Bunyamwera orthobunyavirus glycoprotein precursor is processed by cellular signal peptidase and signal peptide peptidase
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The M genome segment of Bunyamwera virus (BUNV)—the prototype of both the Bunyaviridae family and the Orthobunyavirus genus—encodes the glycoprotein precursor (GPC) that is proteolytically cleaved to yield two viral structural glycoproteins, Gn and Gc, and a nonstructural protein, NSm. The cleavage mechanism of orthobunyavirus GPCs and the host proteases involved have not been clarified. In this study, we investigated the processing of BUNV GPC and found that both NSm and Gc proteins were cleaved at their own internal signal peptides (SPs), in which NSm domain I functions as SPNSm and NSm domain V as SP5. Moreover, the domain I was further processed by a host intramembrane-cleaving protease, signal peptide peptidase, and is required for cell fusion activities. Meanwhile, the NSm domain V (SP5) remains integral to NSm, rendering the NSm topology as a two-membrane-spanning integral membrane protein. We defined the cleavage sites and boundaries between the processed proteins as follows: Gn, from residue 17–312 or nearby residues; NSm, 332–477; and Gc, 478–1433. Our data clarified the mechanism of the precursor cleavage process, which is important for our understanding of viral glycoprotein biogenesis in the genus Orthobunyavirus and thus presents a useful target for intervention strategies.
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The family Bunyaviridae contains >350 named viruses that are classified into the five genera Orthobunyavirus, Hantavirus, Nairovirus, Phlebovirus, and Tospovirus, making it one of the largest families of RNA viruses. Several members of the family are serious human pathogens, such as La Crosse virus and Oropouche virus (OROV) (Orthobunyavirus); Hantaan (HTNV) and Sin Nombre viruses (Hantavirus); Rift Valley fever virus (RVFV) and severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome virus (Phlebovirus); and Crimean–Congo hemorrhagic fever virus (CCHFV; Nairovirus) (1, 2). The characteristic features of bunyaviruses include a tripartite single-stranded RNA genome of negative- and ambisense polarity, cytoplasmic site of viral replication, and assembly and budding at membranes of the Golgi complex (1–3). Bunyamwera virus (BUNV), the prototype of both the family and the Orthobunyavirus genus, remains an important research model for many pathogens within this family.

The medium (M) genomic RNA segment of orthobunyaviruses encodes the glycoprotein precursor (GPC; in order Gn-NSm-Gc) that is cotranslationally cleaved to yield the mature viral glycoproteins Gn and Gc and a nonstructural protein, NSm. Gn and Gc form viral spikes that play a crucial role in virus entry (1, 2). Both Gn and Gc are type I integral transmembrane proteins and form a heterodimer in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) before trafficking to, and retention in, the Golgi compartment, where virus assembly occurs (2, 4, 5). Bunyavirus glycoproteins are fusogenic, and the cleavage of GPC results in the release of viral spikes that play a crucial role in virus entry (1, 2). Both Gn and Gc contain their own internal signal peptides, which mediate the GPC cleavage by host signal peptidase and signal peptide peptidase (SPases). Furthermore, the NSm domain-I plays an important postcleavage role in cell fusion. Our data clarified the implication of host proteases in the processing of the orthobunyavirus GPC. This work identifies SPP as a potential intervention target, and the knowledge we gained will benefit preventive strategies against other orthobunyavirus infections.

Significance

Bunyamwera virus (BUNV) is the prototype of the Orthobunyavirus genus and Bunyaviridae family that contains important human and animal pathogens. The cleavage mechanism of orthobunyavirus glycoprotein precursor (GPC) and the host proteases involved have not been clarified. Here we found that NSm and Gc contain their own internal signal peptides, which mediate the GPC cleavage by host signal peptidase and signal peptide peptidase (SP). Furthermore, the NSm domain-I plays an important postcleavage role in cell fusion. Our data clarified the implication of host proteases in the processing of the orthobunyavirus GPC. This work identifies SPP as a potential intervention target, and the knowledge we gained will benefit preventive strategies against other orthobunyavirus infections.
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MHC class I presentation (18) and human lymphocyte antigen E epitopes (19). SPP activities also involve the intramembrane cleavage of the core proteins of hepatitis C virus, GB virus B, and classical swine fever virus (family Flaviviridae) (20, 21).

