Prediction of Volatile Anesthetic Binding Sites in Proteins
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ABSTRACT Computational methods designed to predict and visualize ligand protein binding interactions were used to characterize volatile anesthetic (VA) binding sites and unoccupied pockets within the known structures of VAs bound to serum albumin, luciferase, and apoferritin. We found that both the number of protein atoms and methyl hydrogen, which are within ~8 Å of a potential ligand binding site, are significantly greater in protein pockets where VAs bind. This computational approach was applied to structures of calmodulin (CaM), which have not been determined in complex with a VA. It predicted that VAs bind to [Ca\(^{2+}\)]_4-CaM, but not to apo-CaM, which we confirmed with isothermal titration calorimetry. The VA binding sites predicted for the structures of [Ca\(^{2+}\)]_4-CaM are located in hydrophobic pockets that form when the Ca\(^{2+}\) binding sites in CaM are saturated. The binding of VAs to these hydrophobic pockets is supported by evidence that halothane predominantly makes contact with aliphatic resonances in [Ca\(^{2+}\)]_4-CaM (nuclear Overhauser effect) and increases the Ca\(^{2+}\) affinity of CaM (fluorescence spectroscopy). Our computational analysis and experiments indicate that binding of VA to proteins is consistent with the hydrophobic effect and the Meyer-Overton rule.

INTRODUCTION

Volatile anesthetics (VA) are small hydrophobic molecules used to induce general anesthesia. A thorough understanding of the mechanisms by which these drugs induce anesthetic effects remains elusive, especially at the cellular level. Thus, continued study of the molecular mechanisms of anesthetic effects is warranted.

VAs interact with many cellular components, yet anesthetic effects ultimately manifest as changes in protein function. VAs have been shown to accumulate at the aqueous interface of a dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine membrane and at the protein-lipid interface region near the surface of purple membrane. VAs are known to bind to transmembrane proteins such as the plasma membrane Ca\(^{2+}\)-ATPase, rhodopsin, the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor, and several proteins from rat neuronal membranes, assayed in vitro. VAs also alter the function of several membrane-delimited proteins such as the G-protein-coupled muscarinic receptor complex and the Ca\(^{2+}\)-ATPase pump. However, a lipid environment is not essential for anesthetic-protein interactions. VAs are known to bind to soluble proteins such as serum albumin, apoferritin, odorant binding protein, firefly luciferase, and several mammalian proteins as Protein kinase C and multiple isoforms of G-protein alpha subunits, which may be relevant to the anesthetic mechanism. Together, these findings suggest that proteins are potential molecular targets of anesthetics.

Protein structure affects the affinity and stoichiometry with which VAs bind. VAs bind to some proteins, such as apoferritin, serum albumin, and odorant binding protein, with dissociation constants (K\(_d\)) of ~1 mM and above, yet do not bind to other proteins even when present at 10-fold higher concentrations (unpublished observations). This suggests that VAs, when present at mM concentrations, bind to some, but not all proteins. This was also observed in the cellular environment, when the binding of VAs to proteins from rat neuronal membranes was assayed in vitro (7). In addition, this work suggests that VA binding to proteins in the cellular environment is affected by protein conformation. Conformational binding of VAs to proteins has also been observed using purified, isolated proteins. It has been shown that VAs bind to apomyoglobin, but not myoglobin, and Ca\(^{2+}\)-saturated calmodulin, but not Ca\(^{2+}\)-free calmodulin. This suggests that VAs bind preferentially to certain protein conformations. In some proteins, such as serum albumin, some binding interactions are saturable at VA concentrations achievable in aqueous environments. VA binding has also been shown to compete with native ligands of some proteins, such as fatty acid binding to serum albumin and the binding of the firefly luciferase substrate luciferin. Thus, these findings indicate that VAs bind to some, but not all proteins. VAs bind preferentially to certain protein conformations, and VAs are able to compete with certain protein ligands. Together, this suggests that VAs bind specifically to structures within certain proteins and protein assemblies.

High resolution structures of VA-protein complexes formed between bromoform-luciferase and halothane-human serum albumin, and halothane-apoferritin and isoflurane-apoferritin were determined by other groups. In these
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works, it was found that VAs bind to preexisting pockets lined with polar and apolar residues, which exist within protein monomers and between oligomeric protein complexes; binding was consistent with the hydrophobic effect, yet the halogen atoms of the VAs may have weak polar interactions with the protein, and the bound anesthetics induced minor changes in protein structure. Thus, the structures of complexes formed between VAs and proteins, which themselves are not physiologically relevant anesthetic targets, provide detailed information about the molecular interactions and protein motifs that influence the binding of VAs to proteins in general.

