Noncoding RNAs in breast cancer
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Abstract

The mammalian transcriptome has recently been revealed to encompass a large number of noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs) that play a variety of important regulatory roles in gene expression and other biological processes. MicroRNAs (miRNAs), the best studied of the short noncoding RNAs (sncRNAs), have been extensively characterized with regard to their biogenesis, function and importance in tumorigenesis. Another class of sncRNAs called piwi-interacting RNAs (piRNAs) has also gained attention recently in cancer research owing to their critical role in stem cell regulation. Long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) of >200 nucleotides in length have recently emerged as key regulators of developmental processes, including mammary gland development. lncRNA dysregulation has also been implicated in the development of various cancers, including breast cancer. In this review, we describe and discuss the roles of sncRNAs (including miRNAs and piRNAs) and lncRNAs in the initiation and progression of breast tumorigenesis, with a focus on outlining the molecular mechanisms of oncogenic and tumor-suppressor ncRNAs. Moreover, the current and potential future applications of ncRNAs to clinical breast cancer research are also discussed, with an emphasis on ncRNA-based diagnosis, prognosis and future therapeutics.
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Introduction

Breast cancer is the most commonly diagnosed cancer and the second-leading cause of cancer death for women worldwide [1]. Although recent advances in early detection and cancer therapeutics have led to a decrease in breast cancer mortality rates, breast cancer is a heterogeneous, complicated disease that remains a major public health concern. The currently accepted model of breast tumorigenesis involves the stepwise, pathological progression from normal breast → breast hyperplasia → in situ carcinoma → invasive carcinoma → metastatic cancer [2]. This paradigm is strongly supported by clinical and epidemiological evidence as well as molecular clonality studies [3–5]. Malignant breast tumor progression is caused by multiple genetic and epigenetic alterations, which activate the various hallmarks of cancer [6]. The accumulation of these aberrations facilitates malignant transformation and confers cancerous phenotypes. Noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs) have recently gained wide spread attention as one cause of genetic and epigenetic dysregulation [7, 8]. Recent advances in DNA and RNA-sequencing techniques have revealed that only 2% of the human genome is composed of protein-coding genes [9]. However, >70–90% of the genome is actively transcribed into ncRNA molecules [10–13]. A growing body of evidence demonstrates that ncRNA molecules are critical regulators of gene expression, acting at both transcriptional...
and posttranscriptional levels with crucial roles in a variety of biological processes [7, 8]. ncRNAs can be divided into two major classes based on transcript size: small ncRNAs (sncRNAs) and long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) [14]. sncRNAs are <200 nucleotides in length and encompass microRNAs (miRNAs), endogenous small interfering RNAs, piwi-interacting RNAs (piRNAs) and the recently discovered transcription initiation RNAs [7, 14, 15]. miRNAs have been extensively investigated in cancer studies, and the deregulation of oncogenic and tumor-suppressor miRNAs in cancers has been shown to play a critical role in carcinogenesis [16]. The mammalian genome also transcribes a large number of ncRNAs that are longer than 200 nucleotides, called lncRNAs [17]. LncRNAs are a heterogeneous group of RNA molecules that have recently been shown to exploit multiple modes of action to regulate gene expression, and are involved in a wide spectrum of cellular processes [17]. Accumulating evidence has shown that lncRNAs have roles in both oncogenic and tumor-suppressor pathways [18].

This review focuses on the roles of miRNAs and lncRNAs in the development and progression of breast cancer, and introduces the emerging roles of piRNAs in breast cancer. This review also covers the translational applications of ncRNAs in the diagnosis, prognosis and therapy of breast cancer.

Biogenesis and function of ncRNAs
In this section, we summarize the recent advances in understanding the biogenesis and function of sncRNAs (with a special emphasis on miRNAs and piRNAs) and lncRNAs. In addition, we discuss how alterations in miRNA biogenic pathway components contribute to breast cancer development.

MicroRNAs
It is indisputable that miRNAs remain the best-characterized class of sncRNAs. The pathways for miRNA biogenesis and miRNA function have been widely reviewed by others [7, 19, 20] and will be only briefly described here. Sequence analysis has revealed that the majority of miRNAs are transcribed from the intergenic regions of the human genome [21, 22]. However, some miRNAs are transcribed from exonic or intronic regions as well [22, 23]. miRNA biogenesis is a multistep process, starting with the transcription of primary miRNAs (pri-miRNAs) by RNA polymerase II [7, 19, 20]. pri-miRNAs are processed into precursor miRNAs (pre-miRNAs, ~70 nucleotides in length) by the RNase III Drosha-DGCR8-DDX5 microprocessor complex [7, 19, 20, 24], and are then exported to the cytoplasm by Exportin (a Ran-GFP-dependent transporter) [7, 19, 20]. In the cytoplasm, pre-miRNAs are cleaved by the RNase Dicer-TAR RNA-binding protein (TRBP) complex, producing mature, single-strand miRNAs with a length of 19–23 nucleotides [7, 19, 20]. Not all miRNAs go through the canonical miRNA biogenesis pathway. Special miRNAs known as mirtrons are produced from spliced introns with structural features similar to pre-miRNAs, and undergo a miRNA-processing pathway that bypasses the Drosha-mediated cleavage step [25].

It is estimated that miRNAs can regulate the expression of >60% of human genes via guiding a diverse set of multi-protein RNA-induced silencing complexes (RISC) to specifically target miRNAs [26]. The miRNA-associated RISC complexes consist of the argonaute ( Ago) and glycine-tryptophan (GW) repeat-containing protein of 182 kDa (GW182) families of proteins, as well as other accessory proteins [27, 28]. The mode of miRNA-mediated gene expression silencing (miRNA decay or translational repression) is determined by the combinatorial nature of the RISC complex components and the degree of the complementarity between the 8 nt miRNA seed sequence and the miRNA-targeting site in the 3′-untranslated region (3′-UTR) of mRNA [27, 28]. In addition to these miRNA-based silencing mechanisms targeting miRNAs, some miRNAs are complementary to gene promoters and mediate transcriptional activation and silencing through targeting of Ago/GW182-containing complexes to promoter regions [29, 30]. Therefore, miRNAs can modulate gene expression via multiple distinct mechanisms.

Since their discovery, extensive studies of miRNA function have been conducted in almost every cancer, and deregulated miRNAs have been recognized to play key roles in the carcinogenic process [16]. Dysregulation of oncogenic and tumor-suppressor miRNA expression in cancer can result from multiple pathological mechanisms occurring at the transcriptional or posttranscriptional level. For instance, DNA hypermethylation of the miRNA promoter has been identified in various cancers, including breast cancer, leading to silencing of miRNA expression at the transcriptional level [31]. Alterations affecting the functionality of protein regulators involved in pri-miRNA and pre-miRNA processing and miRNA maturation can also result in dysregulation of miRNA expression in cancer. Investigations using immunohistochemistry have shown that Dicer expression is progressively lost during breast cancer development, and is associated with features of aggressive behavior including higher histological grade, loss of hormone receptors and breast cancer 1 (BRCA1) protein expression, and with shorter disease-free survival (DFS) [32]. These findings suggest that global mature miRNA biogenesis is downregulated during breast tumorigenesis. This hypothesis is supported by a genome-wide miRNA profiling study of a large cohort of breast cancers that found a global decrease of miRNA expression in breast tumors compared with adjacent normal tissue, and a gradual decline in expression with progressive tumor grade [33]. In addition, several oncogenic and tumor-suppressor factors that are known to be altered in breast cancer have been identified to regulate expression or functionality of miRNA biogenic pathway components, which in turn alter miRNA expression. For example, oncogenic protein Cyclin D1 functions as a positive regulator that induces Dicer expression and is required for pre-miRNA processing [34]. Cyclin D1 and Dicer are coexpressed in luminal-A and basal-like subtypes of breast cancer, and Dicer function was demonstrated to be critical for the oncogenic functions mediated by Cyclin D1 (e.g. proliferation and migration) [34]. In contrast, epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) inhibits the biogenesis of tumor-suppressor miRNAs in response to hypoxia by phosphorylating Argonaute RISC catalytic component 2 (AGO2) at Tyr 393 and inhibiting its binding to Dicer [35]. Tumor suppressor proteins have also been shown to affect miRNA biogenesis. The tumor-suppressor BRCA1 directly interacts with Drosha and DDX5 of the Drosha microprocessor complex, accelerating the processing of pri-miRNA transcripts [36]. Alterations of these factors in certain breast cancers such as Cyclin D1-overexpressing, BRCA1-deficient and erb-b2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (HER2)-amplified breast cancers can result in dysregulation of miRNA biogenesis, leading to aberrations in miRNA-dependent gene expression networks and triggering tumorigenic progression.

Piwi-interacting RNAs
piRNAs are a recently discovered class of small ncRNAs that were first identified in Drosophila germ line cells, and range
from 24 to 32 nucleotides in length [37]. In Drosophila, mature piRNAs are generated from the processing of single-stranded RNAs transcribed from genomic ‘piRNA clusters’, the genomic intra- and inter-genic regions composed of the remnants of transposable elements (TEs), by PIWI family proteins and other factors [37]. In addition, piRNAs can be generated from another mechanism in the cytoplasm termed the ‘ping-pong’ cycle, involving the primary antisense piRNA-directed cleavage of transposon transcripts by Aubergine and PIWI proteins [37].

