How early media exposure may affect cognitive function: A review of results from observations in humans and experiments in mice

Dimitri A. Christakis,a,b,1 Julian S. Benedikt Ramirez,c Susan M. Ferguson,a,d Shilpa Ravinder,a and Jan-Marino Ramirez,a,b,e

aCenter for Child Health, Behavior, and Development, Seattle Children’s Research Institute, Seattle, WA 98101; bDepartment of Pediatrics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195; cDepartment of Behavioral Neuroscience, Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, OR 97239; dDepartment of Psychiatry, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195; and eDepartment of Neurosurgery, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98104

Edited by David E. Meyer, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, and approved August 27, 2018 (received for review December 5, 2017)

Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is now among the most commonly diagnosed chronic psychological dysfunctions of childhood. By varying estimates, it has increased by 30% in the past 20 years. Environmental factors that might explain this increase have been explored. One such factor may be audiovisual media exposure during early childhood. Observational studies in humans have linked exposure to fast-paced television in the first 3 years of life with subsequent attentional deficits in later childhood. Although longitudinal and well controlled, the observational nature of these studies precludes definitive conclusions regarding a causal relationship. As experimental studies in humans are neither ethical nor practical, mouse models of excessive sensory stimulation (ESS) during childhood, akin to the enrichment studies that have previously shown benefits of stimulation in rodents, have been developed. Experimental studies using this model have corroborated that ESS leads to cognitive and behavioral deficits, some of which may be potentially detrimental. Given the ubiquity of media during childhood, these findings in human and rodents perhaps have important implications for public health.
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The prevalence of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) has increased substantially over the past 20 y, by as much as 30% by some estimates (1). ADHD is a clinical diagnosis recognizable to many. However, some argue that attentional capacity should be treated as a continuous rather than a dichotomous outcome given compelling evidence that there is a monotonically increasing relationship between a child’s ability to stay focused and improved adult health outcomes (2, 3). Akin to the rise in autism, the reasons for the rising prevalence of ADHD are likely multifactorial including an increase in the incidence as well as increased recognition. Decades of research have established a genetic predisposition to ADHD, but estimates of the heritability of ADHD range from 0.5 to 0.8 (4–8). The 1999 Surgeon General’s report on child mental health stated, “for most children with ADHD, the overall effects of these gene abnormalities appear small, suggesting that non-genetic factors also are important.” (4, 9–13) These “non-genetic factors” must account for the increased incidence as our genes have not changed appreciably in millennia. The role that environment might play in ADHD has been tested provisionally with respect to maternal smoking, maternal stress during pregnancy, maternal obesity, chaotic families, and inconsistent or harsh parenting (14–26). Another potential emerging environmental factor may be early exposure to electronic media.

Children today are immersed in electronic technology beginning shortly after birth. The typical child today begins regularly watching television at 4 mo of age compared with 4 y of age in 1970 (27, 28). Most of this shift has occurred in the past 15 y with the advent of new programs geared toward young infants (29).

Moreover, although no data are available for the long-term impact of smartphone, tablet, and computer usage on young infants, there is an emerging literature that indicates that these new forms of media usage can be linked to ADHD and other psychiatric disorders in older children and college students (30, 31). The perhaps excessive exposure to media starting with very young infants has led some to refer to this generation as “digital natives” who are being raised by “digital immigrants.” The positive and negative implications of growing up as a digital native in a society in which media use begins early and is ubiquitous remain largely unknown. The success of human evolution is in part explained by the tremendous plasticity of the human brain, which allows it to be shaped through interactions with its environment. This plasticity, however, also means that early experiences exert considerable influence on neuronal structure, function, and ultimately cognition.

The present review paper will discuss the general processes that govern neurodevelopment, provide a theoretical framework as to what the potential risks of overstimulating the developing brain might be, review our observational findings in humans related to exposure to early fast-paced media and subsequent attentional deficits, and summarize experimental animal data that corroborate our prior hypotheses. It should be noted that, although we refer to media, most of the prevailing research in young infants is based on television rather than newer platforms (e.g., touchscreens). Although recent studies have demonstrated considerable use of touchscreens in infants and toddlers, data regarding untoward effects are minimal to date. However, the proposed paradigm is one of overstimulation, which could also be operative on touchscreens depending on the content being viewed.