The main goal of this work is to correlate VA binding with protein structure. Our use of computational tools, which are designed to predict and visualize ligand binding pockets in protein structures, is a novel aspect to this investigation. This approach is applied to calmodulin (CaM), the structure of which has not been determined in complex with VAs, and empirical evidence supporting the predictions is provided. CaM was studied because both the apo- and [Ca$^{2+}$]$_n$-CaM structures (24–26) can be utilized to investigate the structure-dependent specificity for VA binding, which is inferred from NMR experiments (14).

**METHODS**

**CaM expression, enrichment, and purification**

Human calmodulin cDNA was subcloned into pET-15b expression vector (Novagen, San Diego, CA) using standard cloning techniques. No purification tag was introduced into the construct. The identity of the insert was confirmed by DNA sequencing. CaM was overexpressed in BL21(DE3)-pLyS5 strain of *Escherichia coli* (Single Shot, Novagen, San Diego, CA). Uniform $^{13}$C, $^{15}$N enrichment was achieved by growing cells in Luria-Bertani medium and then inducing in minimal media containing $^{13}$C-glucose and $^{15}$NH$_4$Cl following established protocols (27). Isotopically labeled and unlabeled CaM were purified using a slight modification to an existing procedure (28). Five mM CaCl$_2$ was added to the cleared cell lysate, which was loaded onto a phenyl-Septarose CL-4B column (Sigma, St. Louis, MO), and the CaM was eluted with 1 mM EGTA. CaM fractions were further purified using a Hitrap Q column (Amersham Biosciences, Uppsala, Sweden) and a 0–1 M NaCl gradient. CaM was $>$95% pure, judging by SDS-gel electrophoresis and Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining. The identity of the CaM was confirmed by N-terminal sequencing as well as by LC-MS analysis of the tryptic digests of labeled and unlabeled CaM. The molecular weight and labeling efficiency (96%) were verified with ESI-MS by direct infusion.

**Isothermal titration calorimetry**

Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) was used to estimate the thermodynamic parameters (stoichiometry ($n$), dissociation constant ($K_d$), and enthalpy ($\Delta H$)) of halothane [Ca$^{2+}$]$_n$-CaM binding. We were concerned that it would be difficult to determine these parameters for VA-protein interactions from a single ITC experiment because it might require very high protein concentrations (29). Therefore, the binding isotherms were measured for several concentrations of protein and the $n$, $K_d$, and $\Delta H$ determined as parameters from a global fit to the set of binding isotherms (30). In the experiments, the heat associated with a series of 10 $\mu$L injections (30 total) of a near-saturated solution of halothane (quantified by gas chromatography (31)) into a solution of various concentrations of calmodulin dissolved in the same buffer were measured using a VP-ITC microcalorimeter (Microcal, Northampton, MA). The heats associated with diluting the protein and halothane were measured in separate experiments by injecting buffer into the cell-containing protein and by injecting halothane into the cell-containing buffer, respectively. These heats were subtracted from the heat of halothane-protein binding. Measurements of halothane [Ca$^{2+}$]$_1$-CaM binding were performed in 100 mM KCl and 6.2 mM CaCl$_2$ (pH 7) and the measurement of halothane-apoCaM binding was performed in 100 mM KCl, 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM EGTA (pH 7). The binding isotherms were constructed by plotting the integrated heat versus the halothane: protein mole ratio for five concentrations of CaM from 0.01 to 0.69 mM. The binding isotherms were fit to a single site model (32), which allowed for more than one halothane binding site in the protein, but assumed that all sites had equivalent $K_d$. We tested this approach using BSA and estimated that halothane binds with a stoichiometry of 3, an apparent $K_d$ of 1.8 mM, and a $\Delta H = -4.0$ Kcal/mol (data not shown). These results are consistent with values for VA binding to serum albumin determined by others using ITC, NMR, and fluorescence techniques (10,33,34). This finding suggests that this approach could provide a reasonable estimate of $n$, $K_d$, and $\Delta H$ for halothane binding to CaM.