In Drosophila, piRNAs are essential for sustaining genomic stability via the suppression of TEs at the transcriptional and posttranscriptional level [37]. piRNAs, in association with PIWI family factors, silence expression of TEs via triggering DNA methylation of TE loci [37]. However, only ~20% of known mammalian piRNAs are mapped to transposons and other repeat genomic regions, suggesting that piRNAs may have other functional roles in addition to suppressing TEs [38, 39]. Compelling new evidence has revealed that in the soma, piRNAs can modulate histone modifications and DNA methylation in a sequence-specific manner, enabling piRNAs to modulate the chromosomal conformation and regulate gene expression [39]. Dysregulation of piRNAs and proteins (e.g. PIWI family proteins) involved in piRNA biogenesis has been found in a variety of cancers, including breast cancer [39, 40], highlighting the emerging roles of piRNA-mediated epigenetic events in tumorigenic processes of human somatic cancers.

Long noncoding RNAs

LncRNAs represent the most numerous and functionally diverse class of ncRNAs [8, 14, 18]. LncRNAs are transcribed from introns or intergenic regions in either the sense or antisense orientation relative to protein-coding genes. The majority of lncRNAs are polyadenylated and transcribed by RNA polymerase II [41–43], whereas lncRNAs lacking poly(A) tails are generally transcribed by RNA polymerase III [44, 45]. LncRNAs are regulated in both spatial and temporal manners as the expression of protein-coding genes [13, 46]. The primary nucleotide sequences as well as complex secondary structures of lncRNAs and their cell-type/developmental stage-specific expression allow them to target genomic loci or interact with other RNA molecules (mRNA or ncRNAs) and proteins to regulate a variety of biological processes in the cell in a temporal and spatial manner [14, 47, 48].

LncRNAs can regulate chromatin remodeling, protein functionality and gene expression through multiple mechanisms [47, 49, 50]. LncRNAs can serve either as guiding scaffolds to assist nuclear transcriptional regulators to bind specific DNA elements or as scaffolds for the formation of cellular structures (e.g. paraspeckles) or protein complexes [8, 18, 51, 52]. In addition, some nuclear lncRNAs have been shown to interact with splicing factors or directly with pre-mRNAs to affect RNA splicing [51]. By hybridizing with RNA transcripts or with regulatory snRNAs (e.g. miRNAs), lncRNAs can regulate the translational activity and decay of miRNAs. Some lncRNAs have been found to contain miRNA targeting sites and can serve as endogenous miRNA sponges to suppress the inhibitory effects of miRNAs on mRNA translation and stability [8, 18, 53]. This lncRNA-mediated sponge function has been shown to be crucial for a number of cellular processes, including cell differentiation and pluripotency [8, 18, 49, 53]. LncRNAs serve as important regulatory molecules of gene expression and protein functionality at multiple levels, and their deregulation plays a key role in tumorigenesis [8, 18, 53].

miRNAs in breast tumorigenesis

The recent progress in RNA deep sequencing techniques has significantly advanced miRNA research and the understanding of their roles in breast cancer initiation and progression. Volinia et al. recently used custom-designed algorithms to analyze small-RNA deep-sequencing data generated by Farazi et al. and identified key miRNAs involved in the transition from normal breast-to-ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) and DCIS-to-Invasive Ductal Carcinoma (IDC) [54, 55]. In all, 6 normal breast, 8 DCIS and 80 IDC cases were analyzed in their study [55]. We summarized these key miRNAs, their gene targets and functional roles in these pathological transitions in Table 1. Seven miRNAs that are implicated in the metastatic process of breast cancer are also summarized in Table 1.

From their analysis, 66 miRNAs were identified to be differentially expressed in DCIS compared to normal breast [55]. This differentially expressed miRNA profile was largely maintained in the DCIS to IDC transition [55], consistent with previous studies showing that the genetic profiles of DCIS tumors are remarkably similar to IDC tumors when histological grade and hormone receptor status are matched [3–5]. Therefore, miRNA profiling analysis of DCIS and IDC by Volinia et al. [55] supports the well-accepted paradigm that DCIS is a nonobligate precursor of IDC. Among the miRNAs that are differentially expressed in DCIS relative to normal breast, 19 were upregulated (fold change > 3) and 25 downregulated (fold change > 3) in DCIS. Among these 44 differentially expressed miRNAs, 12 upregulated and 17 downregulated miRNAs with reported roles in breast cancer are listed in Table 1. miR-140, recently identified by us to be progressively downregulated in DCIS, is also included in the list [86].

Hannafon et al. [121] performed expression profiling of 365 miRNA by real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction assays to generate a miRNA expression signature comparing normal breast epithelium from reduction mammoplasty (n = 9) to paired samples of histologically normal epithelium and DCIS (n = 16). We compared the 30 relevant miRNAs from Volinia’s studies with 31 miRNAs identified by Hannafon et al. [121], which were differentially expressed in DCIS tumors relative to normal tissue controls and found that 11 differentially expressed miRNAs were consistently detected in these two independent analyses (Figure 1 and Table 1). These six upregulated (miR-21, miR-93, miR-182, miR-183, miR-200b, miR-200c) and five downregulated (let-7c, miR-99a, miR-125b, miR-127-3p, miR-145) may represent the key miRNA signature for the pathological progression from normal breast to DCIS. Six upregulated (miR-18a, miR-99b, miR-181b, miR-191, miR-365 and miR-449) and three downregulated (miR-195, miR-204 and miR-489) miRNAs found only in Hannafon’s studies have reported roles in breast tumorigenesis and are also included in Table 1. This comparison shows that only one-third of their respective breast-cancer-relevant miRNAs can be commonly identified by these two data sets. This may be owing to a variation in tissue samples used in these two independent studies. It has also recently been shown that dysregulation of miRNA expression can be breast cancer subtype specific [33].