Neurodevelopment—An Interactive Process Driven by the Environment

During neurodevelopment, billions of neurons become wired into a multitude of interconnected neuronal networks, microcircuits, layers, columns, and functional areas (32–36). Most neurons
form hundreds of local and long-range connections and in turn process barrages of synaptic and neuromodulatory inputs at any given time. Neuronal processing occurs throughout the CNS, but important connections are also formed with the various divisions of the autonomic nervous system (37–40), the peripheral sensory system, and the somatic motor systems (41–43). This neuronal organization generates and processes spatiotemporal patterns and information that determines who we are, how we behave, and how we cope with our environment.

Consisting of billions of connected neurons, the human brain is set up by 19,000–20,000 protein-coding genes (44). Notably, a strikingly similar number of genes have been discovered in Caenorhabditis elegans (45), a small worm that possesses only 302 neurons, all of which have been individually identified (46). Moreover, the majority of disease-related genes in humans have homologs in C. elegans (47). This is to say that humans carry more or less the same number of genes that evolved to wire a brain of barely 300 nerve cells that control the entire behavioral repertoire of a small worm. Therefore, instead of being dictated by a relatively small number of genes, neurodevelopment is a highly interactive process in which genes provide general developmental signals—a very rough framework—and neuronal and modulatory interactions determine the wiring of the billions of cells that form the human brain: a nervous system capable of generating consciousness, emotions, memories, communication, and a complex and sophisticated behavioral repertoire.

This dynamic process depends not only on tremendous neuroplasticity but also on homeostatic mechanisms that are critical to achieve a balanced outcome (48–51). The infant brain is very responsive to environmental changes. Many factors, such as early-life adverse events, pubertal and maternal stress (52–54), toxins (55–57), nutrition (58–60), geographic environment (61, 62), and epigenetic factors (63, 64), can have adverse consequences on neurodevelopment. Indeed, there is increasing evidence that most neurological and psychiatric disorders have a developmental origin that is the result of prenatal and early postnatal disturbances in this complex process (65–69).

The fully developed and functional human brain takes more than 20 y to develop, and different areas have different developmental profiles (70–72), but the first few years of life are widely acknowledged to be the most crucial (73). The human brain triples in size in the first 3 y of life, a slope that is uniquely

![Fig. 1. Schematic illustrating the hypothesized relationship between human brain development and exposure to ESS. Typical cortical development involves proliferation, migration, arborization, and myelination. Proliferation and migration predominantly occur during prenatal stages, and arborization (circuit formation) and myelination continue through the first two postnatal decades. Synaptic pruning predominantly occurs in the early part of development but continues for years into adulthood. The brain grows drastically in size and complexity, which can be influenced by genetic and environmental factors. During these developmental stages, psychological disorders are developed. Media usage increases dramatically. The blue shaded area indicates a representative time frame in which these occurrences happen during mouse development, and when we used the ESS. Human and rodent (e.g., mouse and rat) developmental stages and corresponding time windows (years for humans and months for rodents) are represented in the x axis of the graph. Typical brain growth in weight is displayed for human (blue brain development line) and mice (red brain development line) in the y axis.](https://www.pnas.org/content/early/2017/11/17/1711548115/F1)
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steep over the life span (Fig. 1). This initial phase of neurodevelopment is characterized by a proliferation phase that is associated with an increase in the number of neurons, spineogenesis, and dendritic and axonal growth (72, 74, 75). This initial phase leads to an overproduction of connections, and it is estimated that an infant has three times as many synapses as adolescents and adults (76). What follows is a “pruning” phase during which connectivity specializes: Connections that are functionally important are strengthened, while those that are not used are weakened (72). Various cellular mechanisms change in this biphasic manner. For example, the number of spines follows an inverted U-shaped trajectory, with a peak in spine density at the age of ~3.5 mo. However, it is important to emphasize that all of these changes have different trajectories in different brain regions. These ultrastructural changes are complemented by dramatic changes in functional connectivity conditioned on their location (74, 77, 78). Insights into these changes have been gained through resting-state functional connectivity MRI (rs-fcMRI) studies. These studies investigate how fluctuations in the blood oxygen level-dependent signal of different regions of the brain are correlated with one another at rest, forming a number of specialized functional networks (e.g., the default mode network). Functional networks are differentially shaped in a region- and species-specific manner, involving the migration of neurons, myelination of axons, the formation of synapses, and the continuous synaptic pruning that occurs throughout the first 20 y of life (79, 80). The first structures to be functionally connected are primary sensorimotor and visual networks, while frontoparietal, executive control networks are still premature and form later during development (66). Early life functional network patterns are fundamentally distinct from adult networks and are reorganized throughout development (81–83). Developmental brain maturation trajectories are prominent enough to predict the age of an individual using patterns in rs-fcMRI (84).

