DeltaNp63-dependent super enhancers define molecular identity in pancreatic cancer by an interconnected transcription factor network
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Molecular subtyping of cancer offers tremendous promise for the optimization of a precision oncology approach to anticancer therapy. Recent advances in pancreatic cancer research uncovered various molecular subtypes with tumors expressing a squamous/basal-like gene expression signature displaying a worse prognosis. Through unbiased epigenome mapping, we identified deltaNp63 as a major driver of a gene signature in pancreatic cancer cell lines, which we report to faithfully represent the highly aggressive pancreatic squamous subtype observed in vivo, and display the specific epigenetic marking of genes associated with decreased survival. Importantly, depletion of deltaNp63 in these systems significantly decreased cell proliferation and gene expression patterns associated with a squamous subtype and transcriptionally mimicked a subtype switch. Using genomic localization data of deltaNp63 in pancreatic cancer cell lines coupled with epigenome mapping data from patient-derived xenografts, we uncovered that deltaNp63 mainly exerts its effects by activating subtype-specific super enhancers. Furthermore, we identified a group of 45 subtype-specific super enhancers that are associated with poorer prognosis and are highly dependent on deltaNp63. Genes associated with these enhancers included a network of transcription factors, including HIF1A, BHLHE40, and RXRA, which form a highly intertwined transcriptional regulatory network with deltaNp63 to further activate downstream genes associated with poor survival.
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Distinct molecular subtypes in cancer are defined by different deregulated pathways, mutational profiles, and aberrant transcriptional programs that may potentially be leveraged to optimize therapy and elucidate mechanisms in a disease that is characterized by a particularly high degree of heterogeneity (1). Molecular stratification of breast and colorectal cancer, for example, revolutionized therapy for these malignancies and extended our knowledge about the pathways and mechanisms involved in disease development and progression (2–4). Recently, analyses in pancreatic cancer, which has a particularly low survival rate, uncovered various molecular subtypes with different characteristics and prognoses (5–10).

Collisson et al. (6) used human and mouse samples in addition to pancreatic cancer cell lines to identify recurrent patterns of gene expression and identified three subtypes, referred to as classical, exocrine-like, and quasimesenchymal, with the latter being correlated with a particularly poor prognosis. Optimization of molecular stratification by filtering stromal profiles further grouped the molecular subtypes of pancreatic cancer into classical-like and basal-like, with the latter corresponding to the worse prognosis seen in the quasimesenchymal subtype (7). Extending these analyses to include mutual backgrounds of patients and DNA methylation states in addition to gene expression revealed four subtypes, including the highly aggressive squamous subgroup (8). Further analysis confirmed the identification of specific patterns of expression with one molecular subtype, irrespective of nomenclature, representing a small subgroup of pancreatic cancer patients with a particularly poor prognosis (9, 10).

While more advances are being made in the analytical aspect of subtyping pancreatic cancer, the molecular mechanisms underlying these gene signatures are still largely unclear. Bailey et al. (8) identified deltaNp63 expression as a hallmark of the squamous subtype, which overlaps with its basal-like counterpart and profoundly correlates with worse prognosis (9). p63 is a transcription factor (TF) of the p53 family which has two major isoforms, including the transactivation domain-containing isoform, TAp63, and the shorter isoform, deltaNp63 (11). DeltaNp63 was reported to play a crucial role in keratinocyte differentiation and its expression was shown to be a defining feature of basal cells and squamous cell carcinomas (12–14). Concordantly, deltaNp63 is expressed in many squamous-like cancers, including esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (15, 16), head and neck squamous carcinoma (17), and lung squamous cell carcinoma (18, 19). p63 was found to drive epidermal differentiation through distal regulatory elements associated with its target genes (20). However, to date a role for deltaNp63 in pancreatic cancer has remained largely unclear.

An early report described an up-regulation of deltaNp63 in a group of pancreatic cancer cells displaying a squamous-like phenotype, while normal pancreata were completely devoid of deltaNp63 expression (21). Interestingly, depletion of the histone
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demethylase KDM6A led to the activation of super enhancers regulating deltaNp63 and enriched for a more squamous-like phenotype in pancreatic cancer cells (22). Super enhancers are clusters of distal regulatory elements which are highly enriched for transcription factor binding and have a high potential to affect target genes (23–25). Our previous work identified tissue-specific patterns of gene expression which were particularly coupled to transcription factor and cofactor recruitment to distal enhancer regions rather than occupancy in the proximal promoter region (26–28).