miRNAs downregulated in DCIS

All 21 miRNAs downregulated in DCIS tumors relative to normal counterparts are classified as tumor-suppressive miRNAs, and are involved in regulating multiple biological processes and signal transduction pathways to suppress breast tumorigenesis. For instance, oncogenic signaling pathways that are involved in
### Table 1. Summary of the miRNAs involved in breast cancer progression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cancer progression stage</th>
<th>miRNA</th>
<th>Expression</th>
<th>Target genes</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal breast to DCIS</td>
<td>miR-18a</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>ATM</td>
<td>miR-18a impairs DNA damage response via downregulation of ATM.</td>
<td>[90]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-19a</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>PTEN</td>
<td>miR-19 enhances chemoresistance via the activation of oncogenic PI3K-AKT signaling.</td>
<td>[92]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-21</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>PDCD4, PTEN, TIMP3, RECK</td>
<td>miR-21 suppresses apoptosis and activates PI3K-AKT signaling to promote cell survival and tumorigenesis.</td>
<td>[91, 99, 101]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-26b</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>CHD1, GREB1, KPNA2</td>
<td>miR-26b is the downstream target of the ERα signaling axis and is implicated in regulating ERα down- stream target gene expression.</td>
<td>[89]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-93</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>JAK1, STAT3, AKT3, SOX4, EZH1, and HMGA2</td>
<td>miR-93 suppresses TGFβ signaling to promote mesenchymal-to-epithelial transition (MET) and luminal tumorigenesis.</td>
<td>[110]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-96</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>FOXO3a, FOXO1, RECK</td>
<td>miR-96 promotes cell proliferation and survival.</td>
<td>[93, 94, 102]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-99b</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>E-cadherin, ZO-1</td>
<td>miR-99b is the downstream target of TGFβ signaling and promote EMT.</td>
<td>[113]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-106b</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>EP300, Smad7</td>
<td>miR-106b is involved in modulating TGFβ signaling to promote EMT for increasing the motility and inva- siveness of breast cancer cells</td>
<td>[114]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-181b</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>CYLD</td>
<td>miR-181b is the STAT3 downstream target to mediate NFκB activation for enhancing tumorigenic cell transformation.</td>
<td>[95]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-182</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>FOXO1, BRCA1, RECK</td>
<td>miR-182 suppresses BRCA1-dependent DNA repair to enhance genomic instability and promotes the inva- siveness of breast cancer cells.</td>
<td>[93, 96, 103]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-183</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>RAR21</td>
<td>miR-183 increases cell proliferation and migration.</td>
<td>[104]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-191</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>EGR1</td>
<td>miR-191 is positively regulated by ERα signaling and meditates survival of ERα breast cancer cells.</td>
<td>[97]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-200b, miR-200c</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>ZEB1, ZEB2, BMI1, PLCG1</td>
<td>miR-200c suppresses TGFβ signaling and EMT.</td>
<td>[109]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-365</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>SHC1, BAX</td>
<td>miR-365 targets pro-apoptotic regulators to promote cell survival.</td>
<td>[100]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-374a</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>WiFi1, PTEN, WNT5A</td>
<td>miR-374a activates WNT/β-catenin signaling to promote tumorigenesis.</td>
<td>[98]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-429</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>ZEB1</td>
<td>miR-429 inhibits cell migration and invasion of breast cancer cells.</td>
<td>[109]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-449a</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>FOS, Met, MYC, HMGA2, H-RAS</td>
<td>miR-449a suppresses EMT by targeting FOS and Met. Let-7 miRNAs act as tumor suppressors to inhibit onco- genic pathways.</td>
<td>[62, 66]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-22</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>TET1-3, CD147</td>
<td>miR-22-mediated suppression of ten eleven translocation (TET) family proteins (TET1-3) induce the silencing of miR-200 expression. miR-22 overexpression suppresses breast cancer cell invasion, metastasis, and proliferation by targeting CD147.</td>
<td>[78, 81]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-99a</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>mTOR</td>
<td>miR-99a decreases cell viability and induces apoptosis via targeting mTOR signaling.</td>
<td>[60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-100</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>IGF2</td>
<td>miR-100 suppresses oncogenic insulin-like growth factor 2 (IGF2)-mTOR signaling to inhibit breast tumorigenesis.</td>
<td>[61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-125a, miR-125b</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>HER2, HER3, ETS1</td>
<td>miR-125 targets the oncogenic tyrosine kinase receptors and transcription factor to suppress tumorigenesis.</td>
<td>[58, 68]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-127-3p</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>BCL6</td>
<td>miR-127-3p inhibits carcinogenesis.</td>
<td>[67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-140</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>SOX9, ALDH1</td>
<td>miR-140 targets stem-cell factors to inhibit the self-renewal of breast cancer cells.</td>
<td>[56]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-143</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>HER3, Cyclin D1, BCL-2, Survivin, hexokinase 2</td>
<td>miR-143 targets oncogenic and anti-apoptotic molecules to suppress proliferation and induce apoptosis of breast cancer cells. It also inhibits glycolysis of cancer cells.</td>
<td>[59, 72, 74, 85]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cancer progression stage</th>
<th>miRNA</th>
<th>Expression</th>
<th>Target genes</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal breast to DCIS</td>
<td>miR-145</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>RTKN, ERα, N-RAS, VEGFA, HER3, ARF6, miR-145 targets multiple signal transduction pathways (Rho, ERα, Ras, HER3, ARF6 and VEGF) to inhibit breast tumor cell growth and angiogenesis.</td>
<td>[59, 63, 79, 80, 88]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-185</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>VEGFA, E2F6, DNMT1</td>
<td>miR-185 acts as a tumor suppressor to inhibit the proliferation and invasion of breast cancer cells via alleviating the expression of onco-</td>
<td>[70, 77]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-193b</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>uPA</td>
<td>miR-193b targets the urokinase-type plasminogen activator to suppress tumor progression and invasion in breast cancer.</td>
<td>[84]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-195</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>Raf-1, BCL-2</td>
<td>miR-195 targets survival factors and signaling pathways to sensitize breast cancer cells to chemotherapy.</td>
<td>[64, 65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-204</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>Six1</td>
<td>miR-204 suppresses EMT via downregulating Six1 expression.</td>
<td>[82]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-221/222</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>ERα</td>
<td>miR-221/222 suppresses ER-dependent tumorigenesis.</td>
<td>[87]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-320</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>ETS2</td>
<td>miR-320 targets the oncogenic transcription factor ETS2.</td>
<td>[69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-451</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>14-3-3-ζ</td>
<td>miR-451 decreases breast cancer cell survival via downregulating 14-3-3-ζ expression.</td>
<td>[76]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-489</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>Smad3</td>
<td>miR-489 is an EMT suppressor via targeting TGFβ1-Smad3 signaling.</td>
<td>[83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-497</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>Bcl-w</td>
<td>miR-497 is a pro-apoptotic regulator to induce cell death.</td>
<td>[75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCIS to IDC</td>
<td>miR-181a</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>ATM</td>
<td>miR-181a promotes EMT and cell survival to enhance the self-renewal of breast cancer stem cells.</td>
<td>[121]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-210</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>FANCD/F, PPP2CA, Rb1, NLK, PARP1, BRCA1, CDH1, MNT, miR-210 targets the MYC inhibitor MNT to activate oncogenic MYC signaling. It may also directly or indirectly target multiple factors involved in tumor suppression, DNA repair and cell adhesion.</td>
<td>[55, 127]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-221/222</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>p27Kip1, TRPS1</td>
<td>miR-221/222 promotes cell proliferation by targeting the CDK inhibitor p27Kip1 and facilitates EMT by targeting the ZEB2 inhibitor TRPS1.</td>
<td>[124, 125]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-126</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>VEGFA, PIK3R2</td>
<td>miR-126 acts as a tumor suppressor to inhibit breast cancer cell proliferation.</td>
<td>[118]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-143</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>HER3, Cyclin D1, BCL-2, Survivin, hexokinase 2</td>
<td>miR-143 inhibits tumor cell survival, proliferation and glycolysis.</td>
<td>[59, 72, 74, 85]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-218</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>HOXB3, Survivin</td>
<td>miR-218 activates tumor-suppressive molecules (RASSF1A and claudin-6) to inhibit tumorigenesis.</td>
<td>[117, 119]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-335-5p</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>SOX4, TNC</td>
<td>miR-335-5p suppresses the invasive transition of breast cancer cells.</td>
<td>[120]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastasis</td>
<td>miR-10b</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>HOXD10, TIAM1</td>
<td>miR-10b is the first identified microRNA upregulated in metastatic breast cancer cells by the EMT transcription factor Twist and is required for tumor cell invasion and metastasis.</td>
<td>[116, 130]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-9</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>E-cadherin</td>
<td>miR-9 expression is induced by Myc in breast cancer cells to promote EMT and angiogenesis in breast tumors.</td>
<td>[131]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-335</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>SOX4, PTPRN2, TNC, MERTK</td>
<td>miR-335 acts as a metastatic suppressor by inhibiting the stemness and metastatic transformation of breast cancer cells.</td>
<td>[120]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-31</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>ITGAS, RhoA, RDX, Fzd3, MMP16</td>
<td>miR-31 inhibits pro-metastatic signaling pathways.</td>
<td>[132]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-200</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>ZEB1, ZEB2, BMI1, PLCG1, moesin</td>
<td>miR-200 inhibits EMT to suppress breast cancer metastasis.</td>
<td>[109, 133]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-29b</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>VEGFA, ANGPTL4, PDGF, LOX, MMP9</td>
<td>miR-29b suppresses breast cancer cell metastasis by inhibiting signaling networks associated with angiogenesis, collagen remodeling and proteolysis.</td>
<td>[135]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-708</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>NNAT</td>
<td>miR-708 inhibits breast cancer migration and metastasis by regulating intracellular Ca2+ levels.</td>
<td>[136]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The overlapped miRNA genes between Volinia’s and Hannafon’s miRNA profiling datasets (see Figure 1) are underlined.
During the tumorigenic transformation process, premalignant breast epithelial cells lose cell polarity and gain deregulated proliferation, leading to rapid growth and formation of the tumor mass. This pathological progression involves enhanced survival signaling and inhibition of anoikis, the apoptotic response that is normally activated when cell polarity is lost [122]. Some of the miRNAs downregulated in DCIS are implicated in the downregulation of anti-apoptotic factors, including BCL2 (miR-143, miR195) [88, 99, 123], Survivin (miR-143) [89], Bel-2 (miR-497) [105] and 14-3-3 (miR-451) [103] (Table 1). In addition, these identified tumor-suppressive miRNAs also functionally target other biological processes including cell-cycle progression (Cyclin D1, targeted by miR-143) [89], angiogenesis (vascular endothelial growth factor A [VEGFA], targeted by miR-145 and miR-185) [91, 96], epigenetic regulation (TET1-3, targeted by miR-22; DNA (cytosine-5)-methyltransferase 1 [DNMT1], targeted by miR-185) [79, 95], Rho-dependent signal transduction (RTKN, targeted by miR-145) [92], invasion (ADP ribosylation factor 6 [ARF6], targeted by miR-145; CD147, targeted by miR-22; Six1, targeted by miR-204; Smad3, targeted by miR-489) [80, 93, 100, 104] and degradation of the extracellular matrix (ECM) (urokinase plasminogen activator, uPA, targeted by miR-193b) [97] (Table 1).

In addition to targeting HER3, Cyclin D1, B-cell CLL/lymphoma 2 [BCL2] and Survivin, miR-143 has been shown to target hexokinase 2, leading to suppression of glycolysis (Table 1) [90]. This metabolic regulation mechanism is preferentially exploited by cancer cells to metabolize glucose to generate energy [124]. Downregulation of miR-143 in DCIS releases inhibition of hexokinase 2 and increases glycolysis in breast cancer cells. This leads to the ‘Warburg effect’, the observation that most cancer cells undergo high rates of glycolysis followed by lactic acid fermentation, instead of the low rates of glycolysis followed by mitochondrial oxidation of pyruvate via the citric acid cycle that occurs in most normal cells [124].

Importantly, two miRNAs (miR-221/222 and miR-145) known to target estrogen receptor α (ERα) [94, 101] were identified to be downregulated in DCIS (Table 1). Given that the majority of DCIS tumors are ER-positive, downregulation of miR-221/222 and miR-145 results in upregulation of ERα and increased ERα signaling, promoting DCIS tumor cell growth. Consistent with this, miR-26b, a downstream target of the ERα-Myc signaling axis [61], is upregulated in DCIS (Table 1). Collectively, the decreased expression of these 21 tumor-suppressive miRNAs contributes to increased cell survival, proliferation and glycolysis of DCIS tumor cells, as well as enhanced angiogenesis and ECM degradation in the tissue microenvironment (Figure 2).