**CaM Ca$^{2+}$ $K_d$ values**

The $K_d$ values of the Ca$^{2+}$ binding sites of CaM were determined using fluorescence spectroscopy. The intrinsic Tyr fluorescence (excitation 277 nm, emission 320 nm) increases threefold when Ca$^{2+}$ saturates the two Ca$^{2+}$ binding sites of the C-domain (35). Tyr residues in the C-domain can be used to monitor the Ca$^{2+}$ binding to the C-domain sites without interference from Phe residues in the N-domain (135 and references therein). The intrinsic phenylalanine fluorescence (excitation 250 nm, emission 280 nm) decreases by $\sim 30\%$ when Ca$^{2+}$ saturates the two Ca$^{2+}$ binding sites on the N-domain (36). At these excitation and emission frequencies, the Phe residues in the N-domain report exclusively on the Ca$^{2+}$ occupancy of N-domain sites. There is no contribution to the signal from the Phe residues in the C-domain, no spectral interference from the Tyr residues in the C-domain, and no observable energy transfer from the Phe residues in the N-domain to the Tyr residues in the C-domain (35). Samples of 5 $\mu$M CaM in 50 mM MOPS (pH 7.2), 100 mM KCl, 5 mM nitroacetic acid, and 50 $\mu$M EGTA were prepared in quartz cells sealed with Teflon stoppers, and stirred with Teflon-coated micro stir bars. Small aliquots of a calcium stock solution were added with a syringe through a port in the Teflon stopper. After each addition, the solution was stirred for 2 min and the fluorescence was recorded using a spectrofluorometer (Jobin Yvon, Edison, NJ) with the slits set to 2 nm bandwidth. Free Ca$^{2+}$ in the fluorescence titrations was determined with the fluorescent probe Oregon Green 488 BAPTA-5N (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR), which was calibrated using standardized Ca$^{2+}$ solutions (Molecular Probes). Immediately after the titration, an aliquot of the solution was removed for halothane concentration determination by gas chromatography (31). The normalized fluorescence change $F = (F_{max} - F_{min})/(F_{max} - F_{min})$ for the tyrosine and phenylalanine residues were plotted as a function of free Ca$^{2+}$ concentration and fit with the following equation (37):

$$F = \frac{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]}{[\text{Ca}^{2+}] + K_d}. \quad (1)$$

$K_d$ is the dissociation constant, $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]$ is the free Ca$^{2+}$ concentration, and $n$ is the Hill coefficient. Each titration was performed in triplicate and each titration curve was fit to Eq. 1. The curve-fit parameters for each set of triplicate experiments were expressed as mean ± SD in Table 3.

Halothane is a poor quencher of Tyr and Phe emission in CaM (Tyr < 5% and Phe < 15% at 2.5 mM). The quenching of the emission was not corrected because each titration was performed at constant halothane concentrations and the degree of quenching was the same for apo-
[Ca^{2+}]-HSA conformations (data not shown). The magnitude of the changes in the Phe and Tyr emission intensities between apo- and [Ca^{2+}]-HSA were also insensitive to haloethane concentrations up to 2.5 mM (data not shown). This suggests the experimental conditions do not create a significant amount of chlorotrifluoroethoxy radical (38) or that any chlorotrifluoroethoxy radical that cross-links with CaM does not affect the emission intensities of Phe or Tyr and hence the assay.

**NMR spectroscopy**

The NOESY spectrum (39) of 2 mM CaM in 25 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 100 mM KCl, 20 mM Ca^{2+}, 5 mM Mg^{2+}, 1 mM EDTA, and 4 mM halothane was recorded at 298 K and a mixing time of 300 ms using a 600 MHz spectrometer equipped with a cryoprobe (Bruker, Billerica, MA).

Characterization and prediction of VA binding sites

High resolution structures of VA-protein complexes deposited in the Protein Data Bank (40) were analyzed for protein motifs that influenced VA binding. The protein structures were HSA (1E7C (22)), luciferase (1BA3 (13)), and the apoferritin dimer (chains B and E from 1IES (41)). The coordinates of the bound VAs around HSA were determined from a composite of 1E7B and 1E7C (22); around luciferase from 1BA3 (13); and around apoferritin from 1X23 (11). Visual molecular dynamics (VMD) (42) and associated programs were used to add hydrogen atoms to the proteins and solvate them in a box of water at least 10 Å larger than the protein in all directions. NAMD (43) was used to minimize the solvated protein structures.

The program Putative Active Sites with Spheres (PASS) (44) was used to define VA binding site predictions (BSPs) for the minimized protein structures. PASS is designed to predict the site(s) in protein structures where small hydrophobic molecules prefer to bind. The PASS algorithm fills pockets in protein structures with virtual probe spheres, which are assigned a burial count (BC) equal to the number of protein atoms within 8 Å. The probe weight of the $i$th sphere ($PW_i$) is calculated (Eq. 2) as the sum of the burial counts of all spheres, which are scaled by an envelope function:

$$PW_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{probes}} BC_j \times e^{-(r_{ij}-2)^2}.$$  

The envelope function attenuates the burial count of the $i$th sphere using a function of its distance from the $j$th probe $(r_{ij})$. The envelope function is equal to 1 when $r_{ij} \leq 2$ Å and decays exponentially to 0 when $r_{ij} \geq 4.5$ Å. Thus BC of spheres $>4.5$ Å from the $i$th sphere contribute little to $PW_i$. $PW$ is a measure of the hydrophobicity of the region surrounding the BSP even though it is a function of all nearby protein atoms, regardless of whether they are constituents of polar or nonpolar residues/functional groups. The rationale is that the deeper a pocket is buried in the protein, the more hydrophobic it is likely to be. Thus, the structure of the protein pocket is reduced to a number ($PW$), which PASS uses to rank the hydrophobicity of the BSP. Note that $PW$ is an absolute scale on which all proteins can be compared. The probe with the largest $PW$ (most buried) is the initial BSP defined for a protein structure. Subsequent BSPs are defined in order of decreasing $PW$ using those probes, which are not within 8 Å of a prior BSP.