In this study, we performed an unbiased analysis of epigenomic gene activation profiles and identified deltaNp63 as a major driver of gene activation in a particularly lethal subtype of pancreatic cancer. We report that L3.6pl and BxPC-3 pancreatic cancer cell lines represent appropriate cell culture models of the squamous molecular subtype described in patients. Here, we...
uncovered a major dependence of subtype-specific super enhancers on deltaNp63. Furthermore, we confirmed the analyses of our model cell lines and significantly expanded the relevance of the findings by comparing our results with data from patient-derived xenograft (PDX) samples. Using this approach we identified 45 super enhancers that signify the squamous subgroup and are associated with genes that are highly deltaNp63 dependent and correlate with poor prognosis in pancreatic cancer. Among these genes, we uncovered a highly interactive transcriptional regulatory hub, including deltaNp63, HIF1A, RXRA, and BHLHE40, where these factors activate one another as well as downstream genes. Altogether, our study elucidates underlying mechanisms by which deltaNp63 drives gene expression patterns associated with the squamous molecular subtype in pancreatic cancer and identify a number of super enhancers that may potentially be used to identify this subgroup to stratify patients with poorer prognosis in a simple and accessible manner.

**Results**

**DeltaNp63 Is a Major Driver of Differential Gene Activation in Specific Pancreatic Cancer Cell Lines and Patient-Derived Xenografts.** Due to previously observed different characteristics of the pancreatic cancer cell lines Panc-1, BxPC-3, and the highly metastatic L3.6pl (28–30), we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by high throughput sequencing (ChIP-seq) for histone 3 acetylation at lysine 27 (H3K27ac) in the three cell lines and identified 9,348 regions that displayed marked enrichment in BxPC-3, henceforth referred to as the squamous subtype, which is associated with worse prognosis and high expression of deltaNp63. Indeed, patient survival data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) confirmed that patients expressing higher p63 had a poorer prognosis than patients with lower levels (Fig. 2B). As deltaNp63 was identified in our analyses to be highly correlated with L3.6pl- and BxPC-3-specific active cis-regulatory regions, we next examined whether these cell lines may, indeed, represent the squamous subtype and serve as model systems for studying the molecular mechanisms driving this particularly aggressive tumor phenotype observed in vivo. Therefore, we evaluated the expression of p63 in different pancreatic cancer cell lines in the Morpheus database and further verified these findings at the protein level (Fig. 2 C and D and SI Appendix, Fig. S1F) (32). Notably, only BxPC-3 and L3.6pl displayed high expression of deltaNp63, with DanG showing a moderate expression, and Mia Paca-2 cells specifically expressing only the TAp63 isoform which is consistent with previous reports of predominant expression of TAp63 in the MIA PACA-2 cell line (33) (Fig. 2 C and D). To further examine whether L3.6pl and BxPC-3 faithfully represent the squamous subtype, we examined whether they expressed a squamous gene signature compared with Panc-1. Accordingly, we used the squamous gene signature defined by Bailey et al. (8) (Dataset S3) and verified that this signature is significantly enriched in both L3.6pl and BxPC-3 cell lines compared with Panc-1 cells (Figs. 2E and G). Tendencies of enrichment for these genes were also observed in the three xenografts we identified as highly expressing deltaNp63 (SI Appendix, Fig. S1G). Notably, we also found that genes associated with an unfavorable prognosis in cancer patients were specifically enriched in the L3.6pl and BxPC-3 cell lines, providing further support that these cell lines may serve as a model for understanding the molecular mechanisms driving the aggressive characteristics of squamous-like pancreatic tumors (Fig. 2F and SI Appendix, Fig. S1H). Given that the squamous subgroup reported by Bailey et al. (8) roughly corresponds to the basal phenotype identified by Moffitt et al. (7), we also tested whether the basal gene signature was also enriched in L3.6pl and BxPC-3 and, indeed, observed a significant enrichment of the expression of these genes compared with Panc-1 (Fig. 2F). Together, these findings confirm that L3.6pl and BxPC-3 are representative in vitro model systems of squamous/basal-like pancreatic cancer.