To investigate the cleavage events of orthobunyavirus GPC, we used several approaches, including mutagenesis, virus assays, RNA interference, mass spectrometry (MS), and biological assays. We aimed to determine the cleavage sites between the boundaries of the mature proteins (e.g., Gn and NSm, and NSm and Gc). Our data revealed the implementation of the cellular SPase and SPP in the cleavage of BUNV GPC and clarified the mechanism of orthobunyaviruses GPC cleavage.

Results

Gn-NSm Cleavage Does Not Occur at the RVAR Motif by Furin-Like Proteases. We first investigated whether the Gn-NSm cleavage takes place between residues 302R and 303R at the RVAR motif (Fig. S1). Five mutations were generated at this site in the M expression plasmid pTM1BUNM, including a deletion mutation (ΔRVAR) and four substitution mutations (MMKR, AAAA, RSLK, and RRKR) (Fig. L1). These plasmids were transfected into BSR-T7/5 cells, and the radiolabeled viral proteins were immunoprecipitated with anti-BUN and analyzed by SDSPAGE fractionation. Interestingly, like the wild-type (WT) BUNM control, all mutated GPCs were cleaved into Gn, NSm, and Gc (Fig. 1B), suggesting that this location is not a cleavage site by furin-like proteases. Furthermore, the furin inhibitor I (dec-RVKR-cmk; Calbiochem) had no effect on the cleavage of the core proteins of hepatitis C virus, GB virus B, and classical swine fever virus (Flaviviridae) (20, 21).

There exist eight residues between residues 302R and 303S at Gn-NSm junction (Fig. 1C and S1). To investigate whether these residues harbor the Gn-NSm cleavage site, we constructed six mutants that contain internal progressive deletions between residues 298L and 311S (Fig. 1C). As shown in Fig. 1D, all mutated precursors were properly cleaved. Moreover, the deletions resulted in the increased migration of Gn bands on the gel, with a relative shift corresponding to the number of amino acids removed (lanes 3–8), suggesting that these residues still belong to the Gn cytoplasmic tail (Gn CT) and that the Gn-NSm cleavage must occur at or within NSm domain I.

N5m Domain I Functions as an Internal SP. After excluding the Gn-NSm cleavage at motif RVAR329, we speculated that N5m domain I, a type II transmembrane domain (TMD), could function as an internal SP for NSm (SPNSm). By using the SignalP 4.1 server (www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP) (22), the domain was predicted as a cleavable internal SP that cleaves between residues 331G and 332T (Fig. S2A) and yields of virus produced in the presence of the drug over 30 h (Fig. S2B).

There exist eight residues between residues 302R and 311S at Gn-NSm junction (Fig. 1C and S1). To investigate whether these residues harbor the Gn-NSm cleavage site, we constructed six mutants that contain internal progressive deletions between residues 298L and 311S (Fig. 1C). As shown in Fig. 1D, all mutated precursors were properly cleaved. Moreover, the deletions resulted in the increased migration of Gn bands on the gel, with a relative shift corresponding to the number of amino acids removed (lanes 3–8), suggesting that these residues still belong to the Gn cytoplasmic tail (Gn CT) and that the Gn-NSm cleavage must occur at or within NSm domain I.

N5m Domain I Functions as an Internal SP. After excluding the Gn-NSm cleavage at motif RVAR329, we speculated that N5m domain I, a type II transmembrane domain (TMD), could function as an internal SP for NSm (SPNSm). By using the SignalP 4.1 server (www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP) (22), the domain was predicted as a cleavable internal SP that cleaves between residues 331G and 332T (Fig. S2A) and yields of virus produced in the presence of the drug over 30 h (Fig. S2B).

