Thalamocortical synchronization during induction and emergence from propofol-induced unconsciousness
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General anesthesia (GA) is a reversible drug-induced state of altered arousal required for more than 60,000 surgical procedures each day in the United States alone. Sedation and unconsciousness under GA are associated with stereotyped electrophysiological oscillations that are thought to reflect profound disruptions of activity in neuronal circuits that mediate awareness and cognition. Computational models make specific predictions about the role of the cortex and thalamus in these oscillations. In this paper, we provide in vivo evidence in rats that alpha oscillations (10–15 Hz) induced by the commonly used anesthetic drug propofol are synchronized between the thalamus and the medial prefrontal cortex. We also show that at deep levels of unconsciousness where movement ceases, coherent thalamocortical delta oscillations (1–5 Hz) develop, distinct from concurrent slow oscillations (0.1–1 Hz). The structure of these oscillations in both cortex and thalamus closely parallels those observed in the human electroencephalogram during propofol-induced unconsciousness. During emergence from GA, this synchronized activity dissipates in a sequence different from that observed during loss of consciousness. A possible explanation is that recovery from anesthetia-induced unconsciousness follows a “boot-up” sequence actively driven by ascending arousal centers. The involvement of medial prefrontal cortex suggests that when these oscillations (alpha, delta, slow) are observed in humans, self-awareness and internal consciousness would be impaired if not abolished. These studies advance our understanding of anesthesia-induced unconsciousness and altered arousal and further establish principled neurophysiological markers of these states.

Significance

General anesthesia is a drug-induced state of altered arousal associated with profound, stereotyped electrophysiological oscillations. Here we report evidence in rats that propofol, an anesthetic drug frequently used in clinical practice, disrupts activity in medial prefrontal cortex and thalamus by inducing highly synchronized oscillations between these structures. These oscillations closely parallel human electroencephalogram oscillations under propofol. Disruption of activity in medial prefrontal cortex by these oscillations implies an impairment of self-awareness and internal consciousness. During recovery of consciousness, these synchronized oscillations dissipate in a “boot-up” sequence most likely driven by ascending arousal centers. These studies advance our understanding of what it means to be unconscious under anesthesia and establish principled neurophysiological markers to monitor and manage this state.
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Propofol-induced $\alpha$ oscillations that develop at loss of consciousness, measured behaviorally by loss of righting reflex, are highly coherent between higher-order thalamus and prefrontal cortex. Soon after, $\alpha$ and slow-$\delta$ oscillations develop at the point of complete loss of movement, which also display high thalamocortical coherence at $\alpha$ and $\delta$ frequencies. During emergence, the $\delta$ oscillations diminish in power and are no longer thalamocortically coherent before recovery of movement. In the $\alpha$ range, midline thalamic nuclei and deep prelimbic layers are no longer coherent, implying that parts of the thalamocortical system can become functional before others during emergence.

**Results**

**Overview.** We recorded LFPs in prefrontal cortex and thalamus during the gradual transition from an awake, freely behaving state through loss of righting reflex and movement induced by a slowly increasing infusion rate of propofol (Materials and Methods and Fig. S1). We then allowed the animals to passively recover from the anesthetic. Throughout the experiment, we characterized the movement and behavior of the animals, using video recordings. We performed a total of 11 experiments in eight rats. We identified the time of loss of righting reflex (LORR), loss of movement (LOM), recovery of movement (ROM), and recovery of righting reflex (RORR) as the relevant behavioral endpoints to analyze the electrophysiological dynamics (SI Results and Fig. S2).

**Electrophysiological Dynamics of Rodent Prefrontal Cortex Parallel the Human EEG During Induction of and Emergence from Anesthesia.** In humans, a well-defined pattern of oscillations has been observed during transition into propofol-induced unconsciousness. This pattern includes $\beta$ oscillations that smoothly transition into $\alpha$ oscillations at the time of loss of consciousness (7, 8). EEG source localization analyses in humans suggest that these $\beta$ and $\alpha$ oscillations are generated approximately within dorsal medial prefrontal and anterior cingulate cortices (16). We therefore investigated whether LFP activity in the rodent medial prefrontal cortex, which is thought to correspond to the human dorsal medial prefrontal and anterior cingulate cortices (17), would show a pattern of oscillations under propofol similar to that in humans. To investigate this question, we computed time-varying spectra (spectrograms) (18) to analyze the dynamics of the LFP oscillations. We extracted the portion of the spectrograms around or after the different behavioral events and aggregated them across recording sites and animals, to obtain group peri-event spectra, which we subsequently analyzed for statistical significance (Materials and Methods).