**Depletion of DeltaNp63 Alters the Molecular Identity of Squamous Pancreatic Cancer Cells.** To investigate the role of deltaNp63 in gene activation in L3.6pl and BxPC-3 cells, we depleted deltaNp63 by siRNA-mediated knockdown and validated its downregulation at the mRNA and protein levels (Fig. 3 A and B). Interestingly, knockdown of deltaNp63 led to a marked decrease
in proliferation in both L3.6pl and BxPC-3 cells (Fig. 3 C and D). Consistent with the lack of p63 expression in Panc-1, knockdown of p63 in this line had no effect on proliferation (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 A and B). Interestingly, knockdown of TAp63 in MIA Paca-2 had also no effect on proliferation, supporting distinct roles of the two major isoforms of p63 in pancreatic cancer (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 C and D). Moreover, sphere formation in L3.6pl and BxPC-3 was significantly impaired upon p63 knockdown, with the few remaining spheres that were formed displaying a more diffuse and less defined structure, particularly in the case of L3.6pl (Fig. 3 E and F). This implies that deltaNp63 plays a role in driving a more aggressive phenotype in both of these cell lines. Interestingly, we observed that the TAP63-expressing MIA PACA-2 cells form very diffuse aggregates in sphere formation assays, further suggesting opposing roles of the p63 major isoforms (SI Appendix, Fig. S2E). To further understand how deltaNp63 drives this phenotype, we performed RNA-seq in both L3.6pl and BxPC-3 cell lines upon knockdown of deltaNp63. Remarkably, deltaNp63 down-regulation led to the reversal of the enrichment of the squamous gene signature, validating a clear and central role of deltaNp63 in driving the activation of these genes (Fig. 3G). Furthermore, gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) identified MYC and HIF1A as target pathways of deltaNp63 (SI Appendix, Fig. S2F and Dataset S4). Notably, deltaNp63 depletion mimicked a switch from a mesenchymal to a luminal phenotype defined in breast cancer. Moreover, an enrichment of pathways with decreased tumorigenesis was observed in cells with less deltaNp63 (SI Appendix, Fig. S2G and Dataset S5). Top genes that are regulated in L3.6pl and BxPC-3 are provided in Dataset S6.

**DeltaNp63 Exerts Its Effects Through Activation of Super Enhancers.** To elucidate the mechanism by which deltaNp63 exerts its marked effect on cell proliferation, gene activation, and pancreatic cancer cell fate specification, we examined the occupancy of deltaNp63 throughout the genome and identified numerous deltaNp63-occupied regions (20,679 peaks). Many of these regions intersected with H3K27ac and open chromatin regions identified by assay for transposase-accessible chromatin (ATAC) sequencing (SI Appendix, Fig. S3 A and B). Interestingly, very few of these regions were associated with transcriptional start sites (TSSs) and GREAT analysis revealed that the majority of deltaNp63 peaks were distal (Fig. 4A and SI Appendix, Fig. S3 C and D). This distal pattern of occupancy implied that deltaNp63 mainly exerts its effects via enhancer activation. As depletion of deltaNp63 severely affects the transcriptional program of the cells and dramatically alters their molecular identity, we hypothesized that deltaNp63 may occupy and potentially nucleate super enhancers (SEs), as these have been reported to be major drivers of cell identity (34). In concordance with the different gene activation profiles of...
Depletion of deltaNp63 hampers growth and reverses enrichment of gene expression profiles associated with the squamous subtype. (A) Gene expression analysis of deltaNp63 upon depletion of p63 after 48 h as shown by relative mRNA expression and normalized to the unregulated housekeeping gene (GAPDH). n = 3. (B) Western blot analysis for p63 in L3.6pl and BxPC-3 after depletion of p63 to validate its down-regulation. HSC70 is shown as loading control. (C and D) Crystal violet staining showing the proliferation of cells after 48 h of depletion of p63 compared with control for L3.6pl (C) and BxPC-3 (D) with relative area fraction shown in the bar graph. Data are represented as mean ± SEM. n = 2. (E and F) Sphere formation assay analysis with E showing a representative change in the sphere structure upon depletion of p63 in L3.6pl and BxPC-3 after 500 cells were seeded in 96-well plate for 7 d. Data are represented as mean ± SEM. n = 24. (G) GSEA plots comparing the enrichment of the squamous gene signature in sip63 compared to siControl of the respective cell lines, L3.6pl and BxPC-3. *P ≤ 0.05, **P ≤ 0.01, ***P ≤ 0.001, and ****P ≤ 0.0001.

