyrus, anterior insula, orbital IFG, anterior and posterior cingulate cortices, as well as in the right middle occipital, and orbital medial frontal gyrus. Finally, the network from the left pITG seed included areas in the bilateral ITG, left intraparietal sulcus, and bilateral triangular IFG. Figure 1 illustrates these results.

Characterization of the networks in terms of spatial overlap and lateralization

Table 2 lists peak coordinates of significant clusters of the conjunction analysis. The four networks extensively covered the left hemisphere and were mostly spatially distinct from one another (Fig. 2). The DC showed, in fact, a value equal or <0.2, corresponding to a very low degree of overlap. Motor and sensorimotor regions of the network originating from the SpT overlapped with the same regions of the opIFG-seeded network, while inferior and middle frontal clusters, as well as inferior parietal regions overlapped between the opIFG and the pITG networks.

The LI analysis showed a strong lateralization in the left hemisphere of the networks seeded from the pITG, Spt, and opIFG (LI = 0.50, 0.62, 0.58 respectively). The network originating from the aMTG was instead less left lateralized than the other intrinsic networks, with a LI = 0.37.

Aim 2: associate the ICNs identified in controls with the atrophy patterns of PPA patients

Identification of the ICN most representative of each PPA variant

The similarity matrix shows the homogeneity of the 3 PPA groups in terms of their similarity coefficients measured using the overlap between the 4 ICNs derived from HCs and each patient’s atrophy map (Fig. 3). The nfvPPA and svPPA were highly homogeneous in term of similarity coefficients. The lvPPA patients were instead less so, in line with the high intersubject variability of the patients affected by this syndrome (Louwersheimer et al.,
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ditory activations. The 150 studies that entered the meta-analysis using the term speech production showed activations in the bilateral opIFG, precentral gyrus, and STG. The 204 studies identified in the Neurosynth meta-analysis with the keyword “semantic memory” showed activations in me-
dial regions in the PCC, precuneus, orbitofrontal regions, as well as in the inferior, middle temporal, and triangular inferior frontal gyri. This pattern of activation resembles, among the four tf-fMRI networks, the network created using the seed from the aMTG. Finally, the meta-analysis of the 132 studies using the keyword “orthography” showed a statistical map that covered regions in the left inferior frontal gyrus, left inferior and superior parietal lobule, inferior and middle temporal gyri, and SMA. This is consistent with the tf-fMRI map from the p-ITG. These results are visually summarized in Figure 4.

**Discussion**

Using whole-brain, seed-based connectivity from tf-MRI data in HCs, this study identified four intrinsic language networks related to essential language cognitive processes (i.e., speech production and perception, semantics, and orthography); our findings are supported further by a post hoc meta-analysis of task-based fMRI studies with Neurosynth. By replicating results with two independent cohorts of controls, we demonstrated there was a high reproducibility of the identified ICNs, confirming the ex-

istence of robust and dissociable language ICNs at rest. These networks were segregated topographically with a small degree of overlap, and extensively covered the left hemisphere. Language-related networks were strongly left-lateralized, with the exception of the ventral semantic ICN, which comprised medial prefrontal and posterior cingulate regions included in the default-mode net-

work (DMN). Finally, we demonstrated a successful application of the identified ICNs by showing distinctive overlap between patterns of intrinsic connectivity in controls and atrophy distribution in PPA; these patterns were able to identify which ICN/ ICNs were most representative of each of the three variants of this syndrome. We discuss possible functional roles of these networks in specific language processes based on literature review of tf- and task-based fMRI studies, the latter being instrumental to inter-

pret the ICNs map in relation to their functions, in controls and patients.

The ICN originating from the left opIFG seed included regions involved in cognitive processes required to produce meaningful and correctly articulated utterances (SMA, premo-
tor, supramarginal, and anterior insula regions) and grammatical functions (prefrontal and middle frontal gyri), collectively re-
ferred to as speech production (Broca, 1861; Dronkers and Ogar, 2004; Hillis et al., 2004). Structural MRI analyses in PPA and activation fMRI studies in controls also confirmed these findings (Price et al., 2006b; Grossman, 2012; Price, 2012). In particular, the posterior opIFG and its structural connections are damaged in fvPPA patients (Grossman et al., 1996), and DTI-derived neuroimaging metrics in the opIFG network were associated with the severity of motor speech and fluency impairments (Catani et al., 2013; Mandelli et al., 2014, 2016). More anterior portions of IFG and prefrontal areas, including the pars triangularis and mid-
dle frontal gyrus, instead are involved in grammatical processes
in both HCs and patients (Friederici et al., 2006; Amici et al., 2007; Wilson et al., 2011; den Ouden et al., 2019). Our results show that a short tf-fMRI acquisition is able to identify the highly predictable anatomy of the speech production network.

The role of the Spt network in sensorimotor processes for speech perception has been highlighted in recent cognitive models of language (Hickok and Poeppel, 2007). In particular, task-based fMRI and MEG studies support the role of the Spt region in sensorimotor processes (Okada and Hickok, 2006; Pa and Hickok, 2008; Houde and Nagarajan, 2015). Brain-behavior research also has shown that this area is consistently damaged in patients with conduction aphasia and short-term phonological memory and repetition deficits (Buchsbaum et al., 2011). Notably, this network extends to posterior MTG and STG regions previously identified as portions of the classic Wernicke’s area, suggesting that the network contributes to higher level lexical processes (Turken and Dronkers, 2011). This ICN also showed the greatest overlap with the network anchored to the left opIFG in frontal and motor cortices. Task-fMRI, ecoG, and EEG studies have demonstrated that these areas are essential not only for articulation and speech production, but also for auditory-motor processing of speech sounds and repetition tasks (Wilson et al., 2004; Möttönen et al., 2013; Cheung et al., 2016).