During baseline, the raw LFP trace displayed low amplitude with no obvious oscillations, typical of the awake state (Fig. 1A). The group spectra ($n = 27$, eight rats) showed decay in power from lower to higher frequencies (19) (Fig. 1B). During induction of anesthesia, the nonnormalized (Fig. 1C) and baseline-normalized (Fig. 1D) spectrograms showed the appearance of $\beta$ oscillations (15–30 Hz) soon after dosing started, which transitioned to $\alpha$ oscillations (10–15 Hz), at which time LORR occurred, and subsequently to simultaneous slow ($0.1–1$ Hz), $\delta$ ($1–5$ Hz), and $\alpha$ oscillations, at which time LOM occurred. Focusing on the period that precedes LORR (preLORR), the $\beta$ oscillations can be observed in the raw trace (Fig. 1E, blue trace). The difference group spectra revealed a significant increase at all frequencies except the slow and $\theta$ bands (Fig. 1F). The $\beta$ band had a peak at a frequency ($f_p$) of 26 Hz and showed a significant power increase. Another significant power increase can be observed in the $\delta$ band ($f_p = 3.6$ Hz) (Fig. 1D and F and Table 1).

As the infusion continued, power significantly increased at most frequencies except for the slow band. Focusing on the LORR period, we observed that the raw trace displays a semi-continuous $\alpha$ oscillation (Fig. 1F, green trace). The group difference spectra showed significant power increase in the $\delta$ ($f_p = 4.3$ Hz) and $\alpha$ bands ($f_p = 12.20$ Hz) (Fig. 1F and Table 1). After LORR occurred, the animals continued to perform purposeful head and/or limb movements. Focusing on the LOM period, we observed a further increase in the amplitude of slow, $\delta$ and $\alpha$ oscillations (Fig. 1C, left, red trace). The group difference spectra revealed a significant increase in power at all frequency bands, with clear peaks in the $\delta$ ($f_p = 4.3$ Hz) and $\alpha$ ($f_p = 12.2$ Hz) bands (Fig. 1D and F and Table 1).

The oscillatory features observed during emergence differed from those observed during induction in some of their features. The nonnormalized (Fig. 1G) and baseline-normalized (Fig. 1H) spectrograms showed the presence of slow, $\delta$, and $\alpha$ oscillations previous to ROM. Right after ROM, a sudden change to $\beta$ oscillations occurred, which decrease in power after RORR (Fig. 1H). Focusing on the period before ROM (preROM), the raw traces showed the slow, $\delta$, and $\alpha$ oscillations (Fig. 1D, blue trace). We observed that the group difference spectra is still significantly higher than baseline at all frequencies, but displayed spectral peaks at $\delta$ ($f_p = 4.2$ Hz) and $\alpha$ ($f_p = 11$ Hz) (Fig. 1J and Table 1). Focusing on the period after ROM, the difference group spectra showed a power increase only beyond the $\theta$ band (Fig. 1J), with a significant peak only in the $\beta$ band ($f_p = 19.5$ Hz; Table 1). After RORR, the difference group spectra were very similar to those observed during ROM, with peaks in the $\delta$ ($f_p = 4.4$ Hz) and $\beta$ bands ($f_p = 23.3$ Hz; Fig. 1J and Table 1). Thus, on emergence, the electrophysiological dynamics appeared to change abruptly at ROM from $\alpha$, slow, and $\delta$ oscillations to $\beta$ oscillations, distinct from the more gradual transition that we observed during induction.

**Layers of Prefrontal Cortex Are Differentially Affected by Propofol Actions.** Different cortical layers in prefrontal cortex display different connectivity patterns with thalamus and other cortical and subcortical areas. Also, the model put forward by Ching et al. (14) assumed a generic prefrontal layer 5 neuron. Therefore, we investigated the possibility of different layers being differentially affected by propofol. We estimated the electrode locations as described in Materials and Methods (layer 2/3, $n = 8$, five rats; layer 5, $n = 12$, seven rats; layer 6, $n = 7$, four rats). Example raw LFPs recorded after LORR showed a subtle but visible increase in the amplitude of the traces going from superficial layer 2/3 to the deeper layers 5 and 6 (Fig. 2A, yellow highlight). When we analyzed the power difference between all pairs of layers (Fig. 2B), no differences in power were observed during the preLORR state, but at LORR, layers 5 and 6 showed significantly higher power than layer 2/3 in the $\alpha$ band (5 vs. 2/3, $f_p = 13.6$ Hz; 6 vs. 2/3, $f_p = 13.5$ Hz) (Fig. 2B and Table S1). During the LOM period, the difference in power at $\alpha$ disappeared, but layers 5 and 6 showed higher power than layer 2/3 in the slow (5 vs. 2/3, $f_p = 0.8$ Hz; 6 vs. 2/3, $f_p = 0.4$ Hz) and $\delta$ ranges (5 vs. 2/3, $f_p = 3.44$ Hz; 6 vs. 2/3, $f_p = 3.6$ Hz) (Fig. 2B and Table S1).