We modified the PASS algorithm to also calculate methyl burial counts, which are equal to the number of methyl hydrogen atoms within 8 Å of the probe, and methyl probe weights ($PW_{M}$), which were calculated by substituting the methyl burial counts for BC in Eq. 2. This way we can directly compare $PW$ and $PW_{M}$, because they have the same dimensions. All methyl hydrogen atoms were taken into account: Ala (H'), Val (H'), Leu (H'), Ile (H''), and Thr (H''). The modified PASS algorithm defined the initial BSP as the probe with the largest $PW_{M}$ for a protein structure. Subsequent BSPs are defined in order of decreasing $PW_{M}$ using those probes, which are not within 8 Å of a prior BSP. We iteratively define BSPs in this way until all probes are exhausted. The coordinates of BSPs were similar, whether they were ranked by $PW$ (PASS) or $PW_{M}$ (modified PASS), because the two values are interdependent. The BSPs determined by the modified PASS along with the associated $PW$ and $PW_{M}$ values were used in the subsequent work.

BSPs were categorized as occupied if they were within 3 Å of a VA molecule in the crystal structure of the VA-protein complex, fatty-acid-displaceable if they were within 3 Å of a myristate ligand in the structure of the HSA-halothane complex, or unoccupied if they were $>3$ Å from either. The haloethane-HSA structures were determined under two different conditions. For 1E7B, an HSA crystal was exposed to 2.5 mM halothane and for 1E7C, an HSA-myristate crystal was exposed to 10 mM halothane. The myristate ligands in 1E7C might be obscuring sites that would otherwise be occupied by VAs. Rather than categorize them as unoccupied, they are categorized as fatty-acid-displaceable.

We used VMD and a probe radius of 1.4 Å to calculate the solvent-accessible surface area (SASA) of the aliphatic (Gly, Ala, Val, Leu, Ile, and Pro), aromatic (Phe, Tyr, and Trp), sulfur-containing (Met and Cys), alcohol (Ser and Thr), acidic (Asp and Glu), basic (His, Lys, and Arg), and amide (Asn and Gln) residue types within 8 Å of the BSPs. In addition, we calculated the SASA of those residues containing at least one methyl functional group (Ala, Val, Leu, Ile, Met, Thr) within 8 Å of the BSPs.

**Prediction of VA binding sites in CaM**

BSPs were defined for the structures of apoCaM (1QX5, (24)), [Ca^{2+}]_{4}-CaM in extended conformation (1C1L (25)), and [Ca^{2+}]_{4}-CaM in compact conformation (1PRW (26)). BSPs in the CaM structures with $PW$ and $PW_{M}$ that exceeded the corresponding mean values of $PW$ and $PW_{M}$ of the unoccupied sites in the structures of VA-protein complexes by $>\pm 1.5$ SD, were considered to be potential VA binding sites.

**Statistics**

Data are presented as mean ± SD. Significance was determined by unpaired t-test.

**RESULTS**

**Volatile anesthetics bind to specific pockets in proteins**

There are a total of eight halothane found in the haloethane-HSA structures. Of the 60 BSPs for HSA, six are occupied by one or more VAs and seven are fatty-acid-displaceable. There are two bromoforms observed in the bromoform-luciferase structure, and of the 33 BSPs for luciferase, two are occupied. There is a single isoflurane in the isoflurane-apoferritin structure, which occupies one of the 24 BSPs for the apoferritin dimer.

The mean SASAs calculated for various residue types within 8 Å of the BSPs are listed in Table 1. The SASAs of aliphatic residues and residues containing at least one methyl functional group were significantly greater around occupied BSPs compared to unoccupied BSPs. There was not a significant difference between the SASAs of other residue types around occupied versus unoccupied BSPs.

The mean values of $PW$ and $PW_{M}$ for all BSPs are tabulated according to category (Table 2) and are significantly greater for occupied than unoccupied BSPs. The two
data sets were partially resolved using a scatter plot of their \( PW \) and \( PW_M \) (Fig. 1 A).