Of note, the trajectory of this brain development is profoundly influenced by experiences. Perhaps the most cited influence is enriched environment. Animals reared in a complex and interactive environment (the so-called “enriched environment”) show numerous changes in neurodevelopment compared with animals reared in an impoverished one devoid of social and environmental stimuli. The brain size, cortical thickness, complexity in dendritic branching, and spine density of animals exposed to an enriched environment are highly increased, as are the cognitive abilities (85–90). Furthermore, light tactile stimulation for the first 10–15 d of postnatal neurodevelopment results in significant changes in nervous system and behavior that are beneficial (91, 92). These changes are permanent, which is consistent with experiments performed by Mychasiuk et al. (93), indicating that enriched environment leads to a significant decrease in gene methylation in the frontal cortex and hippocampus, suggesting that early experiences result in epigenetic changes.

While the salubrious effects of stimulation on brain development and cognition are well established, what has not been adequately studied is to what extent the developing brain can be overstimulated. Is there good stimulation and bad stimulation? Can too much sensory stimulation during this complex process of neurodevelopment result in detrimental consequences?

**Studying the Effects of Media Overuse**

The pacing of shows designed for infants is extremely rapid compared with reality and even to shows designed for older children and adults (94). These formal features may be what keep infants engaged in the screen (27). Conceptually, this raises the concern that this excessive auditory and visual stimulation might condition the developing brain to expect an intensity of inputs that reality cannot provide, thus leading to inattention in later life. Put another way, is it possible that the highly interactive process of wiring the brain will adjust the sensory cortices to the fast-paced bombardment associated with some media? Moreover, will sensory overstimulation also affect other brain areas that are not directly affected by fast sensory stimulation? The “overstimulation hypothesis” was first tested in small experimental studies in the 1970s (95–97). The results were mixed with some finding the pacing of programs was associated with short-term deficits in attention while others did not. A more recent experimental study did find that a rapidly paced show (compared with a slowly paced one) diminished executive
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**Fig. 2.** An illustration of the mouse excessive sensory stimulation (ESS) chamber and the experimental procedure.
function at least briefly after viewing (98). There is increasing evidence that, in its extreme, excessive media usage can also lead to behavioral addiction. This seems to be particularly the case for internet gaming. Studies examining the neuronal consequences of internet gaming disorder reported numerous significant changes (99, 100), including alterations in resting-state EEG coherence (101), significant alterations in cortical thickness (102, 103), altered functional connectivity in the default mode network (104, 105), and significant associations with ADHD and other psychiatric disorders (106, 107). As they now stand, these findings are correlational and cannot establish causation. Nevertheless, exploring the consequences of excessive internet use and internet gaming has become a rapidly emerging field of research, with immense clinical and public health implications. However, all of these studies focus on preschoolers, school age children, and college students and therefore did not test the effects of viewing during the most critical window of brain development.

In a large observational study, we found that increased television viewing before the age of 3 was associated with increased risk of attentional problems at school age (21). Moreover, in a follow-up study, we found that the pacing of shows drove these effects with faster pacing having stronger associations with subsequent attentional problems (108). These findings may have important public health implications given that attentional capacity in early childhood is associated with improved outcomes in adulthood in several domains including the following: higher socioeconomic status, lower rates of substance use and incarceration, and lower divorce rates (2). Based on the existing literature, the American Academy of Pediatrics discourages television viewing before 2 y of age (109).