miRNAs upregulated in DCIS

From the upregulated miRNA signature, 10 oncogenic miRNAs, including miR-18a, miR-19a, miR-21, miR-96, miR-181b, miR-182, miR-183, miR-191, miR-365 and miR-374a, were identified in DCIS (Table 1). Their gene targets encompass eight tumor suppressors (ATM, PTEN, FOXO1, FOXO3a, CYLD, BRCA1, EGR1 and WIFI) [56–58, 63, 64, 69, 72, 75], three pro-apoptotic factors (programmed cell death 4, PDCD4, SHC1 and BAX) [58, 59, 74], two inhibitors of matrix metalloproteinases (TIMP3 and RECK) implicated in regulating ECM degradation [60, 65, 70] and a GTPase (RAB21) involved in the control of cellular membrane traffic [71] (Table 1). The protein ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM), targeted by miR-18a, is a kinase that plays a pivotal role in the maintenance of genomic integrity through the activation of cell cycle checkpoints, enhancing repair of DNA double-strand breaks [56]. A low level of ATM in breast cancer has been shown to correlate with poor outcome [125]. In addition to ATM, BRCA1, targeted by miR-182, is another critical factor involved in maintaining genomic stability through DNA double-stranded break repair [69]. BRCA1 is also implicated in transcriptional regulation to suppress the tumorigenic progression of breast cancer.
and ovarian cancers [126]. Phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN), targeted by miR-19a, miR-21 and miR-374a [57, 58, 75], is a well-known tumor suppressor that functions as a phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5-trisphosphate 3-phosphatase to negatively regulate PI3K-AKT signaling, a critical oncogenic signaling pathway for breast tumorigenesis [127]. WNT inhibitory factor 1 (WIF1), targeted by miR-374a, is known to function as an inhibitor of WNT-β-catenin signaling by competing with WNT ligands to bind their cognate receptors [75]. The tumor suppressor cylindromatosis (CYLD), targeted by miR-181b, is a deubiquitinating enzyme that inhibits the NFκB and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) activation pathways by deubiquitinating upstream regulatory factors [68, 128]. Early growth response gene 1 (EGR1) is a tumor-suppressive transcription factor involved in mediating hormone starvation-induced apoptosis in ER-positive breast cancer cells [72]. Through targeting EGR1, miR-191 protects ER-positive breast cancer cells from apoptosis induced by hormone deprivation [72].

Several miRNAs involved in negative regulation of the TGFβ-mediated epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT), including miR-200 family members (miR-200b, miR-200c, miR-449a) [62, 73, 76], were found to be upregulated in DCIS (Table 1). Upregulation of miR-200 is in part owing to downregulation of miR-22, which inhibits expression of the demethylating enzymes ten eleven translocation (TET 1-3). TET proteins catalyze DNA demethylation of the miR-200 gene promoter, inducing miR-200 expression [129]. Intriguingly, miR-99b and miR-106b,
which are both activators of TGFβ-mediated EMT [66, 67], were upregulated in DCIS (Table 1). Moreover, as shown in Table 1, non-histone chromatin-binding protein HMGA2, a mediator of TGFβ signaling, is a target of let-7 [77]. Downregulation of let-7 leads to increased HMGA2 expression and TGFβ-mediated EMT [130]. Therefore, in the transition from normal breast to DCIS, TGFβ-mediated EMT may be regulated either by tumor-subtype-specific miRNAs (this topic is discussed in the following section) or by a complicated network of both positive and negative miRNA regulators. Further studies are needed to address the role of this regulation in the progression to DCIS.

miRNAs dysregulated in the DCIS-to-IDC transition

By comparing IDC biopsies with DCIS tumors, Volinia et al. [55] identified nine miRNAs that are differentially expressed in IDC compared with DCIS. They defined these nine miRNAs as the ‘invasiveness microsignature’ that can be used to differentiate IDC from DCIS: let-7 d, miR-181 a, -210 and -221 were activated, whereas miR-10 b, -126, -218, -335-5 p and -143 were repressed [55]. While upregulation of let-7 d and downregulation of miR-10 b in IDC relative to DCIS are not consistent with their reported functional roles in breast cancer [77, 78, 114], the rest of the invasiveness microsignature miRNAs exhibit consistency between their functional roles in breast cancer and their expression statuses in the DCIS-to-IDC transition. We list these seven key miRNAs, their gene targets and functions in Table 1.

The four miRNAs (miR-126, -218, -335-5 p and -143) downregulated in the DCIS-to-IDC transition are all tumor suppressors, and are involved in promoting cell adhesion (by activating claudin-6) [111] and inhibiting VEGF-dependent angiogenesis [110], oncogenic PI3K-Akt signaling (PI3K/R2) [110], G1-S transition (Cyclin D1) [89], cell survival (BCL2, Survivin) [88, 89, 112], stemness/pluripotency (SOX4) [113] and invasiveness (tenascin C, TNC) [113] (Table 1).

Three miRNAs (miR-181 a, miR-210 and miR-221/222) which were activated during the DCIS-to-IDC transition [55], are implicated in stimulating cell proliferation and EMT, and in inhibiting cellular DNA repair ability and cell adhesion (Table 1). miR-181 a is a downstream target of TGFβ signaling that enhances EMT, migration and invasiveness [106, 131]. Loss of ATM, a target of miR-181 a in breast cancer [106], has been shown to accelerate pancreatic cancer formation and EMT [132], suggesting miR-181 a-mediated repression of ATM may contribute to aggressiveness in breast cancer [106]. miR-221/222 is downstream of the RAS pathway and its expression is also transactivated by the basal-like transcription factor FOSL1 [108]. miR-221/222 promotes EMT by targeting trichorhinophalangeal 1 (TRPS1), a member of the GATA family that transcriptionally represses the expression of Zinc finger E-box-binding homeobox 2 (ZEB2) to suppress ZEB2-induced EMT [108]. miR-221/222 can also enhance cell proliferation via targeting the cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) inhibitor p27/Kip1 [109]. miR-210 has been reported to be inducible by hypoxia and to regulate genes implicated in tumor initiation [133]. It has also been shown that miR-210 can target the Myc antagonist MNT (MAX network transcriptional repressor) to activate Myc-dependent oncogenic signaling [107]. Analysis by Volinia et al. [55] also indicates that a number of breast cancer-related genes were expressed in an antagonistic fashion to miR-210 along the DCIS-IDC progression axis, suggesting they represent potential targets of miR-210 or downstream effectors. These genes are functionally involved in the apoptotic caspase cascade, HER2 receptor recycling, tumor necrosis factor receptor 1 (TNFR1) signaling, Fas cell surface death receptor (FAS) signaling and BRCA1-related cancer susceptibility [55]. Overall, multiple studies show that signal transduction pathways and molecules implicated in promoting cell survival, proliferation, EMT, invasion and angiogenesis elicit the progression of DCIS to IDC by deregulating their corresponding miRNA regulators (Figure 2).

The roles of miRNAs in breast cancer metastasis

Metastasis, the leading cause of breast and other cancer deaths, is a multistep process involving extensive vascularization at the primary tumor site, tumor cell mobilization and invasion, tumor cell dissemination via blood circulation and colonization at distant tissue sites. Genetic and epigenetic dysregulation of molecular mechanisms controlling these processes is believed to be the main cause of metastasis. The acquisition of EMT and angiogenic ability is a key requirement for tumor cells to become metastatic [134]. Seven representative miRNAs that have been identified to target crucial factors implicated in regulating these metastatic processes are listed in Table 1.

miR-10 b is a metastatic promoter in breast cancer that is induced by the EMT transcription factor Twist [114]. Through targeting HOXD10, miR-10 b activates the expression of RhoC, a pro-metastatic factor promoting cell migration and invasion [114]. However, three lines of contradictory evidence indicate that miR-10 b may serve as a metastatic suppressor: (1) miR-10 b is not associated with metastasis and prognosis of breast cancer, but is inversely correlated with tumor size and grade [135]; (2) it inhibits tumor cell migration and invasion via targeting TIAM1 (T lymphoma invasion and metastasis 1) [115]; (3) its expression is repressed in the DCIS-to-IDC transition [55]. This discrepancy may be attributable to the distinct contexts existing in their experimental systems and more evidence is needed to clarify the exact role of miR-10 b in breast cancer metastasis.

miR-9 has also been reported to be a metastatic promoter. miR-9 is a downstream target of Myc and represses E-cadherin expression, activating β-catenin signaling and stimulating VEGF-mediated angiogenesis and metastasis [116]. Tavazoie et al. [113] identified miR-335 as a metastatic suppressor that impedes migration and metastasis through targeting progenitor cell transcription factor SOX4 and ECM component tenascin C. miR-335 is frequently lost in primary breast tumors from patients with relapse, and its loss is associated with poor distal metastasis-free survival [113]. In addition to miR-335, miR-31 was reported by Valastyan et al. [117] as another metastatic suppressor that represses a cohort of metastasis-promoting genes, including RhoA and ITGAS, to inhibit several steps of metastasis, including local invasion, extravasation, initial survival at a distant site and metastatic colonization.

The miR-200 family members are well-known inhibitors of E-cadherin transcriptional repressors ZEB1 and ZEB2, and are also implicated in the negative regulation of breast cancer metastasis through targeting of either ZEB1/2 or moesin [73, 118]. miR-200 is negatively regulated by PELP1 (proline, glutamic acid and leucine rich protein 1), a metastatic activator, releasing miR-200-mediated suppression of EMT [136]. Chou et al. [119] recently identified miR-29 b as the transcriptional target of GATA3, a transcription factor that specifies and maintains mammary luminal epithelial cell fate. The GATA3-miR29b signaling axis was found to be inactivated in invasive breast cancer and to be responsible for suppressing metastatic progression via miR-29 b-mediated targeting of a network of pro-metastatic regulators involved in angiogenesis, collagen remodeling and proteolysis [119]. miR-29 b is enriched in luminal breast cancers, and its loss increases...
metastasis and promotes a mesenchymal phenotype [119]. Intriguingly, miR-708 was recently identified by Ryu et al. [120] as a metastatic inhibitor via targeting of the endoplasmic reticulum protein neuronatin (NNAT) to decrease intracellular calcium levels, giving rise to a reduction in activation of ERK and FAK, decreased cell migration and impaired metastases.