BSPs are defined for the structures of apoCaM and both extended and compact conformations of \([Ca^{2+}]_4\)-CaM. The BSPs defined for the apoCaM structure had \( PW \) and \( PW_M \) values characteristic of unoccupied sites whereas some BSPs defined for the \([Ca^{2+}]_4\)-CaM structures had \( PW \) and \( PW_M \) values characteristic of an occupied site (Fig. 1 B). The BSPs with \( PW \) and \( PW_M \) values characteristic of an occupied site are all located within the hydrophobic pockets used in target recognition and binding in the \([Ca^{2+}]_4\)-CaM structures (Fig. 2).

**Volatile anesthetics bind to hydrophobic sites in \([Ca^{2+}]_4\)-CaM, but not apoCaM**

Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) was used to confirm that halothane binds to \([Ca^{2+}]_4\)-CaM, but not apoCaM (Fig. 3) and to estimate that halothane binds to \([Ca^{2+}]_4\)-CaM with \( n \) of 1.5, \( K_d \) of 1.1 mM, \( \Delta H \) of \(-4200\) cal mol\(^{-1}\), and a \( \Delta S \) of \(-0.5\) cal mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 4). Halothane concentrations exceeding the \( K_d \) were used in all experiments to optimize the occupation of the binding site(s).

The \( Ca^{2+} \)-dependence of intrinsic CaM fluorescence was used to show that 2.5 mM halothane significantly decreases the \( Ca^{2+} \) \( K_d \) of the N-domain \( Ca^{2+} \) binding sites of CaM from 7.4 to 5.1 \( \mu \)M and the C-domain \( Ca^{2+} \) binding sites from 1.5 to 0.77 \( \mu \)M (Fig. 5 and Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

The main finding of this work is that VAs occupy pockets with significantly larger \( PW \) and \( PW_M \) than other potential binding sites in the structures of HSA, luciferase, and apoferritin. \( PW \) and \( PW_M \) are quantitative descriptors of the components of protein structure that influence VA binding. Using these descriptors, it is possible to compare the diverse structures of VA binding sites observed in the various VA-protein complexes using a common scale. These descriptors

**TABLE 1** Solvent-accessible surface areas (mean ± SD) of various residue types around unoccupied, occupied, and fatty-acid-displaceable BSPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residue type</th>
<th>Unoccupied (100)</th>
<th>Occupied (9)</th>
<th>Fatty acid displaceable (7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aliphatic*</td>
<td>440 ± 210</td>
<td>760 ± 110</td>
<td>780 ± 120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Containing –CH(_3)*</td>
<td>420 ± 200</td>
<td>740 ± 120</td>
<td>760 ± 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aromatic</td>
<td>190 ± 170</td>
<td>180 ± 190</td>
<td>360 ± 240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Containing sulfur</td>
<td>50 ± 100</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>80 ± 110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohols</td>
<td>140 ± 150</td>
<td>150 ± 150</td>
<td>60 ± 110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acids</td>
<td>240 ± 180</td>
<td>180 ± 240</td>
<td>60 ± 110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bases</td>
<td>350 ± 160</td>
<td>400 ± 160</td>
<td>270 ± 110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amides</td>
<td>130 ± 160</td>
<td>60 ± 110</td>
<td>40 ± 110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The number of BSPs in each category is given in parentheses and the areas are expressed in units of \( \text{Å}^2 \).

*Significant difference (\( p < 0.01 \)) between occupied and unoccupied.

**TABLE 2** BSP weights (mean ± SD) calculated for unoccupied, occupied, and fatty-acid-displaceable BSPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weights</th>
<th>Unoccupied</th>
<th>Occupied</th>
<th>Fatty acid displaceable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( PW^* )</td>
<td>800 ± 400</td>
<td>2000 ± 300</td>
<td>1500 ± 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( PW_M^* )</td>
<td>200 ± 100</td>
<td>700 ± 200</td>
<td>600 ± 200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The number of BSPs in each category is the same as in Table 1.

*Significant difference (\( p < 0.01 \)) between occupied and unoccupied.
can be used to predict the site(s) of VA binding in protein structures, which could be a powerful tool for the study of molecular mechanisms of anesthesia.

Examination of the residues surrounding the BSPs indicated that the mean SASAs of aliphatic residues and those residues containing methyl groups were significantly greater for occupied BSPs compared to unoccupied BSPs (Table 1). The significance was approximately equivalent for either the aliphatic residues or the residues containing methyl groups. This suggests that the number of methyl groups around the BSP can be used as an indicator of VA binding. On the other hand, we did not find any correlation between BSP occupancy and the SASA of any other polar or apolar residue types. Thus we could not discern any other indicators of VA binding from this data set. Together, this suggests that the hydrophobicity of the pocket around the BSP has greater influence over the binding of VAs than the aromaticity or polarity of the pocket. Others have also suggested that the binding of VAs to protein targets is determined primarily by hydrophobic and van der Waals forces (45). However, there are too few structures to rule out the influences of aromatic or polar residues or the effect of pocket geometry and orientation on VA binding. Additional structures of VA-protein complexes are needed to refine this method because VAs may bind to other members of the proteome through interactions and at sites significantly different than observed in these complexes.