**Developing an Animal Model for Excessive Rapidly Paced Media**

All studies of infant television viewing and subsequent deficits in attention have been observational for logical and ethical reasons, and although they controlled for many potential confounding factors, the possibility of residual confounding remains. Indeed, when trying to understand what aspects of electronic media use are detrimental and what aspects are beneficial, observational studies are inadequate to gain mechanistic insights into the potential contribution of these nongenetic factors to ADHD. Media use is an exceedingly complex stimulus. Dissecting its various components will be essential to understanding which aspects of it may be detrimental. One salient aspect of media is that it can deploy surreal pacing, producing scene changes that are unachievable in the “real” world, which raises important questions that need to be addressed mechanistically. Can the sheer sensory, normative bombardment (i.e., sensory overstimulation) be sufficient to cause increased impulsivity, hyperactivity, or cognitive impairment? These questions can be mechanistically dissected and tested in animal models. Indeed, it took experimental proof in animal studies to convince the tobacco industry and politicians that cigarettes are highly
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**Table 1. Summary of behavioral tests performed on control and ESS mice**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Light/dark latency test (LDL)</td>
<td>Mice are placed in light/dark box. Mouse behavior is tracked using VideoTrack (ViewPoint LS) to assess the time they spent in the light side of the chamber compared with the dark.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated plus maze (EPM)</td>
<td>Mice are placed on EPM. Behavior is tracked to determine how much time they spent in the open arms compared with the closed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open-field test</td>
<td>Mice are placed in a large square box for 10 min. Behavior is tracked to determine how much time is spent on the inner edge of the box compared with the center of the chamber. Overall distance traveled in the chamber is also tracked.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novel object recognition test</td>
<td>Mice are put in the same test apparatus as the open field. This time two identical objects are put in the chamber as well for an acquisition trial. After mice get familiarized with these objects, they are taken out for 1 h. One hour later, the mice were put back in the chamber for the test trial. For the test trial, one of the previous familiar objects remains in the chamber, but the other one is replaced with a new “novel” object. Time spent on each object is recorded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnes maze</td>
<td>Mice are placed on a large elevated circle, which has 19 mock holes and 1 target hole, which leads to an escape hole underneath the table. Mice are placed in the middle of the circle and time to find the escape hole is measured. This is done for 4 training days. On the fifth day, the escape hole is blocked and number of pokes into the escape hole are measured.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Fig. 3.** This figure highlights the results of (A) the open-field test (OFT), (B) elevated plus maze (EPM), and (C) light/dark latency (LDL) tests. A demonstrates illustrative examples of control (CON) (red) and excessive sensory stimulation (ESS) (blue) travel paths. These are quantified for each group indicating the overall distance traveled in the OFT [mean ± SEM; CON, 58.37 ± 1.94, n = 72; ESS, 66.12 ± 2.01; n = 72; t(142) = 2.62, P < 0.01]. B shows an illustrative example of the paths during the EPM for CON and ESS. Time spent in open arms is depicted in the bar graph [mean ± SEM; CON, 9.93 ± 2.11, n = 48; ESS, 31.03 ± 2.78; n = 61; t(105) = 3.39, P < 0.001]. C depicts the examples of CON and ESS path lengths during the LDL, and time in the light chamber is quantified in the bar graph [mean ± SEM; CON, 53.79 ± 4.17, n = 48; ESS, 82.39 ± 6.41, n = 61; t(105) = 2.62, P < 0.01]. Error bars in graphs represent the SEM of variability within each group. Note: **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001. Adapted with permission from ref. 119.
addictive and cause lung cancer. At this point, we can only speculate that media use affects behavior, and any association with ADHD remains on relatively soft ground. Although the recent studies on internet gaming provide increasing evidence for a link between media use and ADHD as well as other neurological and psychiatric sequelae, the data are far from conclusive (110).

Consequently, we have developed a mouse model of what we have termed excessive sensory stimulation (ESS) to further advance the field, and dissect one particular aspect of media use. In humans, it will be impossible to isolate the “mere” sensory overstimulation aspect from cognitive involvement, and from the interactive potential of media use. Our model builds on the seminal studies in rodents that actively explored environmental influences on brain development and cognition. Rodents reared under enriched environmental conditions perform better on maze trials later in life (86, 87, 111). This improvement is associated with increased dendritic branching in the occipital and motor-sensory cortex (89, 111), increased size and complexity of the superior colliculus (89), and increased neurogenesis in the hippocampus (90, 112–116). Our research has tested the hypothesis that ESS during a similar period will influence the field, and dissect one particular aspect of media use. In humans, it will be impossible to isolate the “mere” sensory overstimulation aspect from cognitive involvement, and from the interactive potential of media use. Our model builds on the seminal studies in rodents that actively explored environmental influences on brain development and cognition. Rodents reared under enriched environmental conditions perform better on maze trials later in life (86, 87, 111). This improvement is associated with increased dendritic branching in the occipital and motor-sensory cortex (89, 111), increased size and complexity of the superior colliculus (89), and increased neurogenesis in the hippocampus (90, 112–116).