Panc-1 compared with L3.6pl and BxPC-3, distinct super enhancers were identified in Panc-1 compared with the other two cell lines, which generally showed the same patterns and tendencies (Fig. 4B and SI Appendix, Fig. S3 E and F). Interestingly, the majority of super enhancers in L3.6pl contained at least one peak of deltaNp63, with approximately a quarter of them having three or more peaks (Fig. 4C).

Taking into consideration the potential bias in identifying super enhancers, which is dependent on the stitching of regions and the intensity of the factor used to rank the enhancers (35), we compared these results using a new algorithm to identify clusters of regulatory elements (COREs). COREs are determined using a machine learning approach to consider different windows between enhancers for stitching and does not require intensity of factors for ranking enhancers (https://www.biorxiv.org/content/early/2018/03/20/222562). Interestingly, we observed a high overlap between COREs and SEs, with COREs also showing the same high degree of occupancy by deltaNp63 (SI Appendix, Fig. S3 G and H). Notably, genes associated with COREs and/or SEs containing more than two peaks of deltaNp63 displayed a particularly high dependence on deltaNp63 (SI Appendix, Fig. S4 A and B). Notably, ChEA and enrichR consensus predicted p63 to be an upstream activator of super enhancers, and highly significant ontology terms associated with super enhancers included squamous cell carcinoma, confirming the role of SEs in defining the squamous subtype (SI Appendix, Fig. S4 C and D). Concordantly, deltaNp63-dependent genes associated with SEs and/or COREs included genes that are associated with epidermal differentiation like keratins and integrins (Fig. 4D). To identify the super enhancer regions that are driven by deltaNp63, we intersected the super enhancer regions in L3.6pl with the H3K27ac-marked regions gained in the both squamous cell lines compared with Panc-1, as well as the super enhancers identified in the patient-derived xenograft samples, since these more accurately represent in vivo squamous-like pancreatic tumors (SI Appendix, Fig. S4E). Consequently, we identified 93 SEs that were common for all these regions. We further filtered the SEs that were specifically enriched compared with the other patient-derived xenografts which clustered separately from the squamous samples and did not express deltaNp63 (SI Appendix, Fig. S4F). In this way we identified 45 super enhancer regions that were associated with the squamous subtype with high confidence. Interestingly, most genes associated with these regions showed a significant dependence on deltaNp63 (Fig. 4E). These genes included most notably FAT atypical cadherin 2 (FAT2), nectin cell adhesion molecule 1 (NECTIN1), and hypoxia inducible factor alpha subunit (HIF1A). These findings are in concordance with a squamous phenotype where hypoxic pathways are enriched and adhesion factors play a role in the development of the aggressive phenotype (8).

**Super Enhancers in the Squamous Subtype Are Dependent on DeltaNp63.** To validate that the super enhancers which we identified are dependent on deltaNp63, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by quantitative real-time PCR on selected regions in those enhancers after depletion of deltaNp63. Specifically, we examined enhancers associated with FAT2, NECTIN1, and HIF1A due to their high dependence on deltaNp63 and their high relevance to the squamous phenotype. We observed two p63-occupied regions upstream of the FAT2 gene which were occupied by a peak of p63 gene in two separate SEs and corresponding to ATAC peaks in L3.6pl and H3K27ac peaks in L3.6pl, BxPC-3, and the three squamous patient-derived xenografts (Fig. 5A). We validated FAT2 down-regulation in both L3.6pl and BxPC-3 by qRTPCR and the occupancy of these regions by deltaNp63, which was lost upon its depletion (Fig. 5B and C). Consistent with a dependence of these enhancers on deltaNp63, H3K27ac occupancy at these enhancer regions was significantly decreased upon down-regulation of p63 (Fig. 5D). This was also seen for the other investigated enhancers, including the NECTIN1 super enhancer with two deltaNp63 peaks and an enhancer region upstream of HIF1A (SI Appendix, Fig. S5).
DeltaNp63 Cooperates with Other Transcription Factors to Activate Target Genes Associated with Worse Prognosis. Given the crucial role of deltaNp63 in defining a tumor subtype characterized by poorer prognosis, we evaluated the association of the deltaNp63-dependent SE-associated genes with prognosis using data from the TCGA research network (https://cancergenome.nih.gov/).