The roles of miRNAs in breast cancer molecular subtypes

Breast cancer is a heterogeneous disease that manifests variable responses to anti-cancer therapy in a tumor-specific manner. For clinicians to predict patients’ outcomes and determine the most effective therapeutic strategy, it is necessary to completely characterize and classify the differences between tumor types. Therefore, the development of detailed classification systems is needed to stratify breast cancer into specific subtypes. Gene expression profiling has emerged as an effective system for breast cancer classification [137–139], necessary to completely characterize and classify the differences between tumor types. Therefore, the development of detailed classification systems is needed to stratify breast cancer into specific subtypes. Gene expression profiling has emerged as an effective system for breast cancer classification [137–139], and has been used to define five intrinsic molecular subtypes of invasive breast carcinoma: luminal A, luminal B, HER2-enriched, normal- and basal-like [137, 138]. Recently Dvinge et al. [33] conducted miRNA profiling analysis of a large cohort of breast IDC tumors (n = 1302) using microarrays. In their studies, the expression status of 103 miRNAs was characterized across the five breast cancer molecular subtypes. Through interrogation of their analysis results, we found that 21 of the 53 miRNAs involved in breast cancer progression (listed in Table 1) display molecular subtype-specific expression patterns (Table 2). This analysis indicates that variation in the molecular-subtype composition of analyzed breast tumor samples can significantly affect miRNA profiling results. This may explain why only one-third of differentially expressed miRNAs overlaps between Volinia’s and Hannafon’s data sets (Figure 1).

The analyzed results shown in Table 2 provide useful information for delineating the roles of the 21 miRNAs in the pathological development of molecular subtypes, and in their physiological as well as molecular phenotypes. Expression of six miRNAs (miR-9, miR-18a, miR-19a, miR-93, miR-106b, miR-210) is upregulated in basal-like IDC, whereas expression of 10 miRNAs (miR-10b, miR-26b, miR-126, miR-143, miR-193b, miR-195, miR-326, miR-449a, miR-449b, miR-497) is downregulated in the same molecular subtype (Table 2). Dysregulation of these 16 miRNAs contributes to the tumorigenic development of basal-like breast tumors, an aggressive breast cancer subtype characterized by high tumor grade, proliferation rate, frequency of recurrence and the presence of p53 mutations. Patients with basal-like breast cancers frequently have poor prognosis, and are difficult to treat owing to the frequent lack of hormone receptors (a triple-negative phenotype with loss of ER and PR, and no HER2 amplification) that can be therapeutically targeted [138–140]. Six of these 16 basal-related miRNAs have been reported to be associated with basal-like/triple-negative breast cancer, including miR-9, miR-18a, miR-19a, miR-93, miR-106b and miR-210 [141–146]. Noticeably, three miRNAs (miR-126, miR-143, miR-210) implicated in the DCIS-to-IDC transition are specifically dysregulated in basal-like IDC, suggesting that their deregulation plays a critical role in driving the progression of DCIS lesions to malignant basal-like IDC tumors (Table 2). Moreover, expression of seven basal-related miRNAs (miR-10b, miR-18a, miR-26b, miR-193b, miR-195, miR-449a, miR-449b) is dysregulated in luminal-type IDC in an opposite manner from basal-like IDC, suggesting that their alterations are involved in...
determining molecular subtypes of breast cancer. It is worthy to note that miR-26b is a downstream mediator of breast cancer. It is noteworthy that in addition to its critical role in breast cancer development, including aberrant activation of IGFBP3/PI3K/AKT/mTOR and HER2/3 signaling pathways. This association between the miR-200c/miR-200a-429 and luminal-B IDC is further supported by a recent study showing that activation of the miR-200c/miR-200a-429 signaling axis correlated with breast tumors manifesting the luminal-B molecular profile of high Ki-67, ER(+) and HER2(−) [149]. Targeting the IGFBP3/PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway is a potential strategy to prevent DCIS from developing into malignant luminal-B tumors or to treat this subtype of breast cancer.

The roles of miRNAs in breast cancer stem cells and drug resistance

A large body of evidence has suggested that cancer stem cells (CSCs) found in most types of cancer (including breast cancer) contribute to tumor development and progression. Since Al-Hajj et al. [150] identified CD44+/CD24- Lineage cells in breast tumors as enriched breast cancer stem cells (BCSCs), the roles of miRNAs in CSCs of breast cancer have been actively investigated. Yu et al. [77] reported that let-7 targeted and repressed expression of H-RAS and HMGA2 to restrict the self-renewal of normal mammary stem cells and was significantly downregulated in BCSCs. By miRNA profiling analysis, Shimono et al. [151] identified 37 differentially expressed miRNAs between human BCSCs and nontumorogenic cancer cells. Among them, three clusters, miR-200c-1, miR-200b-200a-429 and miR-183-96-182, were found to be downregulated in human BCSCs, normal human and murine mammary stem/progenitor cells and embryonic carcinoma cells [151]. They further showed that ectopic miR-200c expression substantially inhibited the ability of normal mammary stem cells to generate mammary ducts. Overexpression of miR-200c in human BCSCs decreased in vivo xenograft tumor formation, suggesting the biological similarity between BCSCs and normal stem cells [151]. We also recently identified miR-140 as a negative regulator in BCSCs, where its expression is aberrantly downregulated compared with normal breast stem cells [86]. miR-140 is able to target the stem cell factors SOX9 and ALDH to restrict the self-renewal of BCSCs [86].

It is noteworthy that in addition to its critical role in breast cancer cell invasion and metastasis, EMT has been functionally involved in regulating EMT are also critical regulators of BCSCs. Among luminal subtypes, luminal-B is a more aggressive subtype than luminal-A owing to low/negative expression of PR and/or HER2 overexpression, and high proliferation indicated by high Ki-67 [148]. Three miRNAs (miR-99a, miR-100 and miR-125b) that are involved in the transition to DCIS are specifically downregulated in luminal-B IDC. This indicates that deregulation of their protein targets contributes to luminal-B IDC development, including aberrant activation of IGFBP3/PI3K/AKT/mTOR and HER2/3 signaling pathways. This association between the IGFBP3/PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway and luminal-B IDC is further supported by a recent study showing that activation of the mTOR/6K signaling axis correlated with breast tumors manifesting the luminal-B molecular profile of high Ki-67, ER(+) and HER2(−) [149]. Targeting the IGFBP3/PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling axis is a potential strategy to prevent DCIS from developing into malignant luminal-B tumors or to treat this subtype of breast cancer.

Drug resistance in cancer patients is a serious clinical problem resulting in treatment failure. The main molecular pathological mechanisms responsible for drug resistance are the dysregulated expression of the drug transporters responsible for anti-cancer drug efflux, and the aberrant activation of anti-apoptotic, cell-survival and oncogenic pathways. As mentioned above, during breast tumorigenesis, many miRNAs are aberrantly regulated to promote tumor cell survival (Table 1).

Additionally, several miRNAs have been identified to target drug transporter genes in breast cancer cells. For instance, miR-451 and miR-326 are required for the chemosensitivity of breast cancer cells to doxorubicin via direct targeting of ABC family transporter genes ABCB1 and ABCG2 (MRP1), respectively [154, 155]. Similarly, miR-487a has been found to target ABCG2 to modulate the chemoresponsiveness of breast cancer cells to mitoxantrone [156]. Furthermore, it has been reported that miR-221/222 is responsible for tamoxifen resistance in luminal-type breast cancers by targeting p27kip1, a cell-cycle inhibitor and tumor suppressor [109]. Rao et al. [157] also found that aberrant overexpression of miR-221/222 makes breast cancer cells resistant to fulvestrant through deregulating multiple signaling pathways associated with drug resistance, including activation of β-catenin signaling and suppression of TGFβ signaling.

Exosomal miRNAs in breast cancer development

Exosomes are 50–100 nm membrane microvesicles that can be secreted from various types of cells in both physiological and pathological conditions [158]. Exosomes carry regulatory molecules (e.g. miRNAs, mRNAs and proteins) between cells, and are emerging as an important mechanism for intercellular communication [158–161]. Accumulating evidence has revealed that exosomal communications between cancer cells themselves and between cancer cells and other types of normal cells (e.g. immune cells, adipocytes, endothelial cells, stromal fibroblasts) significantly contribute to tumor development and malignancy [162–164].

Exosomal miRNAs (extracellular miRNAs) have recently attracted a high level of attention owing to their involvement in various aspects of breast cancer development and their potential roles in the diagnosis and prognostic prediction of breast cancer. For example, bone marrow stroma has been shown to inhibit breast cancer cell proliferation via secreting exosomal miRNAs (miR-127, -197, -221/222 and -223) that directly target the CXCL12 chemokine gene [165]. On the other hand, breast cancer invasion and metastasis are facilitated by exosomal miR-223 derived from tumor-associated macrophages, which targets myocyte enhancer factor 2 C to activate β-catenin signaling [166]. Moreover, exosomal miRNAs secreted from breast cancer cells have been demonstrated to promote the metastatic process. Zhou et al. [162] reported that exosomal miR-105 secreted from breast cancer cells mediated the destruction of tight junctions in endothelial cells, promoting the metastatic spreading of cancer cells through targeting the tight junction protein ZO-1. The oncogenic role of exosomal miR-105 was in line with...
the positive correlation between the secreted levels of miR-105 and the metastatic states of breast cancer [162].