VA binding sites are partially resolved from unoccupied pockets using a scatter plot of $PW$ and $PW_M$ (Fig. 1). In the scatter plot, the ordinate and abscissa axes bisect the means of the occupied and unoccupied data, such that they are spaced at equivalent standard deviation intervals from both means ($\pm 1.5$ SD). The occupied BSPs are predominantly located in quadrant I (quadrants are numbered counterclockwise, starting from upper right). The exception to this is the occupied BSP corresponding to the bromoform molecule that binds to firefly luciferase through mainly polar interactions (13), which is located in quadrant IV. Unoccupied BSPs are found predominantly in quadrant III. The fatty-acid-displaceable BSPs defined for HSA could not be categorized as occupied or unoccupied, so their $PW$ and $PW_M$ values were excluded from the statistical analysis. They are predominantly found in quadrants I and II. It has been shown that fatty acids inhibit some VA binding to serum albumin (21), so it is possible that VAs also bind to some of these sites. Thus VA binding sites in the structures of VA-protein complexes, including those that might be obscured by fatty acids in HSA, correlate with the $PW$ and $PW_M$.

FIGURE 2 CaM structures used to determine BSPs. For clarity, the N-terminal domain (up to residue 65) is blue, the linker region (residues 66–92) is orange and the C-terminal domain (residues 93 and above) is green in each representation. (Upper left) No BSPs in the occupancy range were determined for apoCaM. (Lower left) A single BSP in the occupancy range (solid black sphere) was determined for [Ca$^{2+}$]$_4$-CaM in the compact conformation. The methyl hydrogen atoms within 8 Å of the BSP are represented as white spheres. All other atoms within 8 Å of the BSP are represented with a transparent red surface so they do not obscure the methyl hydrogens and BSP. (Right) Two BSPs in the occupancy range were determined for [Ca$^{2+}$]$_4$-CaM in the extended conformation. The BSPs are represented as for compact [Ca$^{2+}$]$_4$-CaM, except that the non-methyl hydrogen atoms within 8 Å of the BSP in the C-terminal domain are represented with a solid red surface to show the surface features of the pocket.

FIGURE 3 Titration of 100 μM apoCaM (left) and 100 μM [Ca$^{2+}$]$_4$-CaM (right) with halothane. The upper panels show the baseline-corrected data for the injection of halothane into buffer (top trace), the injection of buffer into calmodulin (middle trace), and the injection of halothane into calmodulin (bottom trace). The bottom panels show the normalized heat changes for each injection in calories per mole of halothane added, which were corrected for the enthalpies of dilution of halothane and calmodulin. The dilutions of halothane and [Ca$^{2+}$]$_4$-CaM (upper right panel) were collected with a shorter interval between injections than the titration of [Ca$^{2+}$]$_4$-CaM with halothane, which did not affect the subtraction of dilution enthalpies.
PW is proportional to the number of nearby protein atoms and hence the exclusion of the binding site from the bulk solvent. The mean PW of the occupied BSPs was more than twice that of the unoccupied BSPs. This suggests that VA/C1 protein complex formation is promoted by the desolvation of the VA (hydrophobic effect). However, the exothermic VA protein binding observed with titration calorimetry (Fig. 3 and in (12,29,46)) indicates that it is not the only force driving VA protein binding.

PW_M is proportional to the number of nearby methyl hydrogen and thus is related to the hydrophobicity of the binding site. The mean PW_M of occupied BSPs was more than threefold greater than that of the unoccupied BSPs. The preference of VAs for domains rich in methyl groups is consistent with NMR experiments, which demonstrate that halothane contacts predominantly aliphatic and aromatic residues in HSA (47) and the VA methoxyflurane exclusively contacts the choline methyl group in dipalmityl-phosphatidylcholine micelles (1). This indicates that VA binding correlates with the hydrophobicity of the pockets and is consistent with the Meyer-Overton rule (48,49), which states that anesthetic potencies correlate with partition coefficients between aqueous and hydrophobic phases. This rule has been interpreted to indicate that membranes are critical for anesthetic effects. However, these findings suggest that hydrophobic domains within proteins are also potential anesthetic targets.

These findings are consistent with NMR measurements, which demonstrated that small hydrophobic organic molecules bind to hydrophobic cavities with low water occupancy in the protein lysozyme (50). This suggests that the binding of VAs and other small hydrophobic molecules with proteins may arise from a set of common molecular interactions. These findings are compelling because they indicate that the definition of hydrophobic in the Meyer-Overton rule can be further narrowed and quantified in protein targets to mean pockets with a minimum degree of solvent exclusion and a minimum number of residues that contain methyl groups. This is an important finding, because hydrophobicity is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>N-domain Ca^{2+} binding sites</th>
<th>C-domain Ca^{2+} binding sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Halothane (mM)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K_d (μM)^1</td>
<td>7.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^1Significant difference (p < 0.01) between N-domain Ca^{2+} binding sites measured in 0 and 2.5 mM halothane.