We investigated whether the Gn-NSm cleavage takes place between residues 302R and 303S at the RVAR motif (Fig. S1). Five mutations were generated at this site in the M expression plasmid pTM1BUNM, including a deletion mutation (ΔRVAR) and four substitution mutations (MMKR, AAAA, RSLK, and RRKR) (Fig. L1). These plasmids were transfected into BSR-T7/5 cells, and the radiolabeled viral proteins were immunoprecipitated with anti-BUN and analyzed by SDSPAGE fractionation. Interestingly, like the wild-type (WT) BUNM control, all mutated GPCs were cleaved into Gn, NSm, and Gc (Fig. 1B), suggesting that this location is not a cleavage site by furin-like proteases. Furthermore, the furin inhibitor I (dec-RVKR-cmk; Calbiochem) had no effect on the cleavage of the core proteins of hepatitis C virus, GB virus B, and classical swine fever virus (Flaviviridae) (20, 21).

There exist eight residues between residues 302R and 311S at Gn-NSm junction (Fig. 1C and S1). To investigate whether these residues harbor the Gn-NSm cleavage site, we constructed six mutants that contain internal progressive deletions between residues 298L and 311S (Fig. 1C). As shown in Fig. 1D, all mutated precursors were properly cleaved. Moreover, the deletions resulted in the increased migration of Gn bands on the gel, with a relative shift corresponding to the number of amino acids removed (lanes 3–8), suggesting that these residues still belong to the Gn cytoplasmic tail (Gn CT) and that the Gn-NSm cleavage must occur at or within NSm domain I.

We investigated whether the Gn-NSm cleavage takes place between residues 302R and 303S at the RVAR motif (Fig. S1). Five mutations were generated at this site in the M expression plasmid pTM1BUNM, including a deletion mutation (ΔRVAR) and four substitution mutations (MMKR, AAAA, RSLK, and RRKR) (Fig. L1). These plasmids were transfected into BSR-T7/5 cells, and the radiolabeled viral proteins were immunoprecipitated with anti-BUN and analyzed by SDSPAGE fractionation. Interestingly, like the wild-type (WT) BUNM control, all mutated GPCs were cleaved into Gn, NSm, and Gc (Fig. 1B), suggesting that this location is not a cleavage site by furin-like proteases. Furthermore, the furin inhibitor I (dec-RVKR-cmk; Calbiochem) had no effect on the cleavage of the core proteins of hepatitis C virus, GB virus B, and classical swine fever virus (Flaviviridae) (20, 21).
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substitutions at the −3 and −1 positions totally abolished Golgi targeting (Fig. 2C, e, f, and g) and cell fusion (Fig. 2C, d, f, and h), whereas alanine substitution had no effect on either Golgi colocalization (Fig. 2C, i, o, and r) or cell fusion (Fig. 2C, m, p, and s). When these mutations were tested for virus rescue, we were able to generate viruses from M-segment mutants containing alanine substitution mutations (rAEg, rIAe, and rAae), but not from arginine substitution mutations. The rescued viruses showed similar plaque phenotypes to the WT control (Fig. 2C, n, q, and t). Together, our data confirmed that the NSm domain-I functions as an internal SPNSm.

Mapping the C Termini of Gn Protein. After SPase cleavage of SPNSm at residue 332T, the SP was still attached to the upstream Gn CT (preGn). To define the Gn end, we constructed a series of individual Gn mutants that terminate between residues 298–332 (Fig. 3A). Consistent with the earlier results from internal deletions, the deletions from residues 312–298 resulted in the linear reduction in the molecular mass of Gn proteins (Fig. 3B, lanes 4–7), and Gn312 is comparable in size with WT Gn (comparing lanes 3 and 7), indicating that Gn likely ends at residue 312 or nearby residues. However, deletions in SPNSm did not cause a linear reduction in Gn molecular masses (Fig. 3B, lanes 8–10). It is noticeable that Gn317, which contains only five remnant hydrophobic residues at the C terminus, produced a smaller band (~25 kDa), which we believed to be a degradation product (Fig. 3B, lane 8). We also compared the size of the intracellular and virion Gn proteins and found no difference (Fig. 3C), confirming that the intracellular and virion Gn proteins end at the same position.