The network originating from the aMTG involved ventral temporo-angular regions consistently associated with language comprehension and semantic processing in fMRI activation studies of HCs and patients (Gorno-Tempini et al., 1998; Binder et al., 2003; Mechelli et al., 2007; Seghier et al., 2010; Gesierich et al., 2012). In addition to middle temporal and angular regions, the ICN included medial prefrontal and posterior cingulate regions that are part of the DMN. The activation of the DMN in task-free functional studies is well established and has been associated with several cognitive processes (Grecius et al., 2003), including semantics (for meta-analysis, see Binder et al., 2009). The aMTG region used as a seed to build this network consistently is damaged in svPPA patients with profound semantic memory loss ( Hodges et al., 1992), and recent studies have shown decreased functional connectivity of this region to the angular gyrus in this patient population (Battistella et al., 2019; Montembeault et al., 2019). Recent models of language suggest that the angular gyrus is involved in retrieval and/or executive semantic processes (Binder and Desai, 2011; Ralph et al., 2017).

The pITG-anchored network included regions often part of the “dorsal attention” network (Corbetta et al., 2000; Maldjian et al., 2003; Fox et al., 2006). This circuit is involved in verbal and visual working memory processes necessary for reorienting attention in response to salient stimuli, which is required for cognitive processes such as reading and spelling (DeMarco et al., 2017). In particular, the area in the intraparietal sulcus commonly is activated in fMRI activation studies of visual and orthographic tasks (Price and Friston, 1997; Cohen et al., 2004; Purcell et al., 2011; Fairhall and Caramazza, 2013). In a previous activation study of reading in svPPA, this region showed activation when patients read pseudo-words and made regularization errors in reading real words, thus using sublexical orthography-to-phonology transformations (Wilson et al., 2009). Finally, connectivity metrics in this ICN correlated with pseudoword reading scores in svPPA (Battistella et al., 2019). Further studies are necessary to confirm the role of this ICN in reading and visual attention.

The four networks showed consistent spatial distributions of the functional connectivity maps among two independent groups of controls, thus highlighting the high reproducibility of language...
networks from tf-fMRI data. It is worth noting that the distribution of the task-free networks within the parietal area is relatively segregated anatomically and functionally: SpT and supramarginal areas are part of circuits involved in auditory, phonological, and articulatory aspects of language, whereas the angular gyrus in semantic and comprehension processes. This technique thus can help to better understand the parcellation of the complex inferior parietal region.

Collectively, these findings provide critical support that tf-fMRI ICNs can serve as a useful tool to study functional connectivity of networks associated with four language functions. These four networks can be derived using a single tf-fMRI acquisition without requiring participants to perform four different tasks (1 for each language function), and consequently, this approach would be invaluable within clinical populations for which the execution of language tasks is challenging. Furthermore, we propose that the application of tf-fMRI to studying language disorders will help to elucidate the specific functions of ICNs in neurological patients.

Behavioral neurology has demonstrated that studying language-related syndromes can advance the characterization of not only the disorders themselves, but also the neurobiology of language (Hillis et al., 2004; Schwartz et al., 2009, 2012; Dronkers et al., 2017). Previous studies have shown that the anatomical distribution of ICNs identified in HCs map to the pattern of atrophy in specific neurodegenerative syndromes, suggesting that there is a selective vulnerability of specific large-scale networks to neurodegeneration (Seeley et al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2010; Mandelli et al., 2016; Ossenkoppele et al., 2019). These approaches differ from ours, as prior studies chose seeds based on the peak of the atrophy regions in each clinical syndrome, rather than their functional relevance in language function. Furthermore, no previous study has considered the similarity between language ICNs and patterns of atrophy in all three PPA variants. This measure of similarity showed that the speech production and semantic networks were the most representative in nfvPPA and svPPA, respectively. This matches the clear, segregated clinical and linguistic profiles of these two variants. In lvPWA, we did not find a single-most representative ICN, consistent with greater clinical and anatomical heterogeneity in this more recently defined syndrome (Louwersheimer et al., 2016). Instead, all three posterior networks showed a similar degree of overlap with atrophy in the lvPWA group. These findings suggest a possible clinical-anatomical subdivision within the lvPPA syndrome (Ramanan et al., 2019). Overall, our approach of building networks from functionally-defined cortical seeds has the potential to improve the characterization of the interplay between functional anatomy of language and selective vulnerability to neurodegenerative disease.

The study has several limitations. Additional ROIs could have been included, because many left-hemisphere regions are crucial for language. In this initial study, we aimed to identify ICNs that most likely would show segregated patterns of connectivity and consequently behavioral specificity as well. Limitations of the Neurosynth analysis include the choice of key words used to query the database, which impacted the type and number of studies analyzed. To overcome this issue, we chose broad key words, such as speech production, speech perception, semantics, and orthography. Also, although easy to use, Neurosynth does not allow the user to filter the papers entering the meta-analysis to better control for differences in the experimental design (i.e., baseline conditions), thus influencing the activation patterns of the resulting maps. Future studies investigating activation and task-free fMRI in the same subjects will provide more precise characterization of the cognitive functions associated with each tf-fMRI ICNs.

The present study identified four reproducible and segregated task-free networks corresponding to fundamental language functions (i.e., speech production, perception, semantics, and orthography). Our results offer the potential use of a short, tf-fMRI acquisition to investigate functionality of language networks in controls and patients for whom activation studies can be methodologically challenging.

References