^1Significant difference (p < 0.01) between C-domain Ca^{2+} binding sites measured in 0 and 2.5 mM halothane.
difficult to define at the molecular level. Quantifiable values that express hydrophobicity on an absolute scale, such as $PW$ and $PW_M$, are easier to utilize in an approach to predict VA binding sites based on protein structure.

We found that halothane binds to $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_4\text{-CaM}$, but not apoCaM (Fig. 3), which confirms our previous NMR work (14). We determined that the halothane-$[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_4\text{-CaM}$ $K_d$ was 1.1 mM and the $\Delta H$ was $-4.2$ kcal/mol, results which are consistent with VAs binding to HSA (34, 51). However, we found that the magnitude of $\Delta S$ ($-0.5$ cal mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$) was as much as 20 times smaller than $\Delta S$s measured for VA binding interactions with HSA (51), odorant binding protein (12), and an $\alpha$-helix bundle protein (29). VA binding to proteins has been observed to coincide with entropy gain (12, 51), as is expected for desolvation of a hydrophobic molecule and entropy loss, which was posited to result from a reduction in the mobility of protein side chains (29). The near-zero $\Delta S$ we measured for halothane-$[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_4\text{-CaM}$ binding suggests that the entropy gain of halothane desolvation is counterbalanced by entropy loss in the system, such as reduced mobility of the $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_4\text{-CaM}$ side chains. This is supported by an NMR study of the dynamics of methyl group containing side chains in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_4\text{-CaM}$, which demonstrated that the conformational entropy of $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_4\text{-CaM}$ is reduced when it binds a peptide (52).

Structures of VA-$[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_4\text{-CaM}$ complexes have yet to be determined, so the molecular interactions and binding sites are unknown. However, our experimental evidence indicates that the VA binding sites in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_4\text{-CaM}$ are similar to those in the known structures of VA-protein complexes. We observed cross-relaxation between halothane and $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_4\text{-CaM}$ resonances within the range of 0–3 ppm and 6–8 ppm (Fig. 6). This finding is consistent with NMR experiments on halothane binding to HSA (47). Cross-relaxation indicates that a halothane molecule is bound within $\sim 4$ Å of these protein resonances. It is not possible to tell from this spectrum how many CaM resonances are involved, their assignment, or the stoichiometry of halothane in the complex. Additional isotope-edited measurements will reveal this information. They are part of an ongoing effort to determine the solution structure of halothane-$[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_4\text{-CaM}$ complex, which we will report separately. However, the frequency ranges in which the cross-relaxation is observed informs us about the types of $^1\text{H}$ resonances nearby the halothane binding site(s). In general, aliphatic $^1\text{H}$ resonances are observed between 0 and 4 ppm, methyl $^1\text{H}$ resonances are observed between 0 and 3 ppm, and aromatic $^1\text{H}$ resonances are observed between 6 and 8 ppm. The exact frequency of each resonance within these ranges depends on the local environment of the individual protons. The NOESY spectra show that the halothane binding site(s) in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_4\text{-CaM}$ are surrounded by predominantly aliphatic and to a lesser extent aromatic $^1\text{H}$s, some of which are part of residues that influence VA binding (Table 1). This suggests that VAs bind within $\sim 4$ Å of residues in the sequence of $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_4\text{-CaM}$, which are similar in type to those near VA binding sites in HSA. Thus, the molecular interactions between VAs and $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_4\text{-CaM}$ might be similar to those which we characterized in the structures of VA-protein complexes. In addition, the affinity of halothane for $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_4\text{-CaM}$ is comparable to the affinity of VAs for HSA (51), which indicates that the molecular interactions are of the same magnitude. Together, these experimental findings suggest that the molecular interactions observed in the structures of VA-protein complexes are representative of the interactions between halothane and $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_4\text{-CaM}$. Thus, it is appropriate to use the same approach to predict VA binding sites in the structures of CaM.

