Evidence for opposing roles of Celsr3 and Vangl2 in glutamatergic synapse formation
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The signaling mechanisms that choreograph the assembly of the highly asymmetric pre- and postsynaptic structures are still poorly defined. Using synaptosome fractionation, immunostaining, and coimmunoprecipitation, we found that Celsr3 and Vangl2, core components of the planar cell polarity (PCP) pathway, are localized at developing glutamatergic synapses and interact with key synaptic proteins. Pyramidal neurons from the hippocampus of Celsr3 knockout mice exhibit loss of ~50% of glutamatergic synapses, but not inhibitory synapses, in culture. Wnts are known regulators of synapse formation, and our data reveal that Wnt5a inhibits glutamatergic synapses formed via Celsr3. To avoid affecting earlier developmental processes, such as axon guidance, we conditionally knocked out Celsr3 in the hippocampus 1 week after birth. The CA1 neurons that lost Celsr3 also showed a loss of ~50% of glutamatergic synapses in vivo without affecting the inhibitory synapses assessed by miniature excitory postsynaptic current (mEPSC) and electron microscopy. These animals displayed deficits in hippocampus-dependent behaviors in adulthood, including spatial learning and memory and fear conditioning. In contrast to Celsr3 conditional knockouts, we found that the conditional knockout of Vangl2 in the hippocampus 1 week after birth led to a large increase in synaptic density, as evaluated by mEPSC frequency and spine density. PCP signaling is mediated by multiple core components with antagonizing functions. Our results document the opposing roles of Celsr3 and Vangl2 in glutamatergic synapse formation.
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Glutamatergic synapses, the predominant excitatory synapses in the brain, are asymmetric cell–cell junctions formed from distinct pre- and postsynaptic components involving highly organized complexes of hundreds of proteins across the 20-nm synaptic cleft (1, 2). The signaling pathway that directly assembles these asymmetric protein complexes has not been well understood. Understanding mechanisms of glutamatergic synapse formation will provide important insights into the function and plasticity as well as dysfunction of glutamatergic synapses, which underlie numerous nervous system disorders.

Many epithelial tissues show planar cell polarity, the global asymmetry of cellular and tissue morphology and/or structure along the tissue plane (3, 4). The conserved core planar cell polarity (PCP) components, Frizzled, Dishevelled, Diego, Prickle, Vangl1, and Flamingo (Fmi)/Celsr, form asymmetric complexes at the cadherin-mediated adherens junctions that connect neighboring epithelial cells (3, 4). Recent studies suggest that mutations of some components of the PCP signaling pathway, Celsr3/Fmi and Vangl2, affect GABAergic circuit development in zebrafish retina, GABAergic motoneuron synapse development in Caenorhabditis elegans, and hippocampal/cortical glutamatergic and GABAergic synapse formation (5–11). PCP components are critical regulators of neuronal migration and axon guidance, which take place before synapse formation and their mutations may secondarily affect synapse formation (8–10, 12–19). Therefore, evaluating the specific functions of PCP components in synapse formation requires conditionally knocking out these components in defined synapses after the development of axons and dendrites.

In this study, we directly address the role of Celsr3 and Vangl2 in glutamatergic synapse formation by deleting Celsr3 and Vangl2 in hippocampal pyramidal neurons after the first postnatal week (postnatal day 7; P7). We found that at the peak of synapse formation (P14), Celsr3 and Vangl2 are specifically localized in developing glutamatergic synapses and colocalized with pre- and postsynaptic proteins. In the absence of Celsr3, hippocampal neurons showed ~50% reduction in the number of glutamatergic synapses in vitro and in vivo. Inhibitory synapses were not affected. Noncanonical Wnt signaling inhibits glutamatergic synapse formation, whereas canonical Wnt signaling promotes glutamatergic synapse formation in the hippocampus (20). We found here that Celsr3, a key component of this noncanonical Wnt signaling pathway, the PCP pathway, mediates responses to Wnt5a, which negatively regulates synapse formation. Additionally, the postnatal deletion of Celsr3 induced at P7 lead to deficits in hippocampus-dependent learning and memory formation. Some PCP components are known to exert opposing biochemical functions as well as exclude each other in subcellular localization. Indeed, we found that the postnatal deletion of Vangl2 initiated at P7 resulted in an increase in glutamatergic synapses, measured at P14. Because mutations of some PCP components, such as Prickle1 and Prickle2, have been implicated in autism and epilepsy, precisely pinpointing the function of PCP signaling components will lead to better understanding of the synaptopathy underlying many neurological and neuropsychiatric disorders (21–24).

Results

Celsr3 and Vangl2 Are Localized in Excitatory Synapses. To characterize the role of Celsr3 and Vangl2 in developing synapses, we
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The signaling mechanisms mediating glutamatergic synapse assembly are fundamental to our understanding of neural circuit function, plasticity, and disorders, but have remained elusive. We provide direct evidence that two components of the conserved planar cell polarity signaling pathway, which assembles asymmetric cell–cell junctions, have opposing functions in glutamatergic synapse formation. Celsr3 promotes assembly whereas Vangl2 inhibits assembly, suggesting that this signaling mechanism is accessible for both positive and negative regulation and is also a candidate pathway for mediating synaptic plasticity.
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focused on the glutamatergic synapses formed between the Schaffer collaterals and the hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neuron apical dendrites spanning the mouse stratum radiatum (Fig. 1A). This region is well characterized and a commonly used model for studying synapse formation. The onset of synaptogenesis occurs during the first postnatal week and continues for approximately 2 more weeks (Fig. 1B).

We first analyzed PCP components in the synaptic membrane fraction (SMF) and the postsynaptic density (PSD) using subcellular fractionation of synaptosome preparations from P14 wild-type mouse forebrains (Fig. 1C) (25, 26). As internal controls, synaptophysin and SV2, two presynaptic proteins, were examined and found enriched in the SMF, whereas PSD-95 and NMDA receptor subunit NR2B, two postsynaptic proteins, were enriched in the PSD fraction. We found that Celsr3 and Celsr2 were present in both fractions. Dvl1 was enriched in the SMF, whereas Dvl2 and Vangl2 were enriched in the PSD fraction. The hyperphosphorylated form of Fzd3 (top band) was more abundant in the SMF than in the PSD, whereas the unphosphorylated form of Fzd3 (bottom band) was enriched in the PSD fraction. This is consistent with our previous findings that Dvl1 increases hyperphosphorylation of Fzd3 to prevent its endocytosis and that Vangl2 and Dvl2 antagonize this by reducing Frizzled3 phosphorylation and promoting its internalization (14, 16). These results suggest that PCP components are asymmetrically localized at developing glutamatergic synapses in similar fashion to epithelial cell–cell junctions being planar polarized.

Fig. 1. PCP components are localized in developing excitatory synapses. (A) Schematic diagram of the CA3 to CA1 Schaffer collateral projections of the hippocampus. (B) Developmental progression of CA1 pyramidal neuron dendrites and CA3 axons. (C, Left) Diagram of the subcellular fractionation procedure. (C, Right) Western blot analysis of the distribution of PCP proteins by subcellular fractionation. P2, crude synaptosome; S1, supernatant. Tuj1 controls for loading. (D and E) Celsr3 (D) and Vangl2 (E) colocalization with postsynaptic marker PSD-95 and presynaptic marker bassoon. Arrows mark colocalized puncta in each image. (Scale bars, 1 μm.) (F) Immunoprecipitation (IP) assays using a P2 fraction of P14 wild-type mouse brains show interaction of endogenous Celsr3 and Frizzled3 proteins with endogenous synaptic proteins. (G) PCP components are distributed in glutamatergic synapses analogous to their organization in asymmetric epithelial cell junctions. SLM, stratum lacunosum moleculare; SO, stratum oriens; SP, stratum pyramidale; SR, stratum radiatum.
We then performed immunofluorescence staining of Celsr3 and Vangl2 in P14 hippocampal neurons in vivo. Celsr3 and Vangl2 were costained with either postsynaptic marker (PSD-95) or presynaptic marker (bassoon) on cryosections of hippocampus and visualized with confocal microscopy. We found at the peak of synaptogenesis that Celsr3 and Vangl2 are specifically colocalized in the developing synapses, as we observed colocalized puncta (denoted by arrows) of the Celsr3 and Vangl2 (red) puncta together with the synaptic marker (green) (Fig. 1 D and E). Although at the resolution of confocal microscopy it is not possible to separate the pre- and postsynaptic compartments, we observed highly specific colocalization (yellow) of these proteins together with the synaptic markers inside the synapses rather than distributed diffusely throughout the membranes of axons and dendrites. These results suggest that at this stage of postnatal development, Celsr3 and Vangl2 are likely specifically dedicated to regulating synapse formation. To visualize the dendrites and spines, we used a mouse line endogenously expressing yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) in the cytosol of a subset of CA1 pyramidal neurons (Fig. S1).

To test whether endogenous PCP components interact with specific synaptic proteins, we performed communoprecipitation and Western blot using protein extracts from P14 mouse brain (Fig. 1F). After a series of centrifugation steps (26), the crude synaptosomal membrane pellet was solubilized and used for communoprecipitation. As expected, endogenous Celsr3 interacts with SV2, PSD-95, and Frizzled3 (16), whereas endogenous Frizzled3 interacts with synaptophysin and SV2 (Fig. 1F). Recent studies also showed that other PCP proteins, Vangl2 and Prickle2, are found in the postsynaptic compartment and may interact with PSD-95 (5, 6). These data indicate that PCP components interact with a number of key synaptic proteins, suggesting a direct role in glutamatergic synapse assembly/function. The localization of PCP components and their interaction with key synaptic proteins are analogous to their organization in asymmetric epithelial cell junctions in PCP signaling (Fig. 1G).

Celsr3 Is Required for Glutamatergic Synapse Formation in Hippocampal Culture. To test the function of Celsr3 in synapse formation, we examined hippocampal neurons in 14-DIV (days in vitro) cultures prepared from embryonic day (E)18.5 Celsr3 knockout mice (KO) (27). Compared with wild-type (WT), Celsr3<sup>−/−</sup> cultures contained 24 ± 4% fewer presynaptic puncta as revealed by vGlut1 immunostaining, 36 ± 6.7% fewer postsynaptic puncta as revealed by PSD-95 staining, and 38 ± 5% fewer colocalized puncta characteristic of glutamatergic synapses (Fig. 2A and B; **P < 0.01 for pre- and postsynaptic puncta; *P < 0.05 for colocalized puncta; Mann–Whitney U-statistic test). Knockout of Celsr3 did not affect the mean area of the glutamatergic synapses marked by colocalized vGlut1+/PSD-95+ puncta (Fig. 2A and C). No deficits were seen in the number or area of inhibitory synapses revealed by immunostaining Celsr3<sup>−/−</sup> cultures, indicating that inhibitory synapses are not regulated by Celsr3 (Fig. 2D–F).

To assess the effects on early stages of synaptogenesis, we cultured E18.5 hippocampal neurons from Celsr3<sup>−/−</sup> embryos for only 6 days and examined synapse formation. We found that after 6 days of culture, when synapses are just beginning to form, the reduction of excitatory synapse puncta number was already significant (Fig. 2G and H), suggesting that Celsr3 is required at the onset of synapse formation. Although the areas of colocalized presynaptic vGlut1+ puncta were not different from the 14-DIV cultures (Fig. 2C), the areas of colocalized presynaptic vGlut1+ puncta were smaller in the 6-DIV cultures, further suggesting that Celsr3 is required for synapse assembly but not for maintenance. The areas of colocalized postsynaptic PSD-95+ puncta were unaffected in Celsr3<sup>−/−</sup> 6-DIV cultures (Fig. 2G and J).

The reduction in vGlut1+/PSD-95+ glutamatergic synapses as early as 6 DIV and persisting at least until 14 DIV provides evidence supporting the possible role of Celsr3 in glutamatergic synapse formation.

Wnt5a inhibits glutamatergic synapses formed by Celsr3. Previous studies have shown that Wnt signaling regulates synapse development in various neuronal cell types (28). Wnt5a is robustly expressed in the mouse hippocampus during postnatal development and reduces the number of presynaptic puncta in neurons via a noncanonical Wnt signaling pathway (20). Therefore, we sought to determine whether Celsr3 mediated Wnt5a signaling. Hippocampi isolated from E18 Celsr3<sup>+/+</sup> and Celsr3<sup>−/−</sup> mice were treated with Wnt5a after 12 DIV (100 ng/mL for 36 h). Celsr3<sup>−/−</sup> neurons showed a 56% reduction in the number of colocalized puncta (Fig. 2 J and K; **P < 0.01, two-way ANOVA) at 14 DIV. Celsr3<sup>−/−</sup> neurons not treated with Wnt5a showed a 52% reduction compared with untreated Celsr3<sup>+/+</sup> neurons in colocalized puncta (Fig. 2 J and K; **P < 0.01, two-way ANOVA). Wnt5a addition to Celsr3<sup>−/−</sup> neurons did not produce a significant difference compared with untreated Celsr3<sup>+/+</sup> neurons (Fig. 2 J and K), suggesting that Wnt5a inhibits synapse formation via a PCP component, Celsr3. To determine whether the reduction of synaptic puncta for vGlut1 and PSD-95 was due to changes in transcription, translation, or stability of these proteins, cell lysates for Celsr3<sup>+/+</sup> and Celsr3<sup>−/−</sup> cultured hippocampal neurons 14 DIV were collected to probe for vGlut1, PSD-95, vGAT, and gephyrin. There was no reduction in their total protein levels in Celsr3<sup>−/−</sup> (Fig. 2L).

Celsr3 Is Required for Excitatory Synapse Formation in Vivo. Because Celsr3 is essential for axon guidance (12–14, 17, 18), it is critical to delete Celsr3 after axons have reached their proper target area using Celsr3 conditional knockout mice (cKOs) to test its role in synapse formation in vivo. We crossed a Celsr3 cKO with an inducible Cre line (SLICK) so that we could delete Celsr3 postnatally (12, 29). The SLICK-A line expresses constitutively active YFP and a tamoxifen-inducible form of Cre recombinase, CreER<sub>2</sub>, from two separate Thy-1 promoters. The Thy-1 promoter of SLICK-A restricts Cre and YFP expression to neurons. There is no YFP fluorescence in glial cells, including GFAP+ astrocytes and Olig2+ oligodendrocytes or interneurons (29, 30). As a result, we can specifically assess the function of Celsr3 in pyramidal neurons.

We first characterized the expression of YFP and the efficiency of recombination over the course of the first 2 postnatal weeks. SLICK-A is expressed in 59% of CA1 neurons indicated by the expression of YFP, and expressed sparsely in the cortex and other regions (Fig. S1L4). In the absence of tamoxifen injections, SLICK-A–positive mice show no recombination at P7, although modest recombination at P14 due to a low level of leaky Cre expression (Fig. S1 B and C). However, administering tamoxifen at P7 to P8 resulted in robust recombination by P14, as indicated by 99% coexpression of tdTomato and YFP (Fig. S1 A and D–F; n = 4 mice, n = 460 neurons). For all of the in vivo experiments described below, control mice (SLICK-A–negative or SLICK-A–positive;Celsr3<sup>+/+</sup>) were littermates and tamoxifen-injected at P7 and P8 and compared with tamoxifen-injected at P7 and P8 Celsr3 cKOs (SLICK-A–positive;Celsr3<sup>3flox/lox</sup>). No changes were observed in the gross hippocampal anatomy, dendritic complexity, hippocampal commissure, mossy fiber projections, or CA1/CA3 cell-layer thickness and density, confirming that Celsr3 was deleted late enough to avoid defects in axon guidance, neuronal migration, and dendrite morphogenesis (Fig. S1 E–I; control and Celsr3 cKO, n = 3 mice). To characterize the cellular morphology, we analyzed dendritic complexity in the Celsr3 cKOs by injecting Alexa Fluor hydrazide 555 into CA1 pyramidal cell bodies in acute hippocampal slices to label the
entire cell body and dendritic branching pattern. Completely labeled neurons without artificially broken branches were analyzed with Sholl analysis (ImageJ plugin). We found no significant difference between control and Celsr3 cKO basal dendrites or apical dendrites spanning the stratum radiatum (Fig. S1 M and N; Student’s t test at each 10-μm interval; control: n = 11 neurons, n = 3 mice; Celsr3 cKO: n = 10 neurons, n = 3 mice).

We performed electron microscopy (EM) to examine synaptic density on distal CA1 apical dendrites, 150 to 200 μm from pyramidal cell bodies in P14 littermates (tamoxifen-injected controls, and Celsr3 cKOs on P7 and P8). Synapses in this region are
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Fig. 2. Celsr3 is required for glutamatergic synapse formation in hippocampal culture. (A) Immunostaining for pre- (green) and postsynaptic puncta (red) of glutamatergic synapses in 14-DIV hippocampal cultures from Celsr3+/+ and Celsr3−/− E18.5 embryos. (B and C) Quantification of the density and area of glutamatergic synapses (Celsr3+/+ n = 5 experiments, n = 50 neurons; Celsr3−/− n = 5 experiments, n = 49 neurons; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, Mann–Whitney U-statistic test). Celsr3−/− showed 24 ± 4%, 36 ± 6.7%, and 38 ± 5% reduction in presynaptic, postsynaptic, and colocalized puncta, respectively. The synaptic area was not significantly different. (D) Staining for pre- (green) and postsynaptic puncta (red) of inhibitory synapses in 14-DIV hippocampal cultures from Celsr3+/+ or Celsr3−/− E18.5 hippocampal neurons. (E and F) Quantification of the density and area of inhibitory synapses (Celsr3+/+ n = 4 experiments, n = 46 neurons; Celsr3−/− n = 4 experiments, n = 47 neurons). Neither the density nor the area of inhibitory synapses was significantly different. (G) Staining for pre- (green) and postsynaptic puncta (red) of glutamatergic synapses in 6-DIV hippocampal cultures from Celsr3+/+ and Celsr3−/− E18.5 hippocampal neurons. (H and I) Quantification of glutamatergic synaptic density showed a significant reduction in presynaptic, postsynaptic, and colocalized puncta. The area of post-synaptic puncta showed no change, whereas the area of presynaptic puncta showed a statistically significant change (Celsr3−/− n = 127 neurons, n = 6 experiments; Celsr3+/+ n = 85 neurons, n = 4 experiments; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, Mann–Whitney U-statistic test). (J) Immunostaining for MAP2, PSD-95, and vGlut1 on 14-DIV hippocampal neuronal dendrites after application of Wnt5a peptide. (K) Quantification of synaptic density after Wnt5a addition to Celsr3+/+ or Celsr3−/− neurons. Colocalized vGlut1 and PSD-95 puncta density drops 56.4% after application of Wnt5a to Celsr3+/+ neurons (n = 6 experiments; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, two-way ANOVA). Celsr3−/− neurons show a 52.2% decrease in the number of colocalized puncta compared with control neurons (**P < 0.01, two-way ANOVA). No significant change is observed after the addition of Wnt5a to Celsr3−/− neurons. (L) There is no change in synaptic protein expression in Celsr3−/− compared with Celsr3+/+ hippocampal cultures at 14 DIV. Arrowheads denote colocalized puncta. All data are expressed as mean ± SD. (Scale bars, 10 μm.)
formed by the CA1 dendrites and Schaffer collateral fibers from CA3 pyramidal neurons (Fig. 4A). Images were taken at equally spaced regions spanning the stratum radiatum. Because 59% of the CA1 neurons expressed SLICK-A and 99% of the SLICK-A–positive neurons had undergone Cre recombination, Celsr3 should be deleted in 58% of the CA1 neurons in tamoxifen-injected Celsr3 cKO mice. We observed a significant 36% decrease (Fig. 3 A and A′; *P = 0.05, Mann–Whitney U–statistic test) in the density of asymmetric (excitatory) axosomatic synapses, whereas the density of asymmetric axodendritic synapses and symmetric (inhibitory) synapses remained unchanged (Fig. 3 A–B′). Due to the overlapping expression of Celsr2 with Celsr3 in hippocampal neurons (31, 32), we hypothesize that the remaining synapses in neurons lacking Celsr3 could be assembled by Celsr2 or a separate signaling pathway. These data show that Celsr3 is critical for the formation of excitatory synapses formed on spines and not the dendritic shaft or inhibitory synapses in vivo.

To assess the formation of functional synapses in vivo, we measured miniature excitatory postsynaptic current (mEPSC) and miniature inhibitory postsynaptic current (mIPSC) frequency and amplitude in acute hippocampal slices. Patch-clamp recordings of mEPSCs were obtained from CA1 pyramidal neurons of P13 to P15 littersmates, tamoxifen-injected controls (n = 17 cells, n = 8 mice), and Celsr3 cKOs (n = 12 cells, n = 5 mice) on P7 and P8 and in the presence of TTX (1 μM) and gabazine (10 μM). We found a significantly reduced frequency of mEPSCs in CA1 neurons that express YFP (Fig. 3 C and D; **P = 0.0018, Mann–Whitney U–statistic test), with no change in the mean mEPSC amplitude (P = 0.77182, Mann–Whitney U–statistic test) or decay time constant (P = 0.42372, Mann–Whitney U–statistic test) of the remaining synapses (Fig. 3 C–E). There were no significant changes in mIPSC frequency (control n = 18 cells, n = 5 mice; Celsr3 cKO n = 14 cells, n = 3 mice; P = 0.89565, Mann–Whitney U–statistic test) or amplitude (P = 0.72786, Mann–Whitney U–statistic test) or decay time constant (P = 0.95216, Mann–Whitney U–statistic test) (Fig. 3 F–H). These data indicate that Celsr3 plays a critical role in the development of excitatory, not inhibitory, synapses, contrary to previous studies that found embryonic loss of Celsr3 also affected the formation of inhibitory synapses (10). The decrease in mEPSC frequency observed in the Celsr3 cKO correlates with the observed decrease in excitatory synaptic density (asymmetric axosynaptic synapses) (Fig. 3 A–B′).

**Celsr3 Conditional Knockout Mice Display Impaired Hippocampus-Dependent Behaviors.** To test whether the reduction of excitatory synapse formation in the hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons resulted in behavioral deficits, we performed hippocampus-dependent behavioral tasks, including the Barnes maze and contextual fear conditioning (33, 34). Barnes maze tests were performed to evaluate hippocampus-dependent spatial memory in 3-4-month-old animals injected with tamoxifen at P7 and P8 (35). The Barnes maze consists of a circular field with 20 holes evenly spaced near its edge, one of which contains an escape route to a darkened escape chamber. Additional visual cues are placed on the wall for spatial orientation and a bright light is shined on the test area, giving the mouse an incentive to escape quickly. Because the maze does not involve water, it avoids complications due to the poorer swimming ability of mice (36). After initial habituation to the escape route and test area, the animals were subjected to four daily training sessions to form a stable memory of the location of the escape hole, followed by a probe test where the escape was removed.

We evaluated the latency to the first encounter of the escape hole by quantifying the latency to locate the hole with the escape chamber under it (37, 38). The controls had a significant learning curve (Fig. 4A; P = 0.0022, ANOVA, n = 18 male mice), whereas the Celsr3 cKOs only had a trend toward a learning curve (Fig. 4A; P = 0.0830, ANOVA, n = 17 male mice). In addition, the controls had a significantly shorter latency to locate the hole with the escape chamber compared with Celsr3 cKOs (Fig. 4A; P = 0.0113, ANOVA). These data suggest that Celsr3 cKOs had impaired learning and memory in a hippocampus-dependent behavioral task.

In the probe test, control mice spent significantly more time in the quadrant originally housing the escape chamber than in the average of the other three quadrants, as expected (Fig. 4B; *P = 0.016, ANOVA), indicating that they were correctly remembering spatial cues in this test. On the other hand, the difference in percent time spent in the target vs. the average of the other quadrants was not statistically significant in Celsr3 cKOs (Fig. 4B; P = 0.54, ANOVA), indicating that Celsr3 cKOs had impaired spatial memory.

The mice were then subjected to a fear-conditioning paradigm that evaluates context- and cue-dependent learning. Because the fear-conditioning test sometimes has adverse effects on other behavioral tests, the fear-conditioning test was the final behavioral test performed on the mice, after the Barnes maze and the control behavioral tests. The strong aversive foot-shock stimulus provides a robust learning and memory motivation and behavioral response. Contextual-fear conditioning is a hippocampus- and amygdala-dependent behavior, whereas cued-fear conditioning is an amygdala-dependent behavior (39, 40). In this experimental paradigm, freezing behavior—which was defined as the absence of all except respiratory movement—is quantified after mice learn to associate context (the chamber environment) and a conditioned stimulus (a previously neutral tone stimulus) with an aversive foot shock. After initial habituation, mice are placed in the context of the chamber and exposed to the condition of a buzzer alarm sound/light in association with the aversive foot-shock stimulus. The next day, mice were submitted to the context test, in which mice were placed in the same chamber but in the absence of the tone, light, and aversive foot shock. On the final day, the cued stimulus test was performed, in which the mice were placed in a novel chamber exposed to the light and tone in the absence of the aversive foot shock. The Celsr3 cKOs displayed significantly weaker contextual conditioning compared with controls, which depends on hippocampal inputs (Fig. 4C; *P = 0.0491, ANOVA), whereas cued-fear conditioning was completely intact (Fig. 4C; P = 0.8825). These data suggest Celsr3 cKOs have a specific hippocampus-dependent behavioral defect.

Because SLICK-A is highly expressed in the hippocampus compared with other brain regions, including the cortex, at the time of tamoxifen injection (Fig. S1A), we expected behavioral defects would be restricted to those that are hippocampus-dependent. Indeed, there were no differences between control and Celsr3 cKOs in visual function as measured in the optomotor test, in anxiety-like behavior as measured using the light/dark transfer test, or in activity levels assessed in locomotor activity test (Fig. 4 D–J).

**Vangl2 Inhibits Excitatory Synapse Formation in Vivo.** The localized expression of Vangl2 protein in the postsynaptic density suggests a role in synapse formation. However, Vangl2 is also an important signaling component in axon guidance. Germline Vangl2 mutations, either complete loss-of-function or gain-of-function (looptail), lead to massive axon projection defects (as well as other earlier developmental defects, such as an open neural tube), which will secondarily cause synapse formation defects (14, 41, 42). In two earlier studies, these germline Vangl2 mutants show a decrease in dendritic complexity and spine density (7, 11). To identify the biological function of Vangl2 in synapse formation, which occurs postnatally, we crossed a Vangl2 cKO allele (43) with the SLICK-A Cre line to delete Vangl2 postnatally. To evaluate the role of Vangl2 in functional synapses in vivo, we first measured mEPSC frequency and amplitude in acute hippocampal slices. Patch-clamp recordings of mEPSCs for P14 to P21 controls (n = 17 neurons, n = 7 mice) and Vangl2 cKOs (n = 18 neurons, n = 6 mice) were performed the same way as for Celsr3 cKO acute slices. In contrast to the germline Vangl2 mutations, we observed a large,
58% increase in the mean mEPSC frequency (Fig. 5A and B; **P = 0.00252, Mann–Whitney U-statistic test) with no change in the mean mEPSC amplitude (Fig. 5A and B) or decay time constant (Fig. 5C; P = 0.50286, Mann–Whitney U-statistic test). Recording of the mIPSCs (control n = 13 neurons, n = 3 mice; Vangl2 cKO n = 11 neurons, n = 3 mice) was performed and revealed no significant changes in mIPSC mean frequency (Fig. 5D and E; P = 0.45326, Mann–Whitney U-statistic test), amplitude (Fig. 5D and E; P = 0.48392, Mann–Whitney U-statistic test), or decay time constant (Fig. 5F; P = 0.47152, Mann–Whitney U-statistic test). These data suggest Vangl2 inhibits excitatory synapse formation.

We then analyzed spine density and morphology in P14 slices. Spines are dynamic structures that rapidly respond to environmental cues and molecular signaling. To preserve the spine density and morphology as close to the live mouse as possible, mice were anesthetized and quickly transcardially perfused with room temperature 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA). After processing the tissue (44), CA1 neurons and their dendritic branches were injected with Alexa Fluor hydrazide 555 to visualize spines. Only YFP+ neurons were included in the analysis, to ensure Cre-expressing dendrites were evaluated in the SLICK-A+/Vangl2 cKO. CA1 oblique apical dendrites located 100 to 200 μm from
the CA1 pyramidal neuronal cell bodies spanning the stratum radiatum were imaged using confocal microscopy, and spine density and morphology were analyzed. Vangl2 cKO lead to 29% increase of spine density (Fig. 5G; 15.6 ± 0.06 spines per 10-μm dendritic segment; n = 24 neurons, n = 4 mice; **P < 0.001, Student’s t test) compared with controls (Fig. 5G; 12.1 ± 0.04 spines per 10-μm dendritic segment; n = 31 neurons, n = 3 mice). The average percentage of stubby-shaped spines in Vangl2 cKOs decreased by 36% (Fig. 5G; **P < 0.001, Student’s t test) and the average percentage of thin-shaped spines increased by 18% (Fig. 5G; ***P = 0.00104, Student’s t test). The increase in mEPSC frequency observed in the Vangl2 cKO correlates with the increase in excitatory synaptic density (as observed with quantifying spine density) in the Vangl2 cKO. Together, these data suggest Vangl2 normally inhibits initial spine formation and potentially indirectly or directly promotes spine elimination, contrary to previous reports (7, 11).

Discussion

Opposing Roles of Celsr3 and Vangl2 in Glutamatergic Synapse Formation. We found that PCP components are specifically localized at excitatory synapses as they form during early postnatal development and are associated either directly or indirectly with a number of key synaptic proteins. Hippocampal neurons lacking Celsr3 develop approximately half as many excitatory synapses, as revealed by immunostaining, electron microscopy, and patch-clamp recording of mEPSCs both in cultured hippocampal neurons and in acute hippocampal slices. Both the Celsr3 KO and cKO showed no change in the number of inhibitory synapses, and the Celsr3 cKO displayed no change in the activity of inhibitory synapses. The remaining excitatory synapses after the loss of Celsr3 may be formed by other Celsr isoforms, such as Celsr2 expressed in an overlapping pattern in the hippocampus (31, 32), or a separate signaling pathway. These synaptic defects manifested in hippocampus-dependent behavioral deficits in adult Celsr3 cKOs. Therefore, this study precisely identifies the essential role of a core PCP protein, Celsr3, in glutamatergic synapse formation. Because PCP components often have opposing functions and mutually exclusive subcellular localization, we anticipated that if PCP-like signaling is responsible for synapse formation, there should be some components that will inhibit synapse formation. Indeed, we found that Vangl2 inhibits glutamatergic synapse formation in the same hippocampal pyramidal neurons. Our paper provides evidence that components of the PCP signaling pathway have opposing functions in glutamatergic synapse formation, suggesting that PCP signaling mediates both positive and negative regulation of synapse formation and may be a candidate for a novel regulatory mechanism of synaptic plasticity.

Direct Role of Celsr3 and Vangl2 in Synapse Formation. Because PCP signaling components play important roles in multiple steps of neural development, including neuronal migration and axon guidance, the proper experimental design is required to precisely dissect the function of each component and assign their role in

Fig. 4. Celsr3 conditional knockout mice displayed impaired hippocampus-dependent behaviors. (A) Celsr3 cKO littermates showed a longer latency in locating the escape chamber than control littermates in the Barnes maze assay (control n = 18; cKO n = 17; P = 0.0113, ANOVA). The controls had a significant learning curve (n = 18 male mice; P = 0.0022, ANOVA), whereas the Celsr3 cKOs only showed a trend toward a learning curve (n = 17 male mice; P = 0.0830, ANOVA). (B) In the probe test, control mice spent significantly more time in the quadrant originally housing the escape chamber than the average of the other three quadrants [F(1,17) = 7.14, **P = 0.016, ANOVA]. Celsr3 cKOs showed no significant difference in the percent time spent in the target vs. other quadrants [F(1,15) = 0.40, P = 0.54, ANOVA]. (C) Celsr3 cKOs had significant impairment of hippocampus-dependent contextual conditioning (*P < 0.05, unpaired Student’s t test) as reflected by the 50% reduced time spent freezing in the fear conditioning test. Hippocampus-independent cued conditioning remained the same. (D–J) Behavioral tests for non–hippocampus-dependent tasks showed no differences between control and Celsr3 cKO mice as observed in (D) visual ability in the optomotor test, (E and F) anxiety-like behavior as measured using the light/dark transfer test, or (G–J) activity levels assessed in the locomotor activity test. All data are expressed as mean ± SEM.
synapse formation (12–18). Previous studies deleted the Celsr3 gene either from early embryonic development or early embryonic brain development (8–10). When Celsr3 was deleted from the embryonic forebrain using Emx1-Cre, a number of defects were found in neuronal cell numbers, migration, and axon guidance. These severe early defects will have an impact on later phases of development, such as synapse formation, which rely on correct axon guidance and dendrite formation. In addition to the reduction of glutamatergic synapses, inhibitory synapse numbers were found to be increased, probably due to the cell migration and axon guidance defects (10). In our study, Celsr3 was deleted postnatally and we observed no change in tissue/cellular arrangement or axon guidance, therefore avoiding the early developmental confounds and allowing us to analyze the precise role of Celsr3 in synapse formation. We did not observe any changes in inhibitory/symmetric synapse formation, consistent with our observation that Celsr3 and Vangl2 were not present in symmetric synapses. Similarly, another recent study implicated Vangl2 in glutamatergic synapse formation using the looptail mouse line containing a germline point mutation in the Vangl2 gene (7). The authors found that Vangl2 binds to N-cadherin and promotes the endocytosis of N-cadherin, suggesting that Vangl2 normally disassembles synapses because N-cadherin promotes synapse formation. However, glutamatergic synapse formation was found to be greatly reduced using the looptail heterozygous mutant. The looptail mouse line has a point mutation in the Vangl2 gene, which is embryonic-lethal in homozygotes, and heterozygotes show a number of severe neural developmental defects, including open neural tube, neurogenesis, neuronal migration, and axon guidance defects (14, 41). Therefore, the reduction of glutamatergic synapse formation is probably secondary to these earlier defects, misrepresenting the true function of Vangl2. Furthermore, the looptail mutation has been proposed to be a gain-of-function mutation, which could lead to other unexpected artifacts (42). Therefore, our study correctly assigns the function of Celsr3 and Vangl2 in glutamatergic synapse formation, laying down the foundation for future studies in this important pathway for synapse formation and potentially plasticity.

Wnt signaling has long been implicated in synapse formation (28). However, due to the complexity of Wnt signaling mechanisms, some published papers may report apparently conflicting results. In our study, we show that the Celsr3-mediated signaling pathway responds to the inhibitory function of Wnt5a in glutamatergic synapse formation. We also revealed the opposing roles of Wnt-regulated PCP components. Celsr3 and Vangl2, in glutamatergic synapse formation. Therefore, the precise function of Celsr3 and Vangl2 in synapse formation that we report here will provide important clues to fully understand the mechanisms of the assembly and plasticity of glutamatergic synapses in health and disease.

Materials and Methods

Celsr3 KO and cKO mice were provided by Andre Goffinet, Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels (12, 13). Vangl2 cKO mice were provided by Yingzi Yang, Harvard Medical School (43). The SLICK-A (JAX; 007606) line (29) constitutively expresses YFP and expresses a tamoxifen-inducible form of Cre recombinase. CreERT2. P7 and P8 pups were administered tamoxifen via intraperitoneal injection once daily. All experiments were performed with littermate, tamoxifen-injected controls. All animal work in this research was approved by the University of California, San Diego (UCSD) Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.
Subcellular fractionation and communoprecipitation data were collected from P14 wild-type mouse brains. All hippocampal culture data are from E18.5 embryos collected from littermate KO and WT mice (Celsr3−/− and Celsr3+−/−). Celsr3 and Vangl2 immunofluorescence staining was performed at P14 in SLICK-A-positive; Celsr3−/− mice. Celsr3 cKO EM was performed in P14 mice imaging the CA1 apical dentrites 150 to 200 μm from the cell body spanning the stratum radiatum. Celsr3 cKO and Vangl2 cKO mPSC and mIPSC recordings were from acute hippocampal slices taken from 2- to 3-week-old mice. Vangl2 cKOs were from mice that were killed and perfused at room temperature and then neurons individually labeled using Alexa Fluor 555 (Invitrogen). All behavioral experiments were performed in mice 3 to 4 months old. Additional details regarding methods for all experiments described here are available in SI Materials and Methods.
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