To confirm the subsequent processing of SPNSm upon SPase cleavage, we constructed a mutated Gn (Gn308V5) with domain I replaced with nonhydrophobic V5 epitope and a further six residues from the Gc CT (OEIKOK) (Fig. 3A). It is worth mentioning that the unprocessed Gn332 (preGn) would be similar in size to Gn308V5 (35.33 vs. 35.12 kDa). As anticipated, Gn308V5 ran at a higher molecular mass than WT Gn and the processed Gn332 (Fig. 3D), indicating that SPNSm was further processed from preGn. The preGn was visible by Western blot (WB) analysis of V5-tagged Gn proteins (Gn-27V5 and -86V5) as a faint band above the predominant processed Gn protein (Fig. 3E). Cell fusion assays with Gn mutants (in coexpression with Gc) indicated that the extensive syncytia were formed only from cells coexpressing Gc and Gn332 (PreGn), and any deletions in SPNSm diminished the cell fusion (Fig. 3F), suggesting that the liberated SPNSm is required in cell fusion.

MS analysis of virion Gn protein identified 17S as the first residue (Fig. 4A), but was unable to confirm the Gn end, with the furthest C-terminal residue mapped to 303R (Fig. 4C and Table S1), similar to the previously determined SSHV Gn end (9). It is probably because of the fact that the newly identified Gn C-terminal residues (303–312) are rich in positively charged arginine and lysine residues being targets by trypsin-like proteases (24). The terminal residues identified by MS are summarized in Table S1.

NSm Domain V Functions as an Internal Noncleavable SPGc. Our previous study suggested that NSm domain-V functions as a SPGc (8). Indeed, the deletion of the domain abolished Gc processing because no Gc protein was detected from the mutated BunV GPCs that contained whole or partial internal deletion in the domain (Fig. 4A, lanes 4–6). To study whether the domain undergoes any further processing as SPNSm, we compared the size of C-terminal truncated NSm proteins (based on pTmBUNGn-NSmV5) (Fig. 4B). The deletions decreased in the increased migration shift of NSm bands (Fig. 4C, lanes 4–8). NSm476 that contains the intact domain V was identical in size with the parental NSmV5 (comparing lanes 9 and 10), indicating that domain V is not further cleaved. Furthermore, no size change was noticeable when the domain V was swapped by either nonhydrophobic residues from EGFV (residues 1–20) or hydrophobic SP of HTNV (strain 76-118) Gn protein (SPGc residues 1–19) (Fig. 4D), whereas NSm456 that lacks the domain V was obviously smaller (Fig. 4D, lane 6), providing corroborating evidence that SPGc remains integral to the mature NSm. This finding renders the topology of mature NSm as a two-membrane-spanning protein (residues 332–477) that consists of the ectodomain (II), TMD (III), endoplasmic loop (IV), and C-terminal type-II TMD (V) (Fig. 4E).

Requirement of SPNSm for Cell Fusion and Virus Replication. To further investigate the role of SPNSm in virus replication, we made four mutant GPC constructs (Fig. 5A) and compared the impact of mutations in promoting cell fusion and virus viability. All four

---

**Fig. 3.** Mapping the C termini of Gn protein by mutagenesis. (A) Mutations in the Gn CT and NSm domain I (on pTM1BUN-Gn332). * represents the stop codon. (B) Effect of deletions on the migration shift of Gn protein. (C) Profile of the radioabeled intracellular and virion proteins. (D) The expression of Gn332 (preGn) and Gn308V5. (E) WB analysis of V5-tagged Gn332 at residue 27 or 86. (F) Cell fusion assay on BSR77/S cells cotransfected with pTM1BUN-NSmGc (Gc) and one of the Gn mutants. *P < 0.05; ****P < 0.0001.