Interestingly, increased expression of many deltaNp63-dependent genes displayed a significant correlation with poorer prognosis in pancreatic cancer patients (SI Appendix, Figs. S6 and S7A). As transcription factors frequently function cooperatively in lineage specification (36), we examined the list of genes associated with our identified enhancers and evaluated the expression of transcription factors contained within that list using the Morphues database. Remarkably, the super enhancer-driven transcription factors HIF1A, basic helix-loop–helix family member E40 (BHLHE40), and retinoid X receptor alpha (RXRA) were more highly expressed in L3.6pl and BxPC-3 cells compared with Panc-1 (SI Appendix, Fig. S7B). Consequently, we asked if this specific expression pattern may help to form a transcriptional network underlying the marked effects of deltaNp63 in our system. Accordingly, we utilized genome occupancy data for HIF1A, RXRA, and BHLHE40 from the ReMAP database and filtered out all regions that did not overlap with the gained H3K27ac regions in L3.6pl and BxPC-3 compared with Panc-1 [fold change >4, false discovery rate (FDR) <0.5]. Then, using this information, together with our RNA-seq results, we constructed a regulatory network containing deltaNp63-dependent associated genes, along with the deltaNp63-dependent SE-associated genes, and extended the network by transcription factor-target query function using the Cytoscape iRegulon app (Fig. 6A). Notably, many of the target genes were affected by a combination of these transcription factors, which also showed reciprocal regulation patterns with many of the transcription factors binding and activating one another. To validate the role of the members of this regulatory network in our system, we depleted BHLHE40, HIF1A, and RXRA in L3.6pl cells and observed a significant dependence of deltaNp63 target genes on the other members of the network, particularly BHLHE40 (Fig. 6B). We also observed an interconnected tendency of dependence of deltaNp63 on the other members of the network, particularly BHLHE40 (Fig. 6B) and SI Appendix, Fig. S7C). Consistently, proliferation of L3.6pl was similarly affected by knockdown of BHLHE40 as deltaNp63, with the cells showing similar morphological changes upon knockdown of deltaNp63 or BHLHE40 (SI Appendix, Fig. S7D–F). Moreover, we validated the cooccupation by the members of the transcriptional network at the enhancers of FAT2 and NECTIN1, which we identified to be enriched with and dependent on deltaNp63 (Fig. 6C). Accordingly, we conclude that deltaNp63 drives the expression of central target genes via the activation of super enhancers associated with downstream transcription factors.
such as HIF1A, BHLHE40, and RXRA. The activation of these deltaNp63-dependent genes enables the further indirect or cooperative activation of additional downstream target genes.

**Discussion**

Gene expression and epigenetic profiles in cancer cells can be affected by many factors that are intrinsic or extrinsic to the tumor. This renders the investigation of molecular subtypes in malignancies quite challenging, as systems to study the molecular mechanisms behind these subtypes are scarce. In this study, we were able to discern the same patterns of molecular subclasses observed in patients in both cell lines and patient-derived xenografts (7, 8). This confirms the high reproducibility of these stratifications and implies that these molecular characteristics are highly conserved and robust, being able to withstand extreme changes of conditions. Most importantly, these systems provide an ideal opportunity to identify and target certain dependencies specific for the more aggressive subtypes. L3.6pl is unique as it exhibits highly metastatic characteristics due to the repeated activation defining a squamous subgroup contained within the basal by Lomberk et al. (31) using other criteria. Molecular subtyping of pancreatic cancer currently requires the use of bioinformatically complicated algorithms and are usually not particularly robust, as demonstrated by only partially overlapping results seen in major recent studies (6–9). One reason for the apparent discrepancies may be due to tumor subgroups within the larger subgroups. Indeed, our analysis implicates deltaNp63 as a major driver of gene activation defining a squamous subgroup contained within the larger basal subgroup. Thus, deltaNp63 expression may be a defining feature of a further unappreciated subgroup of basal-like pancreatic tumors expressing a more squamous gene expression signature. In this study, we report a directed approach which involves principal component analysis of a single epigenetic marker...
(H3K27ac) on a select set of enhancer regions that are differentially active in the squamous/basal subgroup and which successfully clustered PDX samples based on molecular subtypes. This serves as an example for an accessible method to identify regions and gene signature patterns in various samples. Future studies in the scope of molecular subtypes of pancreatic cancer will play an important role in introducing conformity and clarity to the currently diverse subtyping approaches based largely on gene expression patterns.