Two lines of evidence have functionally linked exosomal miRNAs to drug resistance and CSC regulation. Chen et al. [167] recently found that exosomal miRNAs derived from drug-resistant breast cancer cells targeted PTEN in drug-sensitive breast cancer cells to enhance their resistance to adriamycin and doxetaxel. We recently showed that the composition of miRNAs carried by exosomes secreted from CSCs of DCIS tumor cells was altered compared with exosomes from normal stem-like breast cells [168]. Remarkably, CSC-secreted exosomes carried less miR-140, a tumor-suppressor miRNA mentioned above, than those secreted from normal stem-like cells [168], indicating that the tumorigenic process alters exosomal contents to dysregulate the function of exosomes.

piRNAs in breast cancer

While piRNAs account for the largest class of the ncRNA superfamily, the role of piRNAs in human carcinogenesis is virtually unknown. In addition to germ line cells, Piwi-related orthologous proteins and piRNAs have been detected in other cell types in various species, suggesting that they may have somatic functions [39]. Hiwi, the human ortholog of Drosophila Piwi, is overexpressed in a variety of human cancers, demonstrating Hiwi-piRNA dysregulation in cancer development [39]. The expression profile and function of piRNAs in human tumorigenesis is just beginning to be investigated. Nevertheless, some compelling lines of evidence indicate that the Hiwi-piRNA regulatory axis may contribute to human tumorigenesis by transcriptionally silencing tumor-suppressing genes through epigenetic mechanisms [39, 169]. This supports a new paradigm, which has been proposed, that cancer cells may hijack the Hiwi-piRNA pathway to aberrantly modulate the chromatin configuration and silence tumor suppressor gene expression for inducing and maintaining a ’stem-like’ state [39].

Three lines of studies have recently defined the role of piRNAs in breast cancer. Zhang et al. reported that piwi-like RNA-mediated gene silencing 2 (Piwi2), an Ago family protein, was overexpressed in CD44+/CD24− BCSCs. Aberrant expression of Piwi2 is associated with clinicopathological characteristics including age, tumor size, histological type, tumor stage and lymph node metastasis [169]. piR-932, a Piwi2-associated piRNA, is induced in breast cancer cells with EMT [169], suggesting that piRNA-mediated epigenetic mechanisms are involved in modulating the stemness of breast cancer cells. Through deep-sequencing analysis of the breast cancer transcriptome, Hashim et al. identified numerous piRNAs that are differentially expressed in breast cancer cell lines and tumor biopsies when compared with normal counterparts. The potential miRNA targets for cancer-specific piRNAs were also analyzed [170]. Through genotypic screening of a panel of single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)-containing piRNAs, Fu et al. [171] identified the breast cancer-specific variant of piR-021285 with SNP rs1326306. Intriguingly, variant piR-021285 mimic-transfected MCF7 cells exhibited a distinct methylome and enhanced invasiveness in comparison with wild-type-mimic-transfected cells [171]. Owing to these exciting findings, it is anticipated that more piRNA-related studies in breast cancer will be reported in the future.

IncRNAs in breast tumorigenesis

As mentioned above, while miRNAs primarily function posttranscriptionally through binding to mRNA 3’-UTRs, IncRNAs regulate gene functions through diverse mechanisms, and some of them target genes or proteins with higher specificity than miRNAs by their more specific regulatory roles. These advantageous features may mean that IncRNAs are more suitable to be used as therapeutic targets in cancer treatment, and studies of IncRNAs in cancer have blossomed into an important emerging field of research. Here we provide a concise review of the roles of representative IncRNAs in breast tumorigenesis. Comprehensive reviews of IncRNAs in breast cancer are available elsewhere [172–175].

Epigenetic regulators

The IncRNA HOTAIR (HOX transcript antisense RNA) has been recently recognized as a key oncogenic player in breast cancer metastasis [176]. HOTAIR is an antisense RNA transcribed from the HOXC locus and acts in trans to repress the expression of the HOXD loci [174]. HOTAIR is an RNA scaffold, and the 5’ and 3’ domains of HOTAIR are involved in recruiting two critical epigenetic protein complexes, Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 (PRC2) and the LSD1-CoREST-H3K4 demethylase complex, to regulate gene expression [177]. In addition, Wang et al. [178] recently found that BRCA1 can compete with HOTAIR to bind EZH2, a component of PRC2, to attenuate PRC2 activity. Therefore, loss of BRCA1 or upregulation of HOTAIR results in the reprogramming of the genome-wide PRC2-binding pattern in breast epithelial cells, leading to the altered methylation signature found in aggressive breast cancer cells [178].

Recently HOTAIR has been shown to be upregulated in tamoxifen-resistant breast cancer tissues compared with their primary counterparts [179]. This facilitates the ligand-independent activation of ER, which contributes to tamoxifen resistance [179]. Moreover, upregulation of HOTAIR was also found in triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) cell lines in addition to primary breast tumors [180]. Suppression of HOTAIR expression by co-treatment with clinically validated inhibitors of c-ABL (imatinib) and EGFR (lapatinib) resulted in synergistic growth inhibition in TNBC cells [180]. This dual treatment effect was mediated by blocking nuclear expression of β-catenin and preventing its recruitment to the HOTAIR promoter [180]. A recent report has also shown that HOTAIR is involved in triggering EMT in breast cancer cells and maintaining BCSCs [181]. These lines of evidence together indicate that HOTAIR is a multifunctional regulator that is implicated in a variety of oncogenic events and promotes breast cancer development and metastasis.

Protein synthesis regulators

Three oncogenic IncRNAs, BC200, TreRNA (translation regulatory IncRNA) and UCA1 (urothelial carcinoma-associated 1) that have regulatory roles in protein synthesis have been identified to be deregulated in breast cancer. BC200, a neuronal and germ-cell-specific 200 nt IncRNA, was found to be aberrantly expressed in invasive breast cancer, but not in benign tumors and normal breast tissue [182]. Its expression in DCIS is associated with high nuclear grade, suggesting BC200 may serve as a prognostic indicator of breast cancer progression [182]. BC200 binds polyA binding protein via its oligo (A) rich region, interfering with the protein translation process [183]. However, it is uncertain if this function contributes to the oncogenic role of BC200 in breast cancer. TreRNA expression is required for the invasive and metastatic ability of breast cancer cells [184]. By modulating the formation of a ribonucleoprotein complex consisting of RNA-binding proteins (hnRNP K, FXR1 and FXR2), PUF60 and
SF3B3, TreRNA acts as a translational repressor of E-cadherin mRNA, promoting EMT and tumor cell invasiveness [184]. UCA1 expression is also upregulated in breast cancer, and competes with p27Kip1 mRNA to bind heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein 1 (hnRNP1), resulting in repression of p27Kip1 mRNA translation and promoting cell cycle progression [185].

Hormone signaling regulators

GAS5 (Growth Arrest-Specific 5) and SRA (Steroid Receptor RNA Activator) are two well-known lncRNAs involved in modulating hormone signaling. GAS5 is a tumor suppressor whose expression is found to be downregulated in breast cancer [186], and functions as a RNA transcript decoy to prevent the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) from binding to its target genes [187]. This is mediated by a stem-loop structure formed by the 3′ end of GAS5, which resembles the structure of the GR DNA-binding element. Through the repression of anti-apoptotic GR target gene expression, GAS5 promotes cell apoptosis [187]. SRA lncRNA is transcribed from the SRA gene locus, which also encodes protein-coding RNA isoforms without the intron 1 sequence [188, 189]. The stem-loop structure formed by SRA enables it to interact with multiple proteins, including steroid receptors (estrogen, progesterone and androgen receptors) [188, 189]. SRA expression is associated with the ER+ /PR− and ER− /PR+ status of breast tumors and its variant isoform correlates with tumor grade [190]. Lanz et al. [191] showed that transgenic SRA mice manifested increased epithelial proliferation and apoptosis in mammary glands, indicating SRA overexpression alone is not sufficient for inducing mammary tumors and the collaboration with other oncogenic signals is likely required for driving breast carcinogenesis.

Nuclear architectural RNAs

Recent studies have shown that lncRNAs act as the scaffolding backbone for certain nuclear bodies including nuclear speckles and paraspeckles [192]. MALAT1 and NEAT1 are two of the best characterized scaffolding lncRNAs. MALAT1 (Metastasis associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1), also known as NEAT2, was first discovered as a prognostic indicator of later metastasis in lung cancer [193, 194]. MALAT1 is a core component of nuclear speckles, which are implicated in RNA processing, splicing and export [192, 195]. MALAT1 can regulate RNA splicing and gene expression via its interaction with multiple factors involved in RNA splicing, transcription and chromatin remodeling [192, 195]. MALAT1 is required for the G1-S transition and mitotic progression via the regulation of cell cycle gene expression [196]. Although high MALAT1 expression is associated with poorer outcomes in a number of cancer types [197], it is downregulated in mammary tumors in c-myc transgenic mice [198]. However, the exact role of MALAT1 in breast cancer is still uncertain at this stage, as another line of investigation found that MALAT1 is upregulated in primary breast cancer [199].