BSPs in the occupancy range were found in both the extended and compact structures of $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_4\text{-CaM}$ (Fig. 1 B), but not in the x-ray structure of apo-CaM (Fig. 1 B) or in 26 of 30 NMR structures deposited for apoCaM (1LKJ, (53)) (data not shown). Thus, VAs are predicted to bind to $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_4\text{-CaM}$, but not apoCaM. This prediction is supported by ITC (Fig. 3). The predicted binding site(s) are in the hydrophobic pockets that are present in the N- and C-domains of $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_4\text{-CaM}$ (Fig. 2). No equivalent hydrophobic pockets are present in the structure of apoCaM. The location of the predicted binding sites within these hydrophobic pockets is supported by the effect of halothane on the $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ affinity of CaM (Fig. 5). We determined the apparent $K_d$ values for the N- and C-domain $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ binding sites in CaM in the absence of halothane, which agree with the findings of others (35), and found that the $K_d$ values decrease in the presence of halothane. This finding is consistent with the effect of other VAs on the $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ affinity of the C-domain.
Ca$^{2+}$ binding sites of CaM (37). Similar increases in the Ca$^{2+}$ affinity of CaM have been observed in the presence of other drugs, peptides, and proteins, which bind to the hydrophobic pockets of [Ca$^{2+}$]$_4$-CaM (54-57). This indicates that VAs, like other hydrophobic drugs that increase the Ca$^{2+}$ affinity of CaM, bind to the hydrophobic pockets of [Ca$^{2+}$]$_4$-CaM. The computational approach did not distinguish whether halothane prefers to bind to the extended or compact conformation of [Ca$^{2+}$]$_4$-CaM. [Ca$^{2+}$]$_4$-CaM has been shown to adopt a compact conformation when bound to other small hydrophobic drugs (54,58-61). However, the solution structure of the halothane-[Ca$^{2+}$]$_4$-CaM complex will need to be determined to verify the predicted binding sites and identify the conformation of [Ca$^{2+}$]$_4$-CaM in the complex.

This approach predicts VA binding sites within a protein structure, but does not indicate the orientation of the VA relative to the pocket. The location of the binding site in the protein structure could be sufficient to suggest empirical measurements to test VA occupancy of the predicted binding site. For example, VAs compete for fatty-acid binding sites and quench intrinsic tryptophan emission in serum albumin (10,21) and inhibit iron uptake, but not release in apoferritin (62). Higher-resolution predictions can be obtained using a docking algorithm to calculate the optimal orientation of a particular VA molecule in the binding site. Another group used the software AutoDock 3.0 (63) and a blind docking procedure (64) to predict halothane-binding sites in ketosteroid isomerase (KSI) dimer (65). This is a rigorous method in which binding energies are calculated for millions of unique protein-VA geometries that are intended to sample all possible binding sites on the protein. The benefits to using a docking algorithm are that it can predict the location and orientation of the bound VA and the binding energy can be used to estimate the binding affinity. The drawbacks are that the calculations require force-field parameters for the VAs and can take considerable computational time. For comparison, we used our approach to predict the VA binding sites in KSI using the same input file (1BUQ). Both our approach and the blind docking procedure identified halothane binding sites in the same pockets in the KSI dimer (data not shown). This suggests that the binding sites predicted by our approach could be used as seed locations for a focused docking algorithm. This would provide higher-resolution predictions and an estimate of affinity, while minimizing the dimensions of the search region and hence computation time. Thus, our approach predicts the location(s) of VA binding within a protein, which could be refined with the implementation of a docking algorithm.

In this work, we utilize algorithms developed to identify and characterize ligand binding sites in proteins. The efficacy of this approach suggests that it is appropriate to apply ligand binding concepts (i.e., binding sites, stoichiometry, and $K_d$) to VA protein interactions. This does not imply that the effects of VAs on protein function are necessarily localized to the binding site, as is thought to be the case in the competitive inhibition of luciferase by VAs (13). The effects of the VAs ligands could also delocalize over the protein structure, as suggested by a molecular dynamics simulation of the effect of halothane on a membrane-inserted channel protein (66).

This work shows that VA binding sites in the high resolution structures of VA-protein complexes correlate with descriptors of hydrophobicity ($PW$ and $PW_M$) that are related to the degree to which solvent is excluded from the pocket and the number of nearby methyl groups, respectively. Thus, $PW$ and $PW_M$ are consistent with the observations that VA binding is driven, at least in part, by the hydrophobic effect and the Meyer-Overton rule, which indicates that VAs target hydrophobic domains. $PW$ and $PW_M$ can be quantified in absolute terms for high-resolution protein structures and used to predict the site(s) of VA binding in proteins, which could be a powerful tool in the study of molecular mechanisms of anesthesia. Using this approach, we predict that VAs bind to hydrophobic pockets that are present in the structures of [Ca$^{2+}$]$_4$-CaM, but not apoCaM. This is supported with experimental findings that VAs bind to [Ca$^{2+}$]$_4$-CaM, but not apo-CaM; VAs bind near predominantly aliphatic $^1$Hs in [Ca$^{2+}$]$_4$-CaM, and; VAs decrease the $K_d$ values of Ca$^{2+}$ for CaM.
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