In this study, we were able to define subtype-specific super enhancers (Dataset S7) associated with the aggressive squamous subtype in a manner akin to lineage-specific enhancers defining cell fate in pluripotent cells (40). Consistently, our findings uncover a tightly intertwined transcriptional network downstream of deltaNp63 which resembles what has been reported for transcription factors controlling pluripotency (41–43). Accordingly, it is evident that programming of cell fates, molecular subtypes, and phenotypes is efficiently achieved using a collection of transcription factors, whereby the tight regulation of entire gene expression programs is controlled by a distinct set of master transcription factors. The identification of transcription factors that are both dependent on and activate deltaNp63 in the squamous subtype can help in optimizing therapy and shed light on the molecular mechanisms which define the squamous/basal-like subtype.

Consistent with our findings, hypoxic pathways were previously reported to be enriched in the squamous subtype (8), although a direct connection to deltaNp63 was not known. Given the major role of HIF1A in the response to hypoxia, it appears likely that it may also function in promoting the increased aggressiveness of the squamous subtype and promoting cellular plasticity under hypoxic conditions (44–46). Less is known about the role of RXRA and BLHLE40 in pancreatic cancer. A connection of BLHLE40 to hypoxia was reported in breast cancer (47). BLHLE40 was found to play a crucial role in promoting a molecular switch to proinflammation in T-helper cells (48). In the brain, BLHLE40 plays a role in promoting synaptic plasticity (49). These roles in other systems imply that BLHLE40 may also play a role in promoting cellular plasticity and leading to a poorer outcome. RXRA forms a heterodimer with peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs), which can be targeted by PPAR inhibitors (50). RXRA also dimerizes with the vitamin D receptor and its mutation is associated with bladder cancer and melanoma (50, 51). It should be noted that the targeting of all of the members of a circuitry can lead to unexpected adverse effects. Accordingly, the role of these transcription factors that intersect with H3K27ac-gained regions (with a lower fold change threshold of 4) was targeted. TF-target interactions were extracted from the iRegulon app of Cytoscape in addition to the genes associated with pea hybridization (52).
of associated super enhancers (22). Altogether, our findings underscore the importance of distal regulatory elements in driving important transcriptional programs in tumorigenesis and tumor progression, thereby providing a further rationale for targeting these regions and their dependencies.

In this study, we reported deltaNp63 as an activator of gene transcription. At first glance this is surprising due to the previously assumed dominant negative- or loss-of-function pancreatic domain-lacking isoform (52). Interestingly, our report is joined by other studies demonstrating a role of deltaNp63 as a transcriptional activator (53–58). For example, the viral oncoprotein protein BamHl-A rightward frame 1 (BARF1) was shown to be exclusively transactivated by deltaNp63 and not p53 or TAp63 in epithelial tumors (54). Moreover, NECTIN1 which we reported as a highly dependent gene in squamous pancreatic cancer, was also identified to be activated via two deltaNp63-dependent enhancers in skin (55). Our findings suggest a model where deltaNp63 is able to activate target genes supported by a highly interactive transcriptional factor network. This hypothesis is consistent with the fact that we see a large number of deltaNp63-bound regions, which are not marked by H3K27ac. In contrast, those that are marked by H3K27ac in the investigated cellular systems are frequently co-occupied by RXXA, BHLHE40, and/or HIF1A. Notably, p63 peaks marked by H3K27ac, indicative of active enhancer elements, more frequently intersect with BHLHE40-bound peaks (21.3%), while deltaNp63-bound regions not co-occupied by H3K27ac only show a much lower overlap with BHLHE40 (6.8%). In particular, the high dependence of deltaNp63 on BHLHE40 and the similar effects of the knockdown of both of these factors on proliferation implies a cooperative paradigm of gene activation. Different transcriptional circuitries can also explain the differential expression of p63 isoforms in various systems. In contrast to deltaNp63, the lack of effects of TAP63 knockdown on proliferation and the inability of the TAP63 expressing MIA PaCa-2 to form spheroids imply that deltaNp63 and TAP63 may have opposing roles in pancreatic cancer. The differential mechanisms and roles of these two isoforms in pancreatic cancer will need to be more thoroughly investigated in future studies.