During emergence, the differential effects of propofol in the superficial (2/3) and deep layers (5 and 6) are still present. Example raw LFP traces corresponding to the preROM period show the trend to higher amplitude in deeper layers (Fig. 2C, yellow highlight). When we analyzed the power difference between all pairs of layers (Fig. 2D), we found that in the preROM period, power in layer 6 was significantly greater than power in both layers 2/3 and 5, in the slow (6 vs. 2/3, $f_p = 0.1$ Hz; 6 vs. 5, $f_p = 0.7$ Hz) and $\delta$ ranges (6 vs. 2/3, $f_p = 1.2$ Hz; 6 vs. 5, $f_p = 1.2$ Hz) (Fig. 2D and Table S1). Immediately after ROM, all differences in power disappeared, and during RORR, the power in layer 6 became significantly lower than power in both layers 2/3 and 5 in the $\delta$ range (6 vs. 2/3, $f_p = 2.8$ Hz dB; 6 vs. 5, $f_p = 1.2$ Hz), and power in layer 6 was also significantly lower than in layer 2/3 in...
The slow range (6 vs. 2/3: \( f_p = 0.4 \) Hz) (Fig. 2D and Table S1). Therefore, deep layers 5 and 6 were differentially affected by propofol actions, but in an asymmetric manner when comparing induction vs. emergence.

**Electrophysiological Dynamics of Thalamic Nuclei During Induction of and Emergence from Anesthesia.** Computational modeling has suggested a fundamental role of the thalamus in the generation of the propofol-induced coherent frontal \( \alpha \) oscillation (14). We simultaneously recorded the thalamic LFP activity together with prefrontal LFP during induction of and emergence from anesthesia. An example raw trace recorded in the mediodorsal nucleus during baseline displays a prominent \( \theta \) oscillation (5–10 Hz) (Fig. 3A) that can also be observed in the group spectra with a peak frequency of 6 Hz (Fig. 3B). This \( \theta \) oscillation is typical of active awake states and might have a hippocampal

**Table 1. Power difference with regard to baseline in the prefrontal LFP during each behavioral state**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band</th>
<th>preLORR</th>
<th>LORR</th>
<th>LOM</th>
<th>preROM</th>
<th>ROM</th>
<th>RORR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \delta )</td>
<td>2.39 [1.60, 3.18] ( ^{\dagger} )</td>
<td>4.26 [3.55, 4.99] ( ^{\ddagger} )</td>
<td>12.74 [11.55, 13.69] ( ^{\dagger} )</td>
<td>6.49 [5.66, 7.48] ( ^{\dagger} )</td>
<td>1.47 [0.66, 2.14]</td>
<td>1.34 [0.60, 2.07] ( ^{\dagger} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Differences correspond to the peak power and are expressed as decibels.

\(^{\dagger}\)The numbers within brackets are the 99% confidence intervals (n = 27, eight rats).

\(^{\ddagger}\)Significant difference in peak power beyond the spectral resolution (3 Hz).
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\[ n = 2.1 \text{ Hz}; \delta = 3.8 \text{ Hz}. \]

The difference spectra between layers, at each behavioral event. Shaded area represents 99% confidence intervals. (C and D) Difference in power between layers during emergence from anesthesia. (C) Example raw traces observed during preROM from electrodes located in layers 2/3, 5, and 6. Color code is the same as in A. (D) Baseline-normalized, difference group spectra at each behavioral event. Color code is the same as in B. In A and C, the yellow squares highlight portions of the raw traces where deep layers display bigger amplitude than superficial layers. The shaded area in all group spectra represents 99% confidence intervals, and the horizontal lines mark the frequencies at which power is significantly different from baseline beyond the spectral resolution (3 Hz). Vertical scale: 1,000 μV. Horizontal scale: 1 s.