**Fig. 4.** NSm domain V functions as a noncleavable SPGc. (A) Deletion of domain V abolished the Gc processing. (B) Mutations at NSm domain-V, * represents the stop codon. (C) Effect of deletions on the migration shift of the V5-tagged NSm protein. (D) WB analysis of V5-tagged NSm and its mutants. (E) The revised topology of NSm protein.
mutated GPCs were cleaved into Gn and Gc, and also NSm, from the BUNM-SPmNSm and -SPNSmHTNtm (Fig. 5B). A cell fusion assay revealed that only the GPC-ΔNSm_I, which contained deletion of whole mature NSm (residues 332-477) but retained SPNSm for Ge translocation, produced extensive syncytial formation (Fig. 5C). For the other three GPC mutants, the domain V, SPmNSm, and SPmHTNtm functioned properly as SPNSm for GPC processing, but these mutated GPCs failed to cause cell fusion. Consistently, from GPC-ΔNSm_I we rescued a recombinant virus (rBUNNSm) that did not express NSm protein (Fig. 5D, lane 4). The rBUNNSm was attenuated, evidenced by smaller plaque phenotype (Fig. 5E) and reduced virus yield at late infection (Fig. 5F). Because BUNV NSm is one of the key factors in the Golgi-associated “virus factory,” the depletion of the protein likely affects the virus assembly (8, 25, 26). The same strategy was used to create a viable recombinant OROV lacking NSm (27).

To characterize further SPNSm, we assessed the effect of the deletion mutations in the domain on GPC processing and cell fusion. As we mentioned early, deletions in SPNSm did not lead to a linear reduction in the molecular mass of Gn proteins (Fig. 5G). The Gn bands from Gns24 to Gns32 are comparable in size with WT Gn (Fig. 5G, lanes 6–11), but further deletion toward Gns32 (in the case of Gns317 and Gns322) would interrupt the proper processing of Gn protein (Fig. 5G, lanes 4 and 5), suggesting that the processing requires the stable SP TMD structure. When these mutants were tested in cell fusion, we noticed that even removal of two residues from SPNSm (Gns330) had a significant impact on syncytia formation and that further deletions diminished the extent of cell fusion (Fig. 5H). The data together indicated that, in addition to the role as SP, the liberated SPNSm has postcleavage function.

Involvement of SPP in the Processing of BUNV GPC. Because SPP is the ER-resident I-Clip, we suspect that SPP is probably involved in the further processing of SPNSm. To address the issue, we generated three lentiviruses that express small hairpin RNAs (shRNAs)—two specific to human SPP mRNA and one to EGFP (shGFP) as negative control. Both shSPP1 and shSPP2 were able to inhibit the SPP expression in the transduced A549 cells (shSPP2 showed a better silencing effect) (Fig. 6A). We then examined the impact of SPP knockdown on BUNV replication after low-multiplicity infection of A549 cells [multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.01 pfu per cell]. WB analysis showed that the detection of BUNV N was delayed by 24 h in shSPP-silenced cells compared with shGFP control (Fig. 6B), and the virus titer in SPP-knockdown cells was >10-fold lower than controls across the infection period (Fig. 6C). This finding indicates the likely involvement of SPP in BUNV replication. To investigate whether SPP is implicated in replication of other bunyaviruses, we infected the transduced A549 cells with SBV (Orthobunyavirus genus), RVFV (Phlebovirus genus), and Puumala virus (PUUV; Hantavirus genus), and their N proteins and virus titers were determined by WB and plaque assay. A significant inhibitory effect of SPP silencing was observed for SBV (Fig. 6D and E). For RVFV, inhibition was noticeable, but to a lesser extent than BUNV and SBV (not statistically significant) (Fig. 6F and G). Significant inhibition of PUUV replication was observed for PUUV replication in SPP-knockdown cells (Fig. 6H and I), but PUUV growth was inhibited to a greater extent in shGFP control cells than in SPP-knockdown cells, as evidenced by the N protein detection in SPP-knockdown cells, but not in shGFP control at 72 h postinfection (p.i.) (Fig. 6H, lane 7 at bottom row of long exposure) and significantly lower virus titer in shGFP control (Fig. 6I). This pattern for PUUV is largely due to antiviral activity that we found present in shGFP lentivirus preparation (Fig. S5), for which PUUV is more sensitive to the inhibitory effect on virus replication than BUNV to the inhibition effect (Fig. S5B).