We have identified a marked dependence of a subset of super enhancers on deltaNp63 which may open the door for specific targeting of the squamous subtype of pancreatic cancer. However, our data also highlights the tremendous plasticity of pancreatic cancer, where a single factor is required for the activation of a whole gene signature associated with a poorer outcome. This has been further confirmed with recent findings by Somerville et al. (59) published during the revision of this work showing that deltaNp63 can reprogram the enhancer landscape in pancreatic cancer and lead to a more aggressive phenotype. Despite the fact that cancer is characterized by inter- and intratumor heterogeneity, distinct patterns of gene activation still emerge and may imply a natural selection process where certain attributes, such as overexpression of deltaNp63, lead to the selective growth or survival of these more aggressive and highly pliable tumor cells. It is likely that similar selective pressures will occur as we target the dependencies of the subtype-specific enhancers since the activation of other factors will likely lead to the activation of other compensatory gene expression programs. Future studies will be necessary to determine which factors specifically determine the gene expression patterns and cellular phenotypes of other pancreatic cancer subtypes. It will then be possible to examine the biological and therapeutic effects of subtype switching and determine whether such approaches may be useful in a therapeutic setting.

Materials and Methods

Cell Culture. L3.6pl cells (37) were cultured in phenol-free minimum essential medium (MEM) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, and 1% glucose. Capan-1, BxPC-3, and MA PaCa-2 were maintained in Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium (RPMI 1640; Thermo Fisher Scientific) supplemented with MEM. Panc-1, PaTu 8902, and DanG cells were maintained in high glucose GlutaMAX Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. Protocols for siRNA knockdowns, proliferation assays, sphere formation assays, and harvesting of protein and RNA from cells are in SI Appendix.

ChIP, ATAC, and Library Preparations. Chromatin immunoprecipitation was performed as described previously (26, 60). ATAC-seq was performed following the protocol of Buenrostro et al. (61). Libraries for RNA were prepared using the TruSeq RNA Library Prep kit V2 (Illumina) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Libraries for DNA from ChIP were made using the Microplex Library Preparation kit v2 (Diagenode) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. ATAC libraries were made using the Nextera DNA Library Prep kit. Detailed protocols including number of replicates and detailed steps are in SI Appendix.

Bioinformatic Analysis. Reads from ChIP- and ATAC-seq experiments were mapped to the reference genome assembly (hg19) using BOWTIE2/2.2.5 (62) and converted to bam files and sorted and indexed using SAMTOOLS/1.4. Subsequently, reads were normalized to 1x sequencing depth using the bamCoverage tool in DEEPTools2.4.0 (64), ignoring duplicates and extending to 200 bp. Peaks for ATAC-seq were generated with MACS2/2.1.0 without building the shifting model and with cutoff of less than 0.05 (broad cutoff of 0.05 for BRD4 and H3K27ac) and input files as background (67). Reads from RNA-seq experiments were mapped using TOPHAT2/1.0 and annotation file for hg19 was downloaded from the University of California Santa Cruz table browser (68, 69). Fragments per kilobase million (FPKM) values were calculated and differential gene expression analysis was performed using CUFFLINKS/2.2.1 (70). Detailed protocols for the bioinformatic analyses performed in this study are available in SI Appendix.

Statistical Analysis. For patient survival curves, the Mantel–Cox test was used to evaluate significance. For sphere formation assays and FPKM values, the Mann-Whitney test was applied. For analysis of qPCR, a nonparametric t test was used. P values are as follows: ***P < 0.001, **P < 0.01, *P < 0.05.

Acknowledgments. We thank G. Salinas, F. Ludewig, and S. Lutz (Transcriptome and Genome Analysis Laboratory, University Medical Center Göttingen) for performing the next generation sequencing for ChIP-seq and RNA-seq data; Z. Najafova, X. Wang, A. Kutschat, J. Henck, and all the members of the Johnsen group for their support and helpful discussions; and the Fischer group at the German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases for performing the next generation sequencing for ATAC-seq. This work was supported by the German Academic Exchange Service (F.H.H.), Deutsche Krebshilfe (PiPAC Consortium, Grant 70112505), and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Grant JG 815/3-2 to S.A.J.).