Fig. 2. Differential effects of propofol in deep and superficial layers. (A and B) Difference in power between layers during induction of anesthesia. (A) Example raw traces observed during LORR from electrodes located in layers 2/3 (blue), 5 (green), and 6 (red). (B) Baseline-normalized, difference group spectra between layers, at each behavioral event. Shaded area represents 99% confidence intervals. (C and D) Difference in power between layers during emergence from anesthesia. (C) Example raw traces observed during preROM from electrodes located in layers 2/3, 5, and 6. Color code is the same as in A. (D) Baseline-normalized, difference group spectra at each behavioral event. Color code is the same as in B. In A and C, the yellow squares highlight portions of the raw traces where deep layers display bigger amplitude than superficial layers. The shaded area in all group spectra represents 99% confidence intervals, and the horizontal lines mark the frequencies at which power is significantly different from baseline beyond the spectral resolution (3 Hz). Vertical scale: 1,000 μV. Horizontal scale: 1 s.

Thalamocortical Synchronization in the \( \alpha \) and \( \delta \) Ranges During Propofol-Induced Anesthesia. Mathematical modeling of propofol-induced dynamics predicts that \( \alpha \) oscillations within thalamus and cortex become coherent with increasing potentiation of GABAergic inhibition (14). However, empirical data and analyses to evaluate this hypothesis have been lacking. The role of the thalamus in generating slow and \( \delta \) oscillations observed during nonrapid eye movement sleep and some states of anesthesia-induced altered consciousness has been a topic of much debate (21). Recordings in deafferented cortex show that slow oscillations can occur in the absence of thalamic connections (22). Yet basic functional anatomic and neurophysiological reasoning suggests that the thalamus is likely a significant participant in slow and \( \delta \) oscillations (23, 24). To address these questions in the context of induction and emergence from propofol anesthesia, we analyzed the coherence between the superficial layer (2/3, referred to as SL) and deep layers (5 and 6, referred to as DLs), layers of prelimbic cortex, and the different high-order thalamic nuclei (M, C, and S).

In total, we analyzed six thalamocortical combinations, denoted as follows: SL-M (n = 6, three rats), DL-M (n = 7, three rats), SL-C (n = 7, four rats), DL-C (n = 15, five rats), SL-S (n = 16, four rats), and DL-S (n = 41, five rats). The full results of the coherence calculations can be seen in Fig. S5 and are schematized in Fig. 4 and Fig. S6. During the preLORR period, when
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\[ \beta \text{ oscillations are present in both cortex and thalamus, we did not observe any significant changes in thalamocortical coherence (Fig. S5, first column). During LORR, however, there was significant thalamocortical coherence in the } \alpha \text{ band between all thalamic nuclei and prelimbic layers, peaking between 10.3 Hz and 12.5 Hz (Fig. 4A; Fig. S5, second row; and Table S2). We also observed a significant decrease in } \theta \text{ coherence between the SL-M pairs and a significant increase between all of the other pairs except DL-M (Fig. S6). At the time of loss of movement, the generalized } \alpha \text{ coherence persists } (f_\alpha = 10.5–12.3 \text{ Hz}), \text{ although its effect size was reduced compared with the LORR period (Fig. 4A; Fig. S5, third row; and Table S2). Simultaneously, thalamocortical coherence in the } \delta \text{ range } (f_\delta = 3.0–3.3 \text{ Hz}) \text{ developed in most pairs, except for the SL-M combination (Fig. 4A; Fig. S5, third row; and Table S2). Therefore, as the computational model suggested (14), } \alpha \text{ coherence between all thalamic nuclei and prelimbic cortex appears to be a primary feature of propofol-induced anesthesia. We did not observe significant changes in slow oscillation coherence at any of the behavioral endpoints (Fig. S5).} \]