NEAT1 (Nuclear Enriched Abundant Transcript 1) is a core component of nuclear paraspeckles. Paraspeckles are nuclear bodies that are composed of functionally diverse proteins, and regulate the sequestration of hyperedited RNA transcripts to modulate RNA splicing and transcription [200]. In prostate cancer, NEAT1 modulates the epigenomic pattern to co-activate ER target genes and promote tumor cell malignancy [201]. Recently it has been shown that genetic knockout of Neat1 in a mouse model causes aberrant morphogenesis of mammary glands, leading to defects in lactation, indicating the critical role of Neat1 in murine mammary gland development [202]. This lactation defect results from the decreased proliferation of Neat1-mutant mammary epithelial cells during lobular-alveolar development [202]. This study is the first to show an important biological function of Neat1 in mammary gland development, and also links NEAT1 to the paraspeckle nuclear bodies present in in vivo mammary luminal epithelial cells. Although its role in breast cancer is unknown, it was recently shown that NEAT1 is a hypoxia-inducible gene, and its presence is required for alleviating hypoxia-induced apoptosis in breast cancer cells [203].

miRNA regulators

As mentioned above, a class of lncRNAs (also known as competitive endogenous RNA, ceRNAs) serves as miRNA sponges that compete with miRNA targets, resulting in suppressing miRNA regulatory function. While not a lncRNA, Yang et al. [204] recently reported that the noncoding 3′-UTR of FOX1 functions as a ceRNA in repressing breast cancer metastasis via alleviating the miR-9-mediated inhibition of E-cadherin expression. Moreover, we also recently showed that the lncRNA-RoR serves as a ceRNA to suppress the inhibitory effect of miR-145 on triple-negative breast cancer invasiveness through targeting of ARF6 [93]. Using computational analysis, Paci et al. [205] showed that the sponge interaction between ceRNAs and miRNAs in normal breast epithelium is altered in breast cancer, suggesting that dysregulation of ceRNAs may play a significant role in breast tumorigenesis.

Translational implications of ncRNAs in breast cancer

NcRNAs as diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers

miRNAs are stable in body fluids such as blood, urine and nipple discharge and are therefore ideal biomarkers for noninvasive early detection of breast cancer. Multiple studies (Table 3) have demonstrated that miRNA biomarkers provide excellent sensitivity and specificity for detecting early- and even advanced-stage breast cancer, strongly suggesting that they are potential diagnostic tools for identifying early and advanced breast cancers [206–224]. The most commonly reported miRNAs in body fluids and best candidates for biomarkers are miR-21, miR-155, miR-10b, miR-92a, miR-133a, miR-145, miR-148b and miR-451 [207, 211–217, 219–223]. It is worthy to note that among these identified diagnostic miRNA biomarkers, three miRNAs, including miR-195, miR-145 and miR-451, were reported to be breast cancer specific and can be potentially exploited to distinguish breast cancer from other types of cancer [206, 219]. Moreover, miRNAs have been shown to be a promising diagnostic tool to detect early-stage breast cancer. For instance, a combination of miR-145 and miR-451 has been shown to sensitively detect DCIS [219]. A five-miRNA signature (including miR-127-3p, miR-148b, miR-409-3p, miR-652 and miR-801) is another diagnostic signature for early detection of stage I or II of breast cancer [215]. Multiple lines of study have demonstrated that miRNAs can be potentially used to diagnose metastasis of breast cancer to lymph nodes and internal organs. Upregulation of miR-10b, miR-21, miR-214 and miR-373 and downregulation of miR-92a have been shown to correlate with the lymph node status [208, 213, 216]. In addition, elevated levels of miR-10b and miR-21 positively correlated with internal-organ metastasis of breast cancer [207, 211].
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Tested biopsies</th>
<th>miRNA biomarkers</th>
<th>Clinical relevance</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>blood</td>
<td>miR-195</td>
<td>Elevated circulating miR-195 was found to be breast cancer specific and could differentiate breast cancer from other cancers and from controls with a sensitivity of 88% at a specificity of 91%.</td>
<td>[206]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>serum</td>
<td>miR-21</td>
<td>High circulating miR-21 concentrations correlated significantly ($P &lt; 0.001$) with visceral metastasis of breast cancer.</td>
<td>[207]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>blood</td>
<td>miR-214</td>
<td>Serum miR-214 distinguished malignant from benign tumors and healthy controls ($P = 0.0001$). Elevated miR-214 levels correlated with a positive lymph node status ($P = 0.039$).</td>
<td>[208]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tissue, serum</td>
<td>miR-222</td>
<td>miR-222 was significantly increased in the serum of BC patients by further validation ($P &lt; 0.05$), which may be a useful biomarker for differentiating BC patients from controls with receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve area 0.67 of (95% CI = 0.5649–0.7775).</td>
<td>[209]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>serum</td>
<td>miR-181 a</td>
<td>Median miR-181 a levels were significantly lower in patients with BC compared with healthy controls ($P = 0.001$). ROC analysis demonstrated the sensitivity and specificity of miR-181 a for BC diagnosis at 70.7 and 59.9%, respectively.</td>
<td>[210]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>serum</td>
<td>miR-10 b</td>
<td>Serum miR-10 b concentrations were significantly higher in patients with bone metastases than in patients without bone metastases or control subjects.</td>
<td>[211]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>serum</td>
<td>miR-145, miR-155, miR-382</td>
<td>ROC curve analyses revealed that three serum miRNAs could be valuable biomarkers for distinguishing BC patients from normal controls. Additionally, a combination of ROC curve analyses of miR-145, miR-155 and miR-382 showed better sensitivity and specificity of our assay.</td>
<td>[212]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tissue, serum</td>
<td>miR-21, miR-92 a</td>
<td>The level of miR-92 a was significantly lower, while miR-21 was higher, in tissue and serum samples of BC than that of healthy controls ($P &lt; 0.001$). Decreased levels of miR-92 a and increased levels of miR-21 were associated with tumor size and a positive lymph node status ($P &lt; 0.001$).</td>
<td>[213]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tissue, serum</td>
<td>miR-1, miR-92 a, miR-133 a, miR-133 b</td>
<td>They were identified as the most relevant diagnostic markers for detecting breast cancer in the study.</td>
<td>[214]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>plasma</td>
<td>miR-127-3 p, miR-148 b, miR-409-3 p, miR-652, miR-801</td>
<td>miR-127-3 p, miR-148 b, miR-409-3 p, miR-652 and miR-801 can detect stage I or stage II breast cancer thus making them attractive candidates for early detection.</td>
<td>[215]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>plasma</td>
<td>miR-10 b, miR-373</td>
<td>The plasma levels of circulating miR-10 b and miR-373 were significantly higher in 10 breast cancer patients with lymph node metastasis compared with 10 N (0) patients and 10 normal donors ($P &lt; 0.01$). A combination of the two circulating miRNAs further enhanced the sensitivity to 72% and the specificity to 94.3 %. Circulating miRNA-10 b and miRNA-373 are potential biomarkers for detecting the lymph node status of breast cancer.</td>
<td>[216]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>plasma</td>
<td>miR-21, miR-146 a</td>
<td>The circulating level of miR-21 and miR-146 a were significantly higher in plasma samples of breast cancer patients, when compared with those of healthy controls ($P &lt; 0.0004$ and $P &lt; 0.005$, respectively).</td>
<td>[217]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>plasma</td>
<td>miR-30 a</td>
<td>ROC analysis showed the sensitivity and specificity of miRNA-30 a for breast cancer diagnosis at 74.0 and 65.6%, respectively.</td>
<td>[218]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
Table 3. Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Tested biopsies</th>
<th>miRNA biomarkers</th>
<th>Clinical relevance</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>tissue, plasma</td>
<td>miR-145, miR-451</td>
<td>A combination of miR-145 and miR-451 was the best biomarker (P &lt; 0.0001) in discriminating breast cancer from healthy controls and all other types of cancers with 88% of the positive predictive value and 92% of the negative predictive value. The positive predictive value for ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) cases was 96%.</td>
<td>[219]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>serum</td>
<td>miR-21</td>
<td>The level of serum miR-21 was significantly higher in BC patients than controls (P &lt; 0.001). The sensitivity and specificity of miR-21 were 87.6% and 87.3%.</td>
<td>[220]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>plasma</td>
<td>miR-148 b, miR-133 a</td>
<td>Plasma levels of miR-148 b and miR-133 a were significantly higher in breast cancer patients.</td>
<td>[221]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>urine</td>
<td>miR-21, miR-155, miR-125 b, miR-451</td>
<td>The ROC including all four miRNAs as well as the group of the four significant deregulated miRNAs separated BC patients from healthy controls with a high accuracy (AUC = 0.887).</td>
<td>[222]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>serum</td>
<td>miR-29 b-2, miR-155, miR-197, miR-205</td>
<td>The expression level of miR-29 b-2, -155, -197 and -205 was significantly increased in the serum of breast cancer patients.</td>
<td>[223]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nipple Discharge</td>
<td>serum</td>
<td>miR-484, miR-K12-5-5 p, miR-3646, miR-4732-5 p</td>
<td>Expression levels of these four miRNAs were elevated in breast cancer samples compared with those of normal controls.</td>
<td>[224]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prognosis</td>
<td>tissue</td>
<td>miR-210</td>
<td>Expression levels of miR-210 showed an inverse correlation with disease-free and overall survival of breast cancer patients, significant in both univariate and multivariate analyses.</td>
<td>[225]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FFPE tissue</td>
<td>Let-7 b, miR-205</td>
<td>let-7 b expression was shown to be associated with luminal tumors and to have an independent significant positive prognostic value in this group. miR-205 is associated with tumors of ductal morphology and is of significant positive prognostic value within these tumors.</td>
<td>[226]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tissue</td>
<td>miR-30 a</td>
<td>Reduced tumor expression of miR-30 a in breast cancer patients was associated with an unfavorable outcome, including late tumor stage, lymph node metastasis and worse progression.</td>
<td>[227]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>plasma</td>
<td>miR-141, miR-200 b, miR-200 c, miR-210, miR-768-3 p</td>
<td>The study identified miR-200 b alone or combinations of miRNAs as surrogate markers for differentiating MBC cases from controls.</td>
<td>[228]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tissue</td>
<td>miR-187</td>
<td>High miR-187 was significantly associated with reduced breast cancer-specific survival in the entire cohort (P = 0.021) and in lymph node-positive patients (P = 0.012).</td>
<td>[229]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tissue</td>
<td>miR-210</td>
<td>Overexpression of miR-210 was significantly associated with the higher risk of recurrence, metastasis and overall decreased survival rates for breast cancer patients.</td>
<td>[230]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IDC tissue</td>
<td>miR-1307, miR-103, miR-328, miR-95, miR-874, miR-484, miR-148 b</td>
<td>Thirty miRNAs and seven miRNAs were associated with overall survival across different clinical and molecular subclasses of a 466-patient IDC cohort from TCGA.</td>
<td>[231]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FFPE tissue</td>
<td>miR-21, miR-205</td>
<td>Univariate analysis revealed that both miR-21 and miR-205 were significantly associated with disease-free interval and only miR-205 with overall survival.</td>
<td>[232]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tissue</td>
<td>Let-7 b</td>
<td>let-7 b expression in breast cancer patients was inversely associated with tumor lymph node metastasis (P = 0.001), patient overall survival (P = 0.027), relapse-free survival (P = 0.016).</td>
<td>[233]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tissue</td>
<td>miR-196 a</td>
<td>The study indicates that the miR-196 a rs11614913T&gt;C polymorphisms are possible prognostic biomarker for patients with hormone receptor-expressing early breast cancer.</td>
<td>[234]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Tested biopsies</th>
<th>miRNA biomarkers</th>
<th>Clinical relevance</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prognosis</td>
<td>tissue</td>
<td>miR-22</td>
<td>miR-22 was significantly correlated with the TNM stage, local recurrence, distant metastasis and survival of breast cancer patients.</td>
<td>[235]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tissue</td>
<td>miR-34a</td>
<td>Loss of miR34a is associated with poor outcome, independent of age, node status, receptor status and tumor size.</td>
<td>[236]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tissue</td>
<td>miR-127</td>
<td>Patients with low miR-127 showed poorer overall survival than those with high miR-127. Multivariate analyses indicated that the status of miR-127 was an independent prognostic factor.</td>
<td>[237]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tissue, plasma</td>
<td>miR-106b</td>
<td>Patients with high miR-106b expression levels tended to have shorter DFS times and overall survival times (P &lt; 0.001). In a Cox regression model, high-level tissue and plasma miR-106b expression were unfavorable prognostic factors.</td>
<td>[238]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tissue</td>
<td>miR-200b</td>
<td>The low expression of miR-200b was correlated with late TNM stage, negative oestrogen receptor and positive HER-2 status. Multivariate analysis showed that miR-200b expression was an independent prognostic predictor for BC patients.</td>
<td>[239]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tissue</td>
<td>miR-30 e*</td>
<td>miR-30 e* was identified as independent prognostic factor in lymph node-negative untreated patients with ESR1+/ERBB2- tumors</td>
<td>[240]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prognostic biomarkers are in high demand, as early diagnosis of aggressive cancer helps clinicians provide the appropriate therapy and clinical care. Expression levels of a number of miRNAs, which are either positively or inversely associated with metastatic status, disease-free time and overall survival of breast cancer patients have been identified [225-240] and are detailed in Table 3. The most relevant miRNA with prognostic value in breast cancer is miR-210, the aforementioned oncogenic miRNA implicated in the DCIS-to-IDC transition. Elevated expression levels of miR-210, also known to be a hypoxia-inducible miRNA, are positively correlated with higher risk of recurrence, metastasis and overall decreased survival rates for breast cancer patients [225, 228, 230], and miR-210 may serve as an independent prognostic predictor. Through locked nucleic acid probe in situ hybridization analysis of a large cohort of 2919 breast tumors using tissue microarrays, let-7b and miR-205 were identified to be frequently lost in a range of malignant tumors [226]. let-7b was demonstrated to be an independent surrogate marker with a significant positive prognostic value in luminal-type breast tumors [226]. miR-205 downregulation was associated ductal tumors and was shown to be of significant positive prognostic value within this tumor type [226]. From survival analysis of miR-210 and miR-205 expression levels in a 466-patient IDC cohort from TCGA, several miRNAs such as miR-328, miR-484 and miR-874 were discovered to be associated with overall survival across different clinical and molecular subclasses of breast cancer, and had the highest prognostic value in early stage I and II tumors when miRNA and mRNA predictors were combined together as an integrated signature [231]. Furthermore, a long follow-up study demonstrated that miR-21 and miR-205 were independent biomarkers predicting early disease relapse, whereas only miR-205 overexpression was associated with overall survival [232]. Recently, from a study of three different cohorts composed of over 1500 breast cancer patients, the tumor-suppressor miRNA miR-34a has been shown to be a promising prognostic biomarker as its loss can predict the poor outcome in the lymph-node-negative, not lymph-node-positive, patient population [236].