Fig. 4. Results of (A) Barnes maze (BM) test and (B) novel object recognition test (NORT). A shows differences in search strategies on the test day of the BM for control (CON) (red) and excessive sensory stimulation (ESS) (blue). Learning throughout the 4-d training trials is depicted in terms of the time to find the target hole for CON and ESS. ESS mice trend toward finding the target hole faster than CON on day 1 (effect of hyperactivity) [mean ± SEM; CON, 30.60 ± 4.64, n = 12; ESS, 20.08 ± 2.35, n = 10; t(19) = 1.80, P < 0.05]. Error bars in graphs represent the SEM of variability. B illustrates the NORT and the results of the discrimination ratio on the test trial. The discrimination ratio was calculated as follows: (time spent on the novel object – time spent on the familiar object)/total time. ESS mice spent less time with the novel object compared with CON [mean ± SEM; CON, 0.32 ± 0.07, n = 39; ESS, 0.16 ± 0.05, n = 42; t(80) = 1.99, P < 0.05]. Error bars in graphs represent the SEM of variability within each group. Significance was determined using a two-tailed t test. Note: *P < 0.05; ***P < 0.001. Adapted with permission from ref. 119.
These findings can be interpreted as showing that mice are hyperkinetic and less risk averse. This is an important finding as it directly addresses the question raised earlier: Is the sensory overstimulation alone sufficient to have detrimental consequences resembling those of ADHD? Our data indeed suggest that, even without cognitive engagement and without social isolation, sensory overstimulation alone is sufficient to have detrimental consequences. Aside from numerous behavioral changes, we found significant neurobiological alterations in glutamatergic transmission in the nucleus accumbens and amygdala (120). A different group of investigators in Israel did a replication and extension study of our work (121). They exposed juvenile rats to 1 h daily of highly salient odors that were changed frequently, whereas control rats had consistent odors. They found that overstimulated rats performed more poorly on the five-choice serial reaction time task when auditory distractors were present. The five-choice serial reaction time task tests for impulsivity and attention and is considered analogous to the computer performance task used in humans to measure ADHD symptomology (122, 123). Notably, there findings are consistent with what is seen clinically in children with ADHD: They perform better when distractions are minimized. Importantly, while it can be argued that our ESS paradigm used types of stimulation that rodents would never encounter in the real world, Hadas et al. (124) used a paradigm of odors that in theory could exist in more naturalistic environments. This suggests that it is the intensity of the stimulation that drives the observed effects.

These results provide experimental corroboration of observational data in humans. Indeed, this was hypothesis-driven research confirming findings from children in rodents rather than the reverse as is frequently the case. Indeed, it is important to note that the arguably most frequently used rodent model for ADHD, the so-called hypertensive rat, was not created in a hypothesis-driven and/or mechanistic manner; rather the behavioral traits seen in this rodent were considered “ADHD-like.” (125–129) This means that the behavioral phenotype of these rats could be caused by a myriad of mechanisms that may or may not be relevant for understanding the etiology of ADHD. Nevertheless, as is the case for all animal models, the differences (and similarities) between what is observed in human infants and what is induced in rodents are worth considering in some detail.

First, there is the issue of cognitive engagement. It is unclear how much cognitive engagement infants have while watching television (130), but it is highly probable that mice have little to none when experiencing ESS. Thus, our findings cannot speak to the role of cognitive engagement itself. Instead, our findings support a much less intuitive but more important hypothesis: that rodents would never encounter in the real world, Hadas et al. (124) used a paradigm of odors that in theory could exist in more naturalistic environments. This suggests that it is the intensity of the stimulation that drives the observed effects.

In summary, our observations in humans have been at least provisionally confirmed in experimental studies in mice. ESS early in life can negatively impact cognitive function and behavior. These findings support the American Academy of Pediatrics recommendation that screen time—particularly when it involves fast-paced media—should be minimized for children under 2 (138). However, it should be noted that the age of 2 y is arbitrary, and given evidence that brain development continues until the early 20s, further research should be conducted to better clarify potential impacts throughout the pediatric life span.