### Table 2. Power difference with regard to baseline in the thalamic LFP during each behavioral state

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band</th>
<th>preLORR</th>
<th>LORR</th>
<th>LOM</th>
<th>preROM</th>
<th>ROM</th>
<th>RORR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\delta$</td>
<td>4.56 [3.64, 5.36]†</td>
<td>6.66 [5.98, 7.40]†</td>
<td>9.89 [8.87, 10.81]†</td>
<td>6.18 [5.24, 7.07]†</td>
<td>5.15 [4.33, 5.97]†</td>
<td>5.38 [4.58, 6.08]†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta$</td>
<td>-1.13 [-2.03, -0.23]</td>
<td>4.58 [7.11, 8.53]</td>
<td>6.05 [5.40, 6.68]</td>
<td>5.45 [4.74, 6.10]</td>
<td>-3.60 [-4.63, -2.73]†</td>
<td>-4.10 [-4.81, -3.16]†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Differences correspond to the peak power and are expressed as decibels.
* The numbers within brackets are the 99% confidence intervals ($n = 27$, eight rats).
* Significant difference in peak/trough power beyond the spectral resolution (3 Hz).
Thalamocortical Synchronization Dissipates in an Anatomically Discrete Fashion During Emergence from Propofol-Induced Anesthesia. During emergence, the different thalamocortical pairs displayed different coherence patterns through the different behavioral events. At preROM, the significant δ-band coherence disappeared across most thalamocortical pairs, remaining significantly different from baseline only between the DL-S pair ($f_\delta = 4.1$ Hz) (Fig. 4B; Fig. S5, fourth row; and Table S2). In the α band, a significant coherence difference in the preROM period remained present between DL-C ($f_\alpha = 12.1$ Hz), SL-S ($f_\alpha = 11.8$ Hz), and DL-S pairs ($f_\alpha = 12.1$ Hz), but there were not significant differences between any of the other pairs. At ROM and RORR, the increase in coherence in δ and α bands disappeared in all pairs (Fig. 4B; Fig. S5, fifth and sixth rows; and Table S2) although we observed a small but significant increase in coherence in the β band at RORR (Figs. S5, sixth row, and S6). Thus, during emergence, thalamocortical coherence dissipated in a graded sequence that did not mirror the onset of coherence during induction, with the S-cortical α coherence being the last to disappear.

Discussion

We observed changes in cortical and thalamic dynamics associated with behavior during gradual induction of and emergence from propofol-induced unconsciousness in rodents. During induction, cortical oscillations followed a pattern that appears similar to that observed in the human scalp EEG, characterized by broad-band β oscillations that coalesce into α oscillations after loss of consciousness (LORR and LOM), paired with the appearance of slow-δ oscillations (LOM). Propofol-induced thalamic oscillations also showed changes associated with different behavioral states, but with less distinct, more broad-band oscillations. Thalamic and cortical oscillations, however, showed significant increases in coherence in the α band at loss of consciousness (LORR) and in the δ band at LOM. This observation is consistent with previous computational modeling studies (14) and invasive recordings in rodents (25). In particular, whereas cortical inhibitory networks can generate β oscillations (26) that slow to α frequencies with increasing inhibitory tone (13), propofol-induced α oscillations appear to become coherent through the functional influence of the thalamus (14). We must emphasize, however, that the presence of thalamocortical coherence implies only an interaction between the two areas, and cannot convey directional influences, which would require the application of different analysis methods that can be challenging to interpret (27).

During emergence, these propofol-induced dynamics dissipated, but with a trajectory that differed from that observed during induction. In the cortex, we observed an abrupt transition from a slow-δ–α pattern to β oscillations during emergence, distinct from the gradual transition observed during induction. In the thalamus, we observed a similar abrupt transition from slow-δ and broad-band α and β oscillations to just β oscillations, again distinct from the gradual change during induction. Changes in thalamocortical coherence also showed a different trajectory during emergence compared with induction. Whereas during induction, α and δ coherence appeared abruptly at LORR and LOM, respectively, these coherent oscillations dissipated with a distinct sequence across midline and intralaminar, followed by sensory-motor divisions of thalamus. These events may constitute a thalamocortical “boot-up” sequence that occurs during emergence from anesthesia-induced unconsciousness. This dynamic boot-up sequence is consistent with changes in functional connectivity spanning thalamus and prefrontal cortex observed in humans, using positron emission tomography (28) and functional magnetic resonance imaging (15) during emergence from anesthesia. In addition, the asymmetry in cortical, thalamic, and thalamocortical dynamics during induction and emergence appears consistent with previous reports of hysteresis in anesthetic concentrations associated with loss and recovery of consciousness (29).