The findings highlighted above were derived from studies of tumor tissue, which are not as practical as circulating prognostic biomarkers identified from blood specimens. To seek potential circulating miRNAs present in serum/plasma with prognostic values, Madhavan et al. [228] profiled circulating levels of miRNAs in a cohort of metastatic breast cancer (MBC) patients, which encompassed three sub-cohorts including circulating tumor cells (CTC)-positive, CTC-negative and CTC-low groups based on the levels of CTC. Their results showed that compared with CTC-negative MBC and controls, CTC-positive displayed significantly higher levels of miR-141, miR-200a, miR-200b, miR-200c, miR-203, miR-210, miR-375 and miR-801. Combinations of these miRNAs and miR-200 b alone were demonstrated to be promising circulating prognostic markers for progression-free and overall survival [228]. In addition to miRNAs, IncRNAs have gradually gained attention for their potential as prognostic biomarkers. The IncRNA HOTAIR is the best example that has been shown to be an independent biomarker for the prediction of the risk of metastasis in ER-positive breast cancer patients [241]. It is anticipated that more surrogate IncRNA biomarkers with prognostic values for breast cancer will be unveiled in the future.

ncRNAs as therapeutic targets

Owing to their crucial roles in regulating multiple cancer-related pathways, miRNAs have been actively investigated for clinical application and therapeutic intervention [242]. The current approach for developing miRNA-based therapies is through the administration of a synthetic anti-miRNA or a miRNA-mimic oligonucleotide [242]. To improve the therapeutic efficacy, Lennox et al. [243] conjugated a novel compound, N,N-diethyl-4-(4-nitronaphthalen-1-ylazo)-phenylamine, to these
miRNA-based oligonucleotides to increase their in vivo stability and binding affinity to endogenous RNA targets.

Given that naked nucleic acid molecules are not generally suitable for in vivo applications owing to their intrinsic negative charge, packaging of the gene silencing agents (e.g. siRNAs and miRNAs) into carrier vehicles is a promising strategy for delivery to target tissues [244]. Multiple nanotechnology-based vehicle platforms have been developed to deliver gene silencing agents for cancer therapy. The most exploited strategy is to package the double-stranded RNA into lipid- or non-lipid-based nanoparticles with a size <200 nm in diameter [244]. Because the disorganization of the tumor blood vessel endothelium leads to cell-junction gaps ranging from 100 to 500 nm [243], this enhanced permeability of the tumor vasculature enables RNA-containing nanoparticles to easily cross the fenestration to reach tumor interstitium. By conjugating active targeting moieties on the surface, nanoparticles can be targeted to specific tissues. This nanotechnology-based approach has been successfully applied to miRNA-based therapeutic agents for in vivo cancer therapy. Systemic delivery of antisense peptide nucleic acid (PNA) and RNAs in a mouse lymphoma model and resulted in regression of lymphadenopathy [246]. It is expected that the nanoparticle-based approach will be developed in the future for miRNA-based therapy of breast cancer. Moreover, the most promising therapeutic strategy is the administration of a combination of miRNA therapeutic agents or miRNA-based agents with other anticancer therapies such as chemotherapy and radiotherapy [242]. Advances in nanotechnology are crucial for implementing these therapeutic ideas. In addition to the therapeutic application, miRNA-based treatment is a potential strategy for chemoprevention of breast cancer. Recently, ingestion of plants bioengineered to produce human cancer-related miRNAs was engineered to produce human cancer-related miRNAs was reported to be a compelling approach for cancer chemoprevention [247]. Although lncRNAs are critical in breast cancer progression and metastasis, therapeutic application is still challenging owing to technical hurdles [174], and more investigation of lncRNAs is necessary.

Concluding remarks

Research on the functional and clinical implication of miRNAs in human diseases has exploded since they were discovered a decade ago. They have been demonstrated to be valuable in diagnosis, prognosis and therapy of cancer. However, more large-scale studies are warranted to demonstrate whether these findings can eventually benefit cancer patients. As miRNAs are able to target multiple genes and signaling pathways, it will be necessary to comprehensively characterize their mechanistic actions in cells to avoid any side effects. Functional investigations of lncRNAs and piRNAs in breast cancer are at an early stage, and further research is necessary to provide new avenues for the development of cancer biomarkers and therapeutics.

Key Points

- MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are crucial small noncoding RNA regulators that modulate the functionality of multiple genes and signaling pathways in cells at the posttranscriptional level.
- Long noncoding RNAs have recently emerged as important cellular RNAs that regulate a variety of biological processes via multiple mechanistic actions.
- Genome-wide analysis of miRNA profiles in breast cancer biopsies provides new insights into the roles of miRNAs in the development and progression of breast cancer.
- A number of lncRNAs are found to be critical for the invasive and metastatic progression of breast cancer.
- MiRNAs are potential targets for translational applications in breast cancer diagnosis, prognosis and therapy.
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