The inhibitory effect of SPP knockdown on BUNV infection was also visualized by using a recombinant virus (rBUNGe-EGFP)
with EGFP fused to Gc (3). Naïve HuH7 cells and cells expressing shSPP2 were infected with rBUNGc-EGFP (MOI of 0.01), and cells were examined at 10, 24, and 48 h p.i. by fluorescence microscopy. Production of EGFP-tagged Gc—and hence production of progeny virus particles—was observed in naïve cells at 10 h p.i., and virus spread to adjacent cells was clearly evident at 24 h p.i. All cells were infected by 48 h p.i. (Fig. 6 A–C, a–c). In SPP-knockdown cells, the EGFP-tagged Gc was not observed until 24 h p.i., and the spreading to neighboring cells was still limited at 48 h p.i. (Fig. 6 A–C, d–f).

Discussion
The cleavage between BUNV Gn and NSm has long been thought to occur at the amino acid motif RV/AAR, which is conserved in several orthobunyaviruses (10, 11) and fits the minimum furin cleavage site (RXXR) (28). However, because the furin-like protease convertases process substrates in the lumen of the Golgi complex and endosome or at the cell surface (29), it is unlikely that the RxxR motif in the Gn CT can be accessed by these proteases. Moreover, some members of the genus Orthobunyavirus, such as Wyeomyia virus, SBV, and OROV, lack the RxxR motif (Fig. S1C).

In fact, we have proven that the motif and the downstream eight residues (residues 303–310) are still part of Gn CT. In the Bunyavirus family, the furin-like protease is involved in the GPC processing of CCHFV (Nairovirus genus) for generating a 38-kDa NSm protein, whereas the CCHFV furin site is located at the ectodomain of pre-Gn protein (30).

By using mutagenesis and MS analysis, we confirmed that the NSm domain-I is SPNSm which is cleaved by SPase at residue 332T of mature NSm. The residual SPNSm, which is still linked to the upstream Gn CT (as preGn), is further processed from Gn C terminus by the ER-resident SPP. The implication of SPP in BUNV GPC process is validated by our observations as follows: (i) The further processing of NSm domain-I (SPNSm) upon SPase cleavage; (ii) the detection of preGn by WB analysis of V5-tagged Gn protein; and (iii) inhibition of BUNV and SBV infection in SPP knockdown cells. We also assessed the impact of SPP knockdown on two other bunyaviruses, RVFV (Phlebovirinae) and PUUV (Hantavirus). SPP knockdown had no significant inhibitory effect on RVFV infection, whereas it inhibited PUUV infection. However, because PUUV replicated less efficiently in the shGFP-induced cells, we were unable to draw a clear conclusion. Some lentivirus expressing shRNAs can trigger IFN activation (31), and the effect of siRNA on innate immunity is sequence- and structure-related (32). It should be mentioned that the coding strategies and sizes of products encoded by M segments of the viruses in the family are very divergent, and thus it is plausible that the cleavage processing differs from genus to genus.