We designed these studies to characterize thalamocortical dynamics in frontal cortical and higher-order thalami that have been implicated as key sites of action in modeling studies (14) and in previous studies of propofol in humans (15). The rodent prelimbic cortex is a component of the rodent medial prefrontal cortex, which is homologous to the human medial prefrontal cortex (30). The mediodorsal, central lateral, posterior medial, and laterodorsal thalamic nuclei, which respectively are part of the midline, intralaminar, and multimodal higher-order thalamic divisions, also have homologs in humans. These nuclei are regarded as higher-order thalamic nuclei, defined by the fact that they receive inputs primarily from cortical layer 5, compared with first-order nuclei, which receive input primarily from ascending sensory pathways (31). The higher-order nuclei are thus thought to influence high-order cortical functions: They constitute a substantial portion of the thalamic “matrix” and are also categorized

Fig. 4. Changes in thalamocortical coherence with respect to baseline in the δ and α bands. (A and B) Strength of synchronization between deep layers (DL) or superficial layers (SL) of prelimbic cortex and the mediodorsal (M), central lateral (C), and sensory-motor (S) nuclei, within two frequency bands (δ and α, rows) and the three different behavioral states (columns) observed during induction (A) and emergence (B). The arrows depict the establishment of significant changes in coherence between cortical and thalamic nuclei with respect to baseline. The color of the arrows exemplifies the size of the increase (red shades) in coherence.
as part of the “nonspecific” thalamus. The medial prefrontal cortex has highly structured interconnections with these higher-order nuclei, as indicated in Fig. S3. Our findings are thus consistent with functional magnetic resonance imaging studies in humans that describe reductions in functional connectivity between nonspecific thalamus and frontal cortex during propofol-induced sedation (15).

Our finding that propofol-induced oscillations have greater power in the deep layers of prelicbic cortex (Fig. 2) is consistent with a disruption of not only cortical activity, but also corticothalamic activity, because corticothalamic projections originate from the deep layers (Fig. S3). At the same time, the significant involvement of superficial cortical layers in the propofol-induced oscillations (Fig. 4) suggests that cortico-cortical connections could be disrupted as well, because cortico-cortical connections originate and terminate within the superficial layers. The coherent oscillations we observed in the δ band at LORR and LOM in cortex and thalamus are consistent with the thalamocortical mechanism proposed in Ching et al. (14). Our studies add the observation that at LOM, cortical and thalamic oscillations are coherent at δ frequencies also. This progression from α coherence at LORR to α plus δ coherence at LOM is consistent with a thalamic mechanism in which the thalamus becomes increasingly hyperpolarized (32) at increasing levels of propofol administration, first in a way that promotes coherent α oscillations and then in a way that facilitates both coherent δ and α oscillations (33). The observation that δ oscillations become coherent at loss of movement could be useful clinically as an indicator of akinesia, particularly if noninvasive measures of this phenomenon could be developed.

During emergence, we observed changes in oscillatory activity and thalamocortical coherence that differed from induction in specific components of prefrontal cortex and thalamus. We observed that α coherence between superficial cortical layers and midline and intralaminar thalamic nuclei appeared to recover before recovery of movement (preROM; Fig. 4B), again consistent with a “boot-up sequence” during emergence distinct from induction. If this reflects a recovery of function within the superficial cortical layers, it might also imply that cortico-cortical functional connectivity has been restored, at least in part, in this state. Given the structure of cortico-thalamic projections (Fig. S3), the recovery of the superficial layers at preROM also suggests that the remaining α and δ coherence with intralaminar and sensory-motor thalamus most likely originates from the deep cortical layers. ROM is associated with loss of the remaining coherence between prefrontal cortex and intralaminar and sensory-motor thalamic nuclei. Sensory-motor thalamus engages broadly with both somatosensory and motor cortex, as well as other cortical regions, providing a means of coordination between these areas. The recovery of coherence between sensory-motor thalamus and prefrontal cortex suggests that recovery of executive functions mediated by medial prefrontal cortex and midline/intralaminar thalamic nuclei on the one hand and integration of somatosensory, motor, and other functions in the other (34) could be prerequisites for recovery of movement.

This boot-up sequence would be consistent with a mechanism in which ascending arousal inputs from cholinergic and dopaminergic centers actively drive recovery of circuit function. Cholinergic projections from basal forebrain show a preference for mediodorsal and intralaminar thalamic nuclei (31, 35–38). Dopaminergic projections from the ventral tegmental area in the rat target primarily the reticular nucleus, zona incerta, and mediodorsal nucleus (39). In addition, in primates, projections from the ventral tegmental area (VTA) to the mediodorsal nucleus lack the dopamine transporter, thus potentiating dopaminergic signaling in this nucleus (40). VTA projections to the cortex induce superficial layer cortical postsynaptic depolarizations (41), which is consistent with the loss of coherence we observed in superficial layers of cortex at preROM. A number of other investigations have reported cholinergic (42–44) and dopaminergic (45, 46) influences on arousal that provoke recovery of consciousness after anesthesia-induced unconsciousness. Our work, alongside this evidence from previous studies, suggests that the “hysteresis” during emergence from anesthesia-induced unconsciousness (29) could be explained by active enhancement of arousal from the basal forebrain and VTA.

In both rodents and humans, the medial prefrontal cortex is part of a larger resting-state “default mode” network that is active in the absence of external stimuli and that is functionally connected with thalamus (47). The medial prefrontal cortex in particular is active in self-referential tasks (48) and during states of “internal consciousness” (49). The mediodorsal thalamus is the primary relay nucleus for the medial prefrontal cortex. Our finding that medial prefrontal cortex and mediodorsal thalamus are both engaged in narrow, highly coherent oscillations suggests that processing within these structures would be impaired, including functions related to self-reference or internal consciousness. Thus, our findings imply that when these propofol-induced oscillations appear at LORR/LOM, they are indicative of a state of impaired self-awareness and impaired internal consciousness. At the same time, during emergence, our results show that there is a period before ROM (preROM) where these oscillations disappear and activity within this medial prefrontal and mediodorsal thalamic circuit is restored, at least in part. At the same time α coherence between sensory-motor thalamus and prefrontal cortex persists, dissipating only at ROM. This suggests that, in this preROM state, cortical and thalamic functions associated with self-awareness and internal consciousness could be restored as part of the boot-up sequence, despite ongoing impairment of sensory-motor function.

The propofol-induced oscillations we observed in the rodent prefrontal cortex are similar in character to those that are routinely observed in the scalp EEG in humans, despite notable interspecies differences in cortical and thalamic architecture. Rodent prefrontal cortex is agranular; i.e., it does not present a layer 4 (50). In primates the prefrontal cortex is granular, suggesting that layer 4 is not required to produce these oscillations. Inhibitory interneurons are absent in rodent dorsal thalamus, but are present in primate dorsal thalamus (51). This suggests, consistent with previous computational modeling studies (14), that inhibition from ventral structures such as the thalamic reticular nucleus, which are common to both primates and rodents, is likely responsible for the changes in thalamocortical coherence observed under propofol.

Thalamic and cortical recordings have been performed previously during propofol-induced unconsciousness in rodents (25). Our studies add significantly to these previous studies by characterizing frequency-dependent functional relationships (coherence) between thalamus and cortex, analyzing dynamics in these structures during a gradual induction and during emergence, analyzing the interactions between different thalamic divisions and prefrontal cortex lamina throughout induction and emergence, and characterizing propofol-induced changes in slow and δ oscillations. The gradual infusion scheme, in combination with a more detailed behavioral analysis, allowed us to identify distinct dynamics for LORR and LOM during induction and preROM, ROM, and RORR during emergence. As discussed here, the distinct thalamocortical dynamics during these states have important implications for understanding the functional mechanisms underlying propofol-induced loss and recovery of consciousness.

Previous studies in humans have observed significant increases in slow oscillation power during loss of consciousness (7, 12) and have established that these oscillations correspond to ON and OFF states where neurons are periodically silenced (11). Notably, unlike propofol-induced α waves, these slow oscillations
are spatially incoherent (7, 11), implying a state of “fragmentation” of cortical activity (11). Our results are consistent with these previous findings, as slow oscillation power increases significantly at LORR and LOM in both prefrontal cortex and thalamus and dissipates before ROM. Our results also point to functional distinctions between slow and δ oscillations: Whereas slow oscillations appear to be incoherent across cortex (7, 11), and between cortex and thalamus (Fig. S6), δ oscillations are coherent between cortex and thalamus. This suggests that slow oscillations might be generated locally in different regions of cortex or thalamus (22, 24, 52, 53), whereas δ oscillations involve interactions between cortex and thalamus (54, 55). Overall, our results suggest that propofol can induce a variety of dynamics that mediate functional impairment of both cortical and thalamocortical circuits.

We also observed important changes in θ power in thalamic oscillations and thalamocortical coherence. The θ oscillations in the thalamus disappeared during induction of anesthesia, consistent with its relationship to arousal, but paradoxically, θ power remained lower than baseline after emergence from anesthesia (Fig. 3). Similar results have been found in our laboratory during active emergence from propofol induced by methylphenidate in rodents (45), suggesting that the animals are in a state of arousal that is different from the normal awake state. In the present study we did not have a specific hypothesis to test regarding changes in thalamocortical θ coherence. It is still debated whether θ oscillations recorded in the thalamus are locally generated (56) or generated by primary currents within hippocampus. Propofol and other GABAergic anesthetic drugs are known to impair memory, even after recovery of consciousness (57). We speculate that this sustained reduction in θ power after RORR could reflect ongoing memory impairment.

We performed recordings from a single cortical area, prelimbic cortex. This decision was informed by the previous modeling studies of α oscillations (14). Future studies could record from multiple cortical areas to characterize whether they participate in these dynamics. The anterior cingulate is part of the rodent medial prefrontal cortex, directly adjacent to the prelimbic cortex, and has a similar pattern of thalamic structural connections. The anterior cingulate is involved in salience processing, which we predict would be impaired during anesthesia-induced sedation and unconsciousness. The posterior cingulate, part of the default mode network, would be another area of interest. Recordings from anterior and posterior cingulate cortices could help characterize the extent to which anterior–posterior functional interactions are disrupted by anesthetic drugs to produce unconsciousness. These studies could also help elucidate the role of frontal cortex in the generation of the propofol-induced α rhythm, which in human scalp EEG is seen predominantly on frontal electrodes (7). Our analysis pooled recordings from layers 5 and 6 to maximize the data available to estimate coherence with different thalamic nuclei. Future studies could focus separately on layers 5 and 6, to characterize how their distinct “driver” and “modulator” functions, respectively, change during different states of anesthesia-induced altered arousal.

Our studies provide in vivo evidence for the role of thalamocortical synchronization as a mechanism for propofol-induced unconsciousness, first proposed by Ching et al. (14). In addition, our work characterizes in detail thalamocortical dynamics during emergence, establishing a pattern of functional recovery that is consistent with a mechanism of active arousal from dopaminergic and cholinergic centers and that may underlie previous reports of hysteresis in anesthetic induction and emergence. Together with previous studies of propofol-induced slow oscillations, this work suggests that propofol can induce a variety of dynamics that mediate functional impairment of both cortical and thalamocortical circuits during altered arousal and unconsciousness. The homologous relationships between rodent and human medial prefrontal cortex and thalamus imply that when these oscillatory dynamics are present, self-awareness and internal consciousness would be impaired or abolished. These studies therefore significantly advance our understanding of what it means to be unconscious under anesthesia and further establish dynamic neurophysiological markers that can define when we truly are.

Materials and Methods

Surgery and Animal Care. We conducted all animal work in accordance with federal, state, and local regulations and following NIH guidelines and standards. The corresponding protocols 0511-044-14 and 0511-038-17 were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (SI Materials and Methods).

Anesthetic Protocol, Data Acquisition, and Spectral Analysis. We performed the propofol infusion through an i.v. cannula inserted in the tail vein. We infused propofol at a constant rate of 1.67 mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹. We tested for loss of consciousness, using the LORR assay. Rats were left in the supine position until RORR. We used video recordings for posterior identification of the latency to pica and to obtain the latency to LOM and to ROM. LFPs were recorded for about 15 min before the start of infusion and up to 1 hour after the RORR. Raw data can be found in Datasets S1–S5. Electrodes with poor signal quality and movement artifacts were visually rejected by two experienced researchers (F.I.F. and K.E.H.) based on spectral and time–domain characteristics. Spectrograms were computed with the multitaper method (18) (Code available at Chronux). To assess statistical significance for the difference in spectra at each frequency, we computed the 99% confidence intervals by using a published bootstrap algorithm (58, 59). Only the frequencies whose confidence intervals did not include zero within at least one complete bandwidth unit (3 Hz for spectra and 1.5 Hz for coherence) were considered to be statistically significant (SI Materials and Methods). All analysis were performed in MATLAB.

Histology. After completing the experiment, we stained brain slices using the Nissl method. We identified the frontal cortical layers based on the size, density, and distribution of neuronal somata (SI Materials and Methods). We identified thalamic nuclei and subregions by coregistration with a stereotaxic atlas (60) (SI Materials and Methods). We pooled the electrodes located in the posterodorsal nucleus ventrolateralis and dorsomedial parts and in the posterior thalamic group within the S denomination. The other electrodes were identified as located in the M central and lateral nuclei and in the C nucleus. Electrodes found to be in other locations were not included in the analysis.
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