Besides its role as a SP, we provide evidence that the liberated SPNSm has postcleavage function in cell fusion. We speculate that the liberated SPNSm is likely incorporated into the virion by interacting with one of the viral glycoproteins upon cleavage by SP, which is probably required for that interaction. However, we were unable to find the peptide from the purified virus particles by MS analyses, perhaps because of the technical challenges of the small size and hydrophobicity of the domain. Another possibility is that the Gn CT is modified during the processing of SPNSm by SPP and that modification might be crucial for glycoprotein activity. Whatever the cases, it seems that the sequence specificity of SPNSm is important. Several SPs of viral proteins have postcleavage functions. For instance, SPf of lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus and Junin virus ( Arenaviruses) precursor glycoproteins C (GP-C) is an essential structural component of mature virions and is required in glycoprotein maturation, cell fusion, and virus infectivity (33–35).

Fig. 6. SPP KO affects BUNV infection. (A) SPP knockdown in A549 cells. (B and C) WB analysis (B) and growth kinetics (C) of BUNV infection in shRNA expressing A549V cells. (D and E) Effects of SPP knockdown on SBV infection. (F and G) Effects of SPP knockdown on RVFV infection. (H and I) Effects of SPP knockdown on PUUV infection. The transduced A549V cells were infected with virus (MOI of 0.01). At each time point, the supernatants were harvested for virus titration, and cell lysates were collected for WB. The relevant proteins were probed with antibodies against SPP, tubulin (T), or viral N protein; and ** inhibition of BUNV and SBV infection in SPP-knockdown cells. (H and I) Effects of SPP knockdown on BUNV spreading. Transduced HuH7 cells were infected with rBUNGc-EGFP (MOI of 0.01) and incubated until time points 10, 24, and 48 h p.i., as indicated. Cells were stained with anti-GM130 and examined by confocal microscopy. EGFP-tagged Gc and virus particles were shown in green; GM130 were stained in red, and the nuclei were stained in blue with DAPI. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ****p < 0.0001.

Fig. 7. The model of BUNV GPC (Gn-NSm-Gc) cleavage. (A) Schematic showing BUNV GPC processing. (B) Topology of Gn, Gc, and NSm proteins. See Discussion for details.
Based on our findings, we propose a model for the process and topology of orbuvirnavirus glycoproteins (Fig. 7A). All three proteins, in precursor form, contain their own SPs. The N-terminal SP\textsuperscript{C} targets the nascent Gn polypeptide through the ER membrane, where it is cleaved by SPase at residue 17S. The internal SP\textsuperscript{C} mediates the translocation of the nascent NSm chain into the ER membrane, and it is subsequently cleaved at residue 332T by SPase from the N-terminal SP\textsuperscript{C}. This is further processed by SPase to free the Gn CT. NSm domain-SP\textsuperscript{C} translocates the nascent Gc chain to the ER membrane and is cleaved at residue 478E to separate the mature NSm and the nascent Gc chain. However, unlike SP\textsuperscript{NSm} the domain-SP\textsuperscript{C} is not further processed and remains as the C-terminal domain of mature NSm. The updated topology model of mature Gn, NSm, and Gc proteins is illustrated in Fig. 7B. Gn and Gc proteins are type I transmembrane proteins, and NSm is a two-membrane-spanning protein.

This study revealed a dimension for SPP in virus replication. The knowledge will benefit vaccine development and help identify new antiviral drugs against pathogenic virus infections caused by viruses in the family.

Materials and Methods

The materials and methods are described in SI Materials and Methods. They include cells and viruses, antibodies, plasmids and mutagenesis, transfection of cells, metabolic radiolabeling and immunoprecipitation, immunofluorescence staining, BUNV glycoprotein fusion assay, virus rescue by reverse genetics, virus infection, titration and purification, preparation of BUNV glycoprotein Gn, Gc, and NSm proteins; MS analysis, SPP knockdown by lentivirus shRNA; and WB. Data were expressed as the mean ± SD. The P value and statistical significance of difference was analyzed by using unpaired t tests with GraphPad software. *P < 0.05, significant; **P < 0.01, very significant. **P < 0.001, extremely